



Local elections 2024

The TUSC results report

Introduction & overview – page 3

A note on statistical methods – page 6

City Mayor & GLA results – page 7

Council ward results 'league table' – page 9

TUSC results in the English councils by region:

Eastern – page 11

East Midlands – page 12

Northern – page 12

North West – page 13

South East – page 15

South West – page 18

West Midlands – page 21

Yorkshire & Humberside – page 23

Other anti-war, anti-cuts candidates' results – page 27

Workers Party of Britain – page 28

Anti-war, anti-cuts independents – page 29

Other left-wing organisations – page 30

Clive Heemskerk
TUSC National Election Agent
May 8th 2024

Blank page

Introduction

This is a statistical report of the performance of the Trade Unionist and Socialist Coalition (TUSC) in the local elections held on May 2nd. Every year since 2011 TUSC has compiled such reports – publishing the detailed results of every candidate that appeared on the ballot paper under the coalition’s name – on the basis that no serious political advance can be made with exaggerations, empty boasts, or wishful thinking replacing an honest accounting of strengths and weaknesses.

A broader political analysis of the campaign in its wider context is not within the remit of the report. TUSC is a coalition of different forces united at bottom by their agreement to provide a means at election time to bring together trade unionists, community campaigners, anti-war protestors, social movement activists – and socialists of different organisations or none – who are fighting for a broader vehicle of working class political representation. TUSC is not and has never claimed to be that broader vehicle but rather a lever to help bring it about: to help develop the self-confidence of the working class that it is an alternative power to the capitalist rulers of society, and that it has the capacity to create and build its own democratic mass workers’ party to realise that power politically.

How the May election campaign contributed to this goal will no doubt be discussed by the component supporters of TUSC going forward, including how the May elections relate to the general election that must be held within the next seven months. This report, however, is merely a statistical digest of the campaign, on which such a political analysis can be based.

Best campaign since relaunch

What is clear from the facts presented here however, is that the TUSC 2024 election campaign has been the best since the relaunch of our coalition in September 2020; after the hopes raised by Jeremy Corbyn’s leadership of the Labour Party had led TUSC to suspend all its electoral activity in 2018 (TUSC did not stand candidates in either the 2017 or 2019 general elections and only stood in local elections on a strictly selective basis against anti-Corbyn ‘Labour’ candidates).

TUSC put forward 274 council candidates for May 2nd, contesting seats in 268 wards across 54 local authorities, plus a candidate for the city of Salford directly-elected mayor and four candidates for constituency seats on the Greater London Authority (GLA) assembly. The election for one seat was abandoned, in Radford ward in Coventry, due to the sad death during the campaign of the TUSC candidate, Dave Anderson – a staunch independent socialist not aligned with any of the component organisations of TUSC but who had consistently stood for the coalition since 2015. The re-scheduled vote there will now be held in June.

The highlights of the campaign were the results in Southampton council’s Bevois ward and the Deepdale ward in Preston, with the TUSC candidates’ scores of 32.2% and 31.3% respectively rattling the local Labour Party as our ‘No to cuts! No to war on Gaza!’ message struck home. Bevois was the safest ward for Labour in Southampton before May 2nd – but not now!

And there were, more modest, gains elsewhere. The particular seats fought this time are on a four-yearly cycle which should have seen them up for election in May 2020 but, with Covid, were deferred to May 2021. In those elections then just ten TUSC candidates reached the five percent or more vote share figure compared to 45 this time in the authorities on the same electoral cycle

(see table on page nine). That figure – of candidates surpassing the five percent threshold – is the highest since the relaunch of TUSC and spread more evenly than before, across half the councils with TUSC candidates this year (27 out of 54). There were seven councils with multiple TUSC candidates where the average vote achieved across all the wards contested by TUSC was greater than five percent, including Basildon (7.8%), Nuneaton & Bedworth (7.8%) and North Tyneside (6.2%). Only one council with multiple TUSC candidates achieved that in 2021.

Another comparison with 2021 is the increase in the TUSC vote in the GLA Assembly constituency seats (see page seven). In the North East constituency, covering the boroughs of Hackney, Islington and Waltham Forest, while turnout fell by nearly 15,000 overall, the TUSC candidate, Nancy Taaffe, put on 2,359 votes compared to 2021.

Overall then the May elections were an advance for TUSC, achieved even before the advent of a Starmer-led government, the experience of which will pose even more clearly the need for an alternative mass vehicle for working class political representation. The total vote for all TUSC candidates on May 2nd was a still modest but very creditable 41,328, broken down into 23,431 votes for the council candidates, 15,216 in the GLA elections, and 2,681 – 5.4% of the vote – for the Salford mayor contest.

Nevertheless there are still important issues to consider going forward, which the facts in the report also reveal.

TUSC: still an umbrella coalition?

As it has since its inception TUSC once again played its role in providing a banner for trade unionists to take on the austerity politicians at the ballot box. Those standing as TUSC candidates this year included national executive members from UNITE, UNISON, UCU and NAPO; a section executive committee member from UNISON; 19 branch officers from the NEU, PCS, RMT, UNISON, UNITE and USDAW; six local Trades Council officers; and 17 identified workplace reps for UNITE, UNISON, the RMT, PCS, NEU, BECTU (Prospect), UCU, USDAW, GMB, the RCN, and Community.

TUSC also again provided an inclusive space for socialists from different socialist organisations or none to stand under a common banner. The majority of TUSC candidates in May were members of the component organisations of TUSC, chiefly the Socialist Party, but nearly a fifth of the candidates described themselves on the application form to become a TUSC candidate as independents, not members of any political party or group within the coalition. And as the strike wave of 2022-23 encouraged many to come forward as TUSC candidates to take their struggle against the cost-of-living crisis to the ballot box, so many became candidates this time in opposition to Labour's stance on the war on the Palestinians.

But that needs to be qualified. There was also a rise in the number of people coming forward as candidates in protest at the Gaza slaughter who, having been offered the chance to appear on the ballot paper under the TUSC umbrella – with full control over their own campaign as is the TUSC method – decided not to do so but to use the electoral description of 'Independent' instead. A smaller number chose to stand with the Workers Party of Britain name and emblem on the ballot. (The relationship between the Workers Party and TUSC is explained further in the section of the report on Other Anti-war, Anti-cuts Candidates on page 27).

The different reasons for this could be usefully discussed. Many of the Labour councillors who resigned and stood as independents in areas with large populations from Muslim backgrounds had been councillors for years, presiding over cuts to local public services and remaining silent as Keir Starmer rolled back the radical policies that characterised the Jeremy Corbyn leadership era. The TUSC core policies for the May 2024 elections – which every candidate had to agree to as a condition of using the coalition’s name and logo on the ballot paper (and which can be seen at <https://www.tusc.org.uk/20023/13-01-2024/tuscs-core-policy-platform-for-the-may-2024-local-elections-2/>) – may have been seen by them as too much.

But the TUSC core policies were a positive platform of using councils’ powers and resources to fight not only the austerity imposed on local authorities by the Tory government but to prepare the ground for a struggle to defend – and indeed improve – local public services under the Starmer-led government that is coming. The same challenge could be made as that made to Labour councillors in the TUSC platform: if you are not prepared to go into the council chamber and vote against cuts now, what type of negotiator for our communities would you be if you were to be elected? As the TUSC platform says, “we need socialists in our town halls, who will always put the interests of the working class first!”

What is clear from the May elections is that the political tectonic plates are shifting. And that TUSC will be a factor in the debates underway about the way forward for working class political representation. ■

A note on statistical methods

The results tables include a figure for the percentage of the vote won by TUSC in each council ward contested.

How this figure is worked out is straightforward in a contest for one seat – the percentage figure for the TUSC candidate being the percentage of all the valid votes that were cast.

But what about multi-seat contests, where two, three or more councillors are elected from the same ward? Particularly, for example, where the council only publishes the votes cast for each candidate but not the turnout, or the size of the electorate? How to present such results, particularly where a party fields just one candidate in a two or three-seat contest, is a controversial question of psephology. TUSC has been using the same method to calculate votes in multi-seat wards since the elections in 2011, which has the advantage of allowing a comparison across different years' election results.

In an example from the elections of 2011, in Leicester's three-seat Rushey Mead ward the single TUSC candidate polled 272 votes, ahead of one Liberal Democrat candidate but behind the other two. It was a fact that of the 5,524 people who voted in Rushey Mead (the turnout figures were published on this occasion) 4.9% of them used one of their three votes for TUSC. But they actually cast a total of 13,917 votes (which meant incidentally that 2,655 potential votes were not used, with some people only voting for one or two candidates). So how should TUSC's share of support in the ward be calculated?

It could have been presented as a percentage of the total votes cast, 1.9%, and some councils do publish the figures in this way. But that doesn't give a rounded representation of a party's support. The three Labour candidates, for example, between them received 7,990 votes, but that is more than the number of people (5,524 remember) who voted. On the other hand, if all the candidates' votes were presented as a percentage of the 5,524 actual voters, the total number of potential votes (if all were used) would be 300%.

So the method used is to record the TUSC vote (or the highest TUSC vote in a multi-seat ward with more than one TUSC candidate) as a percentage of the aggregate of the highest votes of all the parties contesting the ward, the highest vote being taken as a maximum expression of a particular party's support.

In the Rushey Mead example there wasn't much deviation from the percentage of ballots cast method. The aggregate of the highest Labour vote (2,789), the highest Independent (1,039), the Tories' highest vote (861), the top Lib Dem vote (556), and TUSC's 272 votes, came to a total of 5,517. On this calculation, TUSC again polled 4.9% in the ward.

This method is neither a 'correct' nor 'incorrect' way of presenting the support there for TUSC. It is just another method, with its limitations openly acknowledged.



Elections 2024: City Mayoral & London Assembly results

There was just one directly-elected city council mayoral post up for election this year – for the Mayor of Salford – which was contested for the first time by a TUSC candidate.

The sitting mayor, Paul Dennett, has sometimes been portrayed as standing up to Tory austerity, with a ‘borrowing to grow asset bases to earn revenue’ strategy that has increased the city’s business rates income, by £2.7m between 2017 and 2019 for example. But overall public spending in Salford per head has still been reduced by £468 between 2010 and 2022, with Paul Dennett himself admitting in a Tribune interview that the council “has managed job losses of almost 50 per cent of our workforce since 2010”, albeit with only a “small number of involuntary redundancies”. (Sensible Socialism: The Salford Model, 19th January 2021)

This cannot be described as using the mayor’s position to build a mass campaign for the resources the city’s communities need and so the TUSC steering committee was happy to approve the application to be a candidate in the mayoral contest received from a local UNISON Health branch chair Sally Griffiths.

The result on May 2nd was:

Mayor of Salford election

Paul Dennett, Labour – 30,753 (61.5%)

Jillian Collinson, Conservative – 10,930 (21.9%)

David Jones, Green – 5,623 (11.2%)

Sally Griffiths, TUSC – 2,681 (5.4%)

Total valid votes: 49,987

2021 result: Labour 30,892 (57.7%); Conservatives 12,234 (22.9%); Green 4,585 (8.7%); Independent 1,890 (3.5%); Liberal Democrat 1,716 (3.2%); Independent 1,036 (1.9%)
Total valid votes 53,509

The Greater London Assembly

TUSC stood a candidate in four of the 14 geographical constituencies of the Greater London Authority (GLA), which elect one Assembly Member each alongside the eleven all-London Assembly Members elected on a proportional basis from a London-wide list. TUSC had stood in two of the four constituencies in the last GLA elections in 2021 and increased its vote this time in both.

This was especially so in the North East constituency seat – covering the boroughs of Hackney, Islington and Waltham Forest – with the TUSC candidate, Nancy Taaffe, putting on 2,359 votes compared to 2021, significantly more than the 741 vote increase recorded by the Greens.

The results from May 2nd were;

GLA Constituency Assembly Member seats

City & East	Lois Austin	Labour 99,570; Conservative 29,083; Green 29,073; Reform 14,535; Liberal Democrats 11,416; Independent 5,310; TUSC 4,710 (2.4%) <i>Votes cast 193,697</i>
Croydon & Sutton	April Ashley	Conservative 64,674; Labour 54,380; Liberal Democrat 29,160; Green 19,434; Reform 14,375; TUSC 2,766 (1.5%) <i>Votes cast 184,789</i>
Havering & Redbridge	Andy Walker	Conservative 65,037; Labour 49,561; Reform 19,696; Green 15,010; Independent 11,768; Liberal Democrat 8,240; TUSC 2,145 (1.2%) <i>Votes cast 171,457</i>
2021 result:		Con 77,268; Lab 61,941; Green 13,685; LD 8,150; Reform 5,143; TUSC 1,856 (1.1%) <i>Votes cast 168,043</i>
North East	Nancy Taaffe	Labour 104,088; Green 44,342; Conservative 27,769; Liberal Democrat 12,920; Reform 9,086; TUSC 5,595 (2.7%) ; Independent 1,804 <i>Votes cast 205,604</i>
2021 result:		Lab 112,739; Green 43,601; Con 41,398; LD 14,827; Reform 4,251; TUSC 3,236 (1.5%) <i>Votes cast 220,052</i>



Elections 2024: Council ward results league table

Below is a 'league table' of all the results of five percent or more achieved by TUSC candidates in the local council elections on May 2nd.

The five percent vote share figure is not, in fact, relevant for the election of local ward councillors, in that there are no election deposits required in order to stand and which, in parliamentary elections (and Mayoral contests), are returned to candidates that make the threshold – hence the phrase, 'saving their deposit'.

Nevertheless, given that the five percent figure is part of the established media discourse about electoral politics, it is worth recording that, on May 2nd, in a total of 46 wards – well over one in six of those contested by TUSC – the TUSC candidates reached this benchmark. The overall average vote for TUSC candidates this year was 3.5%.

The league table results are listed in percentage order, giving the votes won by the TUSC candidate (the highest placed one where that is applicable) and with the percentage vote in parentheses (see the Note on Statistical Methods for how this was calculated in multi-seat wards).

	Local authority	Ward	Vote
1	Southampton	Bevois	848 (32.2%)
2	Preston	Deepdale	522 (31.3%)
3	Knowsley	Northwood	224 (16.3%)
4	Nuneaton & Bedworth	Chilvers Coton	205 (14.6%)
5	Rotherham	Rawmarsh West	184 (11.7%)
6	Nuneaton & Bedworth	Camp Hill	117 (11.3%)
7	Basildon	Lee Chapel North	227 (10.6%)
8	Gateshead	High Fell	164 (10.4%)
9	Epping Forest	Waltham Abbey North	152 (10.3%)
10	Preston	Plungington	137 (10.1%)
11	Oxford	Churchill	111 (10.0%)
12	Chorley	Chorley East	145 (9.8%)
13	Coventry	St Michaels	346 (9.7%)
14	Basildon	Fryerns	218 (9.7%)
15	Nuneaton & Bedworth	Stockingford West	120 (9.1%)
16	North Tyneside	Longbenton & Benton	256 (9.0%)
17	North Tyneside	Chirton & Percy Main	209 (8.5%)
18	North East Lincolnshire	Sidney Sussex	117 (8.8%)
19	Rotherham	Rother Vale	146 (8.1%)
20	Wakefield	Hemsworth	168 (8.0%)
21	Sefton	St Oswald	127 (7.9%)
22	Basildon	St Martins	190 (7.4%)
23	Crawley	Broadfield	133 (7.4%)
24	Rushmoor	Aldershot Park	95 (7.1%)
25	Nuneaton & Bedworth	Arbury	146 (7.0%)
26	Basildon	Pitsea North West	137 (6.6%)
27	Crawley	Bewbush & North Broadfield	119 (6.6%)

28	Stevenage	Shephall	100 (6.4%)
29	Gateshead	Deckham	127 (6.3%)
30	Sheffield	Firth Park	185 (6.2%)
31	Salford	Eccles	198 (5.9%)
32	Stevenage	St Nicholas	128 (5.9%)
33	Cannock Chase	Norton Canes	88 (5.8%)
34	Stroud	Stroud Trinity	46 (5.7%)
35	Dudley	Brierley Hill	155 (5.4%)
36	Stevenage	Bedwell	109 (5.6%)
37	Sandwell	Smethwick	159 (5.4%)
38	Hull	West Carr	95 (5.4%)
39	Barnsley	Old Town	106 (5.3%)
40	North East Lincolnshire	Heneage	81 (5.3%)
41	Rotherham	Boston Castle	221 (5.2%)
42	Crawley	Northgate & West Green	113 (5.1%)
43	Gateshead	Blaydon	108 (5.1%)
44	Crawley	Ifield	105 (5.1%)
45	Stevenage	Roebuck	92 (5.0%)



Elections 2024: TUSC results in English councils by region

Listed are the votes received by every candidate in the wards contested by TUSC on May 2nd, with a percentage of the vote figure given for the TUSC candidate (see Note on Statistical Methods for how this was calculated in multi-seat wards).

There were 274 council candidates for May 2nd, contesting seats in 54 local authorities in England, whose results are presented here in a regional breakdown. Out of the council candidates, 268 stood in tier one or two local authorities with scheduled elections this year – 49 out of the 107 councils in that category. The remaining five candidates stood in council by-elections that were called to run concurrently with the May polls (indicated with an asterisk).

Overall, 13.8% of the council wards with local elections this year (264 out of 1,904, nearly one-in-seven) had at least one TUSC candidate on the ballot paper, contesting 10% of the seats available, three times as many as in the 2023 local elections.

Eastern

Basildon

Fryerns (<i>three seats</i>)	Eleanor Donne	Lab 1,160; Lab 1,142; Lab 1,053; Con 529; LD 329; TUSC 218 (9.7%) Votes cast 2,236
Laindon Park (<i>three seats</i>)	Dave Murray	Lab 1,013; Lab 972; Lab 880; Con 863; Con 845; Con 761; Ind 358; Green 312; LD 218; TUSC 137 (4.7%) Votes cast 2,901
Lee Chapel North (<i>three seats</i>)	Elaine McDonald	Lab 1,148; Lab 1,005; Lab 900; Con 474; Con 472; LD 289; TUSC 227 (10.6%) Votes cast 2,138
Pitsea North West (<i>three seats</i>)	Jack Huggins	Lab 1,026; Lab 1,012; Lab 965; Con 706; Con 605; Con 558; LD 200; TUSC 137 (6.6%) Votes cast 2,069
St Martins (<i>three seats</i>)	Andrew Buxton	Lab 990; Lab 965; Lab 820; Con 532; Con 514; Green 319; Ind 305; LD 243; TUSC 190 (7.4%) Votes cast 2,579

Broxbourne

Cheshunt North	Josh Asker	Con 895; Lab 732; LD 102; Green 87; TUSC 38 (2.0%) Votes cast 1,854
Cheshunt South & Theobalds	Bea Gardner	Con 821; Lab 767; Green 131; Ind 126; TUSC 29 (1.5%) Votes cast 1,874
Flamstead End	Aaron Smith	Con 934; Lab 397; Green 120; LD 77; TUSC 28 (1.8%) Votes cast 1,556

Hoddesdon Town & Rye Park	Pauline Sulman	Con 713; Lab 435; Green 180; LD 96; TUSC 42 (2.9%) Votes cast 1,466
Waltham Cross	Christine Thomas	Lab 1,193; Con 570; Green 134; LD 132; TUSC 55 (2.6%) Votes cast 2,084
Wormley & Turnford	Robert Landon	Con 1,014; Lab 560; Green 137; LD 70; TUSC 27 (1.5%) Votes cast 1,808
Epping Forest		
Loughton Forest (<i>three seats</i>)	Scott Jones	Ind 1,220; Ind 1171; Ind 1,167; Con 361; Con 354; Con 288; Lab 279; LD 178; TUSC 70 (3.3%) Votes cast 2,105
Waltham Abbey North (<i>three seats</i>)	Adeline Walsh	Lab 520; Con 483; Con 447; Con 417; Brit Dem 323; TUSC 152 (10.3%) Votes cast 1,478
Harlow		
Old Harlow (<i>three seats</i>)	Paul Lenihan	Con 1,042; Con 957; Con 943; Lab 665; Lab 563; Lab 559; Green 247; Green 168; Green 115; TUSC 55 (2.7%) Votes cast 2,009
Peterborough		
Central	Steve Cawley	Lab 1,377; Green 820; Con 412; LD 161; TUSC 94 (3.3%) Votes cast 2,864
Fletton & Woodston	John Lloyd	Lab 940; Con 658; Green 222; LD 99; TUSC 37 (1.9%) Votes cast 1,956
North	John McGarry	Lab 1,078; Con 640; Green 419; TUSC 101 (4.3%) ; LD 95 Votes cast 2,333
Stevenage		
Bandley Hill & Poplars (<i>three seats</i>)	Mark Pickersgill	Lab 749; Lab 701; Lab 689; Con 530; Con 508; Con 462; LD 271; Reform 266; LD 252; LD 218; Green 183; TUSC 62 (3.0%) Votes cast 2,061
Bedwell (<i>three seats</i>)	Steve Glennon	Lab 887; Lab 830; Lab 786; Con 367; LD 296; Green 282; TUSC 109 (5.6%) Votes cast 1,941
Longmeadow (<i>three seats</i>)	Helen Kerr	Lab 700; Lab 663; Lab 593; Con 590; Con 571; Con 568; Green 491; Reform 193; Reform 191; Reform 164; LD 180; TUSC 48 (2.2%) Votes cast 2,202
Martin's Wood (<i>three seats</i>)	Mark Gentleman	Lab 798; Lab 751; Lab 717; Con 421; Reform 267; Reform 252; Green 238; LD 228; Green 203; TUSC 61 (3.0%) Votes cast 2,013
Old Town (<i>three seats</i>)	Mark Kerr	Lab 733; Lab 665; Lab 661; Con 523; Con 504; Con 433; Green 301; LD 226; TUSC 69 (3.7%) Votes cast 1,852
Roebuck (<i>three seats</i>)	Bryan Clare	Lab 727; Lab 701; Lab 710; Con 433; LD 310; Green 286; TUSC 92 (5.0%) Votes cast 1,848
Shephall (<i>three seats</i>)	Barbara Clare	Lab 737; Lab 733; Lab 665; Con 289; LD 219; Green 209; TUSC 100 (6.4%) Votes cast 1,554

St Nicholas (<i>three seats</i>)	Amber Gentleman	Lab 1,052; Lab 962; Lab 938; Con 407; Green 305; LD 260; TUSC 128 (5.9%) <i>Votes cast 2,152</i>
Symonds Green (<i>three seats</i>)	Trevor Palmer	Lab 787; Lab 666; Lab 627; Con 510; Green 351; LD 316; TUSC 101 (4.9%) <i>Votes cast 2,065</i>

Watford

Central	James O'Connor	LD 924; Lab 572; Con 259; Reform 125; Ind 68; TUSC 53 (2.6%) <i>Votes cast 2,001</i>
Holywell	Mark O'Connor	Lab 1,159; LD 352; Con 328; TUSC 91 (3.0%) <i>Votes cast 1,930</i>
Leggatts	Derek Foster	LD 864; Lab 689; Con 350; Ind 65; TUSC 60 (3.0%) <i>Votes cast 2,028</i>

East Midlands

Lincoln

Carholme	Nick Parker	Lab 1,062; LD 366; Con 141; Ind 140; Green 103; TUSC 73 (3.9%) <i>Votes cast 1,885</i>
----------	-------------	--

Lincolnshire County Council*

Park	Emma Knight	Lab 1,054; LD 656; Reform 212; Green 192; Con 148; TUSC 48 (2.1%) ; Lib 22 <i>Votes cast 2,332</i>
------	-------------	--

London

LB of Croydon*

Park Hill & Whitgift	Ben Goldstone	Con 960; Lab 701; LD 295; Green 229; Ind 32; TUSC 19 (0.8%) <i>Votes cast 2,236</i>
Woodside	Michelle Wall	Lab 2,305; Con 1,014; Green 641; LD 487; TTI 150; TUSC 82 (1.8%) <i>Votes cast 4,679</i>

LB of Hounslow*

Brentford West	John Viner	Lab 988; Ind 798; Con 414; Green 338; LD 126; TUSC 33 (1.3%) <i>Votes cast 2,637</i>
----------------	------------	--

Northern

Gateshead

Blaydon	Tom Allen	Lab 1,275; Con 297; LD 211; Green 207; TUSC 108 (5.1%) <i>Votes cast 2,113</i>
Deckham	Norman Hall	Lab 1,079; Green 308; LD 255; Con 247; TUSC 127 (6.3%) <i>Votes cast 2,028</i>
High Fell	Elaine Brunskill	Lab 896; Con 214; LD 187; TUSC 164 (10.4%) ; Green 122 <i>Votes cast 1,595</i>
Low Fell	Simon Morden	LD 1,749; Lab 954; Green 323; Con 187; TUSC 82 (2.5%) <i>Votes cast 3,320</i>

Ryton, Crookhill & Stella	Ros Cooper	Lab 1,315; LD 830; Con 392; Green 296; TUSC 76 (2.6%) Votes cast 2,936
Newcastle-upon-Tyne		
Kenton	Nick Fray	Lab 1,647; LD 332; Green 274; Con 192; TUSC 78 (3.1%) Votes cast 2,523
North Tyneside		
Chirton & Percy Main (<i>three seats</i>)	Pete Robson	Lab 1,116; Lab 1,079; Lab 896; Reform 436; Con 360; Green 338; Green 291; TUSC 209 (8.5%) Votes cast 2,459
Cullercoates & Whitley Bay South (<i>three seats</i>)	John Hoare	Lab 2,222; Lab 2,036; Lab 1,730; Green 1,204; Con 884; Con 857; Green 742; Green 725; Ind 565; TUSC 200 (3.9%) Votes cast 5,075
Longbenton & Benton (<i>three seats</i>)	Dan George	Lab 1,610; Lab 1,445; Lab 1,311; Green 619; Reform 370; TUSC 265 (9.0%) Votes cast 2,855
Monkseaton (<i>three seats</i>)	Lee Dickson	Lab 2,238; Lab 1,967; Lab 1,772; Con 1,073; Green 605; LD 400; Green 473; Green 376; TUSC 157 (3.5%) Votes cast 4,473

North West

Chorley

Chorley East	Aamir Khansaheb	Lab 935; Con 255; TUSC 145 (9.8%) ; Green 143 Votes cast 1,478
Chorley North & Astley	Tahir Khansaheb	Lab 1,002; Con 426; Green 173; TUSC 50 (3.0%) Votes cast 1,651
Chorley North West	Arif Khansaheb	Lab 1,340; Con 486; Green 214; TUSC 51 (2.4%) Votes cast 2,091
Chorley South East & Heath Charnock	Jenny Hurley	Lab 1,173; Con 467; Green 158; TUSC 76 (4.1%) Votes cast 1,874

Knowsley

Northwood	Neill Dunne	Lab 1,004; TUSC 224 (16.3%) ; Green 146 Votes cast 1,374
-----------	-------------	--

Manchester

Harpurhey	Sam Hey	Lab 1,879; Con 300; Green 294; LD 114; TUSC 81 (3.0%) Votes cast 2,668
-----------	---------	---

Preston

Deepdale	Hasan Tunay	Lab 849; TUSC 522 (31.3%) ; LD 176; Con 120 Votes cast 1,667
Plungington	Joel Patton	Lab 838; Con 203; LD 172; TUSC 137 (10.1%) Votes cast 1,350

Salford

Eccles	Sally Griffiths	Lab 2,121; Con 565; Green 479; TUSC 198 (5.9%) Votes cast 3,363
--------	-----------------	---

Sefton

Derby	Dean Young	Lab 1,580; Reform 122; Green 114; Con 91; TUSC 55 (2.7%) ; LD 41 <i>Votes cast 2,003</i>
Netherton & Orrell	Owen Croft	Lab 1,637; Green 127; Ind 120; Con 113; LD 55; TUSC 50 (2.4%) <i>Votes cast 2,102</i>
St Oswald	Conor O'Neill	Lab 1,270; TUSC 127 (7.9%) ; Green 119; Con 100 <i>Votes cast 1,616</i>

Trafford

Longford	Samuel Hogan-Webb	Lab 1,678; Green 645; Con 288; LD 214; TUSC 88 (3.0%) <i>Votes cast 2,913</i>
----------	-------------------	---

Westmoreland & Furness*

Grange & Cartmel	Martin Powell-Davies	LD 2,852; Con 691; TUSC 151 (4.1%) <i>Votes cast 3,694</i>
------------------	----------------------	--

South East

Crawley

Bewbush & North Broadfield	Robin Burnham	Lab 920; Con 416; Green 251; TUSC 119 (6.6%) ; Ind 50; Ind 38 <i>Votes cast 1,794</i>
Broadfield	Christopher Ellis	Lab 979; Con 509; Ind 174; TUSC 133 (7.4%) <i>Votes cast 1,795</i>
Gossops Green & NE Broadfield	Mark Wright	Lab 914; Con 557; Green 191; Ind 82; TUSC 26 (1.5%) <i>Votes cast 1,770</i>
Ifield	Wyn Duggan	Lab 1,046; Con 688; Green 229; TUSC 105 (5.1%) <i>Votes cast 2,068</i>
Northgate & West Green	Peter Miller	Lab 1,118; Con 641; Green 278; TUSC 113 (5.1%) <i>Votes cast 2,220</i>
Pound Hill North & Forge Wood	Ben Newman	Lab 1,236; Con 1,014; Green 236; TUSC 31 (1.2%) <i>Votes cast 2,517</i>
Pound Hill South & Worth	Ruth Gaunt	Con 1,099; Lab 721; Green 247; TUSC 54 (2.5%) <i>Votes cast 2,121</i>
Southgate	Brett Shattock	Lab 924; Con 746; Green 255; TUSC 73 (3.7%) <i>Votes cast 1,998</i>
Three Bridges	Tim Holt	Lab 1,274; Con 809; Green 215; Ind 93; TUSC 89 (3.6%) <i>Votes cast 2,480</i>
Tilgate	Chris Owen	Lab 732; Con 550; Green 120; Ind 69; TUSC 33 (2.2%) <i>Votes cast 1,504</i>

Oxford

Blackbird Leys (<i>two seats</i>)	Sam Bennett; Agnieszka Kowalska	Lab 423; Lab 411; Ind 369; Ind 340; Con 72; Green 53; TUSC 52 (4.9%) ; Green 43; LD 41; TUSC 40 <i>Votes cast 1,053</i>
Churchill	James Morbin	Lab 572; Con 188; Green 145; TUSC 111 (10.0%) ; LD 97 <i>Votes cast 1,113</i>
Cowley	Alex Chapman	Ind 842; Lab 614; LD 484; Green 113; Con 64; TUSC 30 (1.4%)

Donnington	Kerensa Hone	<i>Votes cast 2,147</i> Green 701; Lab 536; Ind 414; Con 72; LD 34; TUSC 22 (1.2%)
Littlemore	Rachel Cox	<i>Votes cast 1,779</i> Ind 814; Lab 730; Green 98; LD 50; TUSC 32 (1.9%)
Northfield Brook	Rosie Douglas-Brown	<i>Votes cast 1,724</i> Lab 392; Ind 385; Con 68; Green 68; LD 37; TUSC 23 (2.4%)
Rose Hill & Iffley	Ruben Simwogerere	<i>Votes cast 973</i> Ind 870; Lab 814; Green 159; Con 61; LD 56; TUSC 14 (0.7%)
St Clements	James Bonner	<i>Votes cast 1,974</i> Green 524; Ind 507; Lab 475; LD 62; TUSC 25 (1.6%)
St Mary's	Orlando Munoz	<i>Votes cast 1,593</i> Green 890; Lab 518; Ind 409; LD 43; Con 28; TUSC 22 (1.2%)
Portsmouth		
Baffins	Rachel Nolan	LD 1,595; Con 539; Lab 503; Ind 310; Green 173; TUSC 42 (1.3%)
Charles Dickens	Chris Pickett	<i>Votes cast 3,162</i> Lab 907; LD 368; Con 319; Ind 240; Green 109; TUSC 72 (3.6%)
Cosham	Nicola Doyle	<i>Votes cast 2,015</i> Ind 1,149; Lab 1,009; Con 641; LD 118; Green 100; TUSC 20 (0.7%)
Nelson	Nicholas Doyle	<i>Votes cast 3,037</i> LD 903; Ind 687; Lab 505; Con 223; Green 99; TUSC 19 (0.8%)
St Thomas	John Burrows	<i>Votes cast 2,436</i> LD 1,199; Lab 783; Con 435; Reform 209; Green 177; TUSC 44 (1.5%)
Reading		
Caversham	Chloe Stoakes	Lab 1,603; Con 494; Green 441; LD 305; TUSC 59 (2.0%)
Coley	Sadiqua Khan	<i>Votes cast 2,902</i> Lab 1,232; Con 444; Green 287; LD 190; TUSC 96 (4.3%)
Katesgrove	Sam Church	<i>Votes cast 2,249</i> Green 1,045; Lab 861; Con 240; LD 115; Ind 27; TUSC 25 (1.1%) ; Ind 18
Norcot	Jen Bottom	<i>Votes cast 2,331</i> Lab 1,100; Con 306; LD 303; Green 215; Reform 182; Lib 39; TUSC 36 (1.7%)
Redlands	Melanie Dent	<i>Votes cast 2,181</i> Green 1,478; Lab 921; Con 182; LD 101; TUSC 42 (1.5%)
Whitley	Saffi Hussam	<i>Votes cast 2,724</i> Lab 1,091; Con 401; Green 179; LD 175; TUSC 36 (1.9%)
Rushmoor		
Aldershot Park	Tom Mortimer	Lab 911; Con 325; TUSC 95 (7.1%)
Manor Park	Agnieszka Ciezowska	<i>Votes cast 1,331</i> Lab 1,034; Con 927; Reform 215; TUSC 52 (2.3%)
Rowhill	Dan Warrington	<i>Votes cast 2,228</i> Lab 998; Con 515; Reform 243; LD 130; Ind 21; TUSC 16 (0.8%)

Wellington	Ryan Lyddall	Votes cast 1,923 Lab 744; Con 503; TUSC 49 (3.8%) Votes cast 1,296
Southampton Banister & Polygon	Karen Rogers	Lab 1,006; Con 491; Green 337; LD 261; TUSC 89 (4.1%) Votes cast 2,184
Bargate	Donna Dee	Lab 936; Con 497; Green 208; LD 139; TUSC 86 (4.6%) Votes cast 1,866
Bassett	Aziz Dieng	LD 1,481; Con 964; Lab 485; Green 198; Reform 194; TUSC 49 (1.5%) Votes cast 3,371
Bevois	Nadia Ditta	Lab 1,281; TUSC 848 (32.2%) ; Green 214; Con 192; LD 102 Votes cast 2,637
Bitterne Park	Graham Henry	Lab 1,634; Con 1,545; Green 338; Reform 160; LD 142; TUSC 63 (1.6%) Votes cast 3,882
Coxford	Maggie Fricker	Lab 1,062; Con 1,016; Reform 256; LD 213; Green 176; TUSC 43 (1.6%) Votes cast 2,766
Freemantle	Catherine Clarke	Lab 1,339; Con 578; Green 275; LD 234; Reform 174; TUSC 84 (3.1%) Votes cast 2,684
Harefield	Barbara Webber	Con 1,219; Lab 1,018; LD 303; Green 177; TUSC 100 (3.5%) Votes cast 2,817
Millbrook	Andrew Howe	Lab 1,042; Con 1,014; Green 222; LD 151; TUSC 76 (3.0%) Votes cast 2,505
Peartree	Mike Marx	Lab 1,305; Con 1,142; Reform 305; Green 219; LD 182; TUSC 34 (1.1%) Votes cast 3,187
Portswood	Tony Twine	Lab 1,401; Green 880; Con 365; LD 197; Reform 114; TUSC 67 (2.2%) Votes cast 3,024
Redbridge	Pete Wyatt	Lab 1,157; Con 520; Reform 276; Green 147; LD 125; TUSC 20 (0.9%) Votes cast 2,245
Shirley	Parveen Ishfaq	Lab 1,458; Con 933; LD 734; Green 262; Reform 248; TUSC 54 (1.5%) Votes cast 3,689
Sholing	Declan Clune	Con 1,367; Lab 1,002; LD 348; Green 185; TUSC 57 (1.9%) Votes cast 2,959
Swaythling	Nick Chaffey	LD 1,116; Lab 808; Con 407; Green 246; TUSC 72 (2.7%) Votes cast 2,649
Thornhill	Clara Asher	Lab 1,166; Con 924; LD 142; Green 126; TUSC 78 (3.2%) Votes cast 2,436
Woolston	Sue Atkins	Con 1,085; Lab 1,048; LD 203; Green 196; TUSC 100 (3.8%) Votes cast 2,632
Woking Canalside	Eleanor Waple	LD 1,224; Lab 1,195; Con 402; TUSC 80 (2.8%) Votes cast 2,901
Horsell	Emma Stephens- DuCros	LD 2,177; Con 713; Green 180; Lab 159; TUSC 36 (1.1%) Votes cast 3,265

Mount Hermon	Raven Dimitrii-Simone	LD 1,752; Con 574; Lab 263; Green 179; Ind 52; TUSC 29 (1.0%) <i>Votes cast 2,849</i>
Wokingham		
Norreys (<i>three seats</i>)	Sarah Gilman	Lab 1,398; Lab 1,128; Lab 1,063; Con 963; Con 853; Con 786; LD 524; LD 495; LD 421; TUSC 69 (2.3%) <i>Votes cast 2,954</i>
Winnersh (<i>three seats</i>)	John Gilman	LD 1,703; LD 1,473; LD 1,460; Con 755; Con 620; Con 603; Lab 305; Lab 301; Lab 263; TUSC 83 (2.9%) <i>Votes cast 2,846</i>

South West

Bristol

Bedminster (<i>two seats</i>)	Anthony Rowe	Green 1,964; Lab 1,895; Green 1,842; Lab 1,547; Con 288; Con 208; LD 97; TUSC 84 (1.9%) ; LD 67 <i>Votes cast 4,328</i>
Brislington West (<i>two seats</i>)	Domenico Hill	LD 1,711; LD 1,574; Lab 1,070; lab 955; Green 654; Green 483; Con 133; Con 116; TUSC 63 (1.8%) <i>Votes cast 3,494</i>
Central (<i>two seats</i>)	Nicholas Clare	Green 1,407; Green 1,242; Lab 1,093; Lab 944; Con 157; Con 152; LD 117; LD 85; TUSC 78 (2.7%) <i>Votes cast 2,852</i>
Clifton Down (<i>two seats</i>)	Suzanne Muna	Green 1,813; Green 1,705; lab 903; Lab 804; Con 294; Con 250; LD 186; LD 166; TUSC 78 (2.4%) <i>Votes cast 3,274</i>
Easton (<i>two seats</i>)	Chris Parry	Green 2,592; Green 2,523; Lab 1,145; Lab 774; TUSC 149 (3.6%) ; Con 124; LD 120; Con 118; LD 110 <i>Votes cast 4,130</i>
Eastville (<i>two seats</i>)	Annabel Griffiths; Mike Luff	Green 2,339; Green 1,672; Lab 1,568; Lab 1,027; Con 277; Con 234; LD 116; LD 105; TUSC 84 (1.9%) ; TUSC 69 <i>Votes cast 4,384</i>
Filwood (<i>two seats</i>)	Robin Clapp	Lab 1,088; Lab 1,004; Green 640; Green 637; Con 223; Con 196; LD 98; TUSC 77 (3.6%) ; LD 49 <i>Votes cast 2,126</i>
Frome Vale (<i>two seats</i>)	Brian Healy	Lab 1,402; Lab 1,339; Green 899; Green 724; Con 447; Con 377; LD 214; LD 214; SDP 188; TUSC 88 (2.7%) <i>Votes cast 3,140</i>
Henbury & Brentry (<i>two seats</i>)	Stephen Bennett	Con 1,641; Con 1,331; Lab 1,036; Lab 1,002; Green 279; Green 263; LD 103; LD 80; TUSC 43 (1.4%) <i>Votes cast 3,102</i>
Hillfields (<i>two seats</i>)	Dan Smart	Lab 1,155; Lab 1,081; Green 719; Green 513; Con 310; Con 257; LD 167; LD 109; TUSC 77 (3.2%) <i>Votes cast 2,428</i>
Horfield (<i>two seats</i>)	Joan Molins	Lab 1,495; Lab 1,485; Green 903; Green 672; Con 466; Con 417; LD 193; LD 132; TUSC 91 (2.9%) <i>Votes cast 3,148</i>
Hotwells & Harbourside	Dan Evans	Green 974; Lab 336; LD 109; Con 88;

Lockleaze (<i>two seats</i>)	Roger Thomas	TUSC 26 (1.7%) Votes cast 1,531 Green 1,696; Green 1,470; Lab 1,040; Lab 927; Con 242; Con 231; TUSC 125 (3.9%) ; LD 118; LD 77 Votes cast 3,221
Southmead (<i>two seats</i>)	Amy Sage	Lab 1,224; Lab 994; Green 711; Green 568; Con 378; Con 358; LD 168; LD 95; TUSC 43 (1.7%) Votes cast 2,524
St George Central (<i>two seats</i>)	Kris Barker	Green 1,726; Green 1,496; Lab 1,246; Lab 1,041; Con 283; Con 248; LD 78; TUSC 70 (2.1%) ; LD 57 Votes cast 3,403
St George West	Paola Sanchez	Green 1,366; Lab 642; Ind 102; Con 85; LD 25; TUSC 25 (1.1%) Votes cast 2,245
Windmill Hill (<i>two seats</i>)	Rob Nash	Green 2,878; Green 2,808; Lab 1,280; Lab 1,279; Con 162; Con 160; LD 127; LD 108; TUSC 84 (1.9%) Votes cast 4,531
Cheltenham		
Hesters Way (<i>two seats</i>)	Joe Waters; Samuel Coxson	LD 396; LD 348; Green 280; Green 237; Lab 149; Con 147; Con 125; TUSC 30 (3.0%) ; TUSC 27 Votes cast 1,002
St Paul's (<i>two seats</i>)	Adrienne Davis; Christian Dwyer	Green 501; Green 455; LD 318; LD 286; Lab 228; Con 124; Ind 106 Con 91; TUSC 27 (2.1%) ; TUSC 25 Votes cast 1,304
Gloucester		
Barton & Tredworth (<i>three seats</i>)	James Collett; Sue Powell	Con 846; LD 763; Lab 753; Con 628; Con 544; Green 383; LD 341; LD 328; SLP 296; Green 281; Ind 213; Ind 201; Ind 172; SLP 164; TUSC 106 (3.1%) ; TUSC 64 Votes cast 3,360
Matson, Robinswood & White City (<i>three seats</i>)	Richard Price	Ind 826; Ind 758; Ind 716; Lab 657; Lab 629; Lab 578; Con 239; Con 205; Con 193; Green 140; SLP 46; TUSC 29 (1.5%) ; SLP 24 Votes cast 1,937
Plymouth		
Budshead	Nicholas Brooks	Lab 1,448; Con 1,065; Reform 313; LD 169; Green 148; TUSC 25 (0.8%) Votes cast 3,168
Compton	Matthew Whitear	Lab 1,708; Con 1,249; Green 243; Reform 240; LD 210; TUSC 34 (0.9%) Votes cast 3,684
Devonport	Alex Moore	Lab 1,573; Con 429; Reform 425; Green 218; LD 139; TUSC 52 (1.8%) Votes cast 2,836
Efford & Lipson	Neil Prentice	Lab 1,593; Con 380; Reform 361; Green 263; LD 140; TUSC 24 (0.9%) Votes cast 2,761
Egguckland	Bethany Lowe	Con 1,478; Lab 1,461; LD 233; Green 190; TUSC 51 (1.5%) Votes cast 3,413
Ham	Edward Evans	Lab 1,404; Ind 484; Con 409; Reform 303; LD 134; Green 131; TUSC 25 (0.9%) Votes cast 2,890
Honicknowle	Louise Alldrige	Lab 1,440; Reform 519; Con 447; Green

Moor View	Andrew White	153; TUSC 49 (1.9%) Votes cast 2,608 Lab 1,622; Con 929; Reform 460; LD 135; Green 128; Ind 74; TUSC 21 (0.6%)
Peeverell	Duncan Moore	153; TUSC 49 (1.9%) Votes cast 3,369 Lab 2,196; Con 1,295; Green 365; Reform 218; LD 158; TUSC 45 (1.0%)
Plympton Chaddlewood	Ben Davy	153; TUSC 49 (1.9%) Votes cast 4,277 Green 1,063; Con 437; Lab 219; Reform 217; LD 46; TUSC 17 (0.5%)
Plympton Erie	Helen Yeo	153; TUSC 49 (1.9%) Votes cast 1,999 Ind 896; Lab 483; Con 336; LD 235; Reform 221; Green 114; TUSC 21 (0.9%)
Plympton St Mary	Alan Frost	153; TUSC 49 (1.9%) Votes cast 2,306 Ind 1,287; Con 1,002; Lab 690; Reform 372; Green 172; LD 105; TUSC 26 (0.7%)
Plymstock Dunstone	Jackie Hilton	153; TUSC 49 (1.9%) Votes cast 3,654 Lab 1,410; Con 1,226; LD 684; Reform 317; Ind 120; Green 117; TUSC 27 (0.7%) ; Ind 15
Plymstock Radford	Mathew Bligh	153; TUSC 49 (1.9%) Votes cast 3,916 Lab 1,452; Con 1,214; Ind 463; Reform 420; Green 333; LD 166; TUSC 27 (0.7%)
Southway	Tony Bligh	153; TUSC 49 (1.9%) Votes cast 4,075 Lab 1,515; Con 641; Reform 556; LD 179; Green 163; TUSC 53 (1.7%)
St Budeaux	Laurie Moore	153; TUSC 49 (1.9%) Votes cast 3,107 Lab 1,064; Green 787; Con 465; Reform 246; Ind 104; LD 69; TUSC 15 (0.5%)
St Peter & The Waterfront	Ryan Aldred	153; TUSC 49 (1.9%) Votes cast 2,750 Lab 1,777; Con 558; Reform 457; Green 345; LD 212; TUSC 96 (2.8%)
Stoke	Lesley Duncan	153; TUSC 49 (1.9%) Votes cast 3,445 Lab 1,963; Con 608; Green 342; LD 143; TUSC 94 (3.0%)
Sutton & Mount Gould	Tamora Burn	153; TUSC 49 (1.9%) Votes cast 3,150 Lab 1,701; Con 449; Green 345; Reform 252; LD 197; TUSC 70 (2.3%)
Stroud		
Cainscross (<i>three seats</i>)	Sue Richards	153; TUSC 49 (1.9%) Votes cast 3,014 Lab 989; Lab 971; Lab 954; Green 630; Green 428; Green 413; Con 397; Con 319; Con 311; Ind 209; TUSC 81 (3.5%)
Dursley (<i>three seats</i>)	Lucia Jayaseelan	153; TUSC 49 (1.9%) Votes cast 2,306 Lab 915; Lab 840; Lab 802; Green 688; Green 557; Con 549; Con 480; Con 449; Green 417; LD 155; TUSC 45 (1.9%)
Stonehouse (<i>three seats</i>)	Adam Goulcher	153; TUSC 49 (1.9%) Votes cast 2,352 Lab 749; Lab 723; Green 661; Lab 571; Con 412; Green 393; Con 361; Green 317; Ind 310; LD 129; TUSC 45 (1.9%)
Stroud Trinity (<i>three seats</i>)	Chris Moore	153; TUSC 49 (1.9%) Votes cast 2,306 Green 485; Lab 194; Con 52; TUSC 46 (5.7%) ; LD 29
Swindon		
Central	Mary Quate	153; TUSC 49 (1.9%) Votes cast 806 Lab 2,117; Con 838; Ind 718; LD 195; TUSC 124 (3.1%)
Covingham & Dorcan	Scott Hunter	153; TUSC 49 (1.9%) Votes cast 3,992 Con 1,469; Lab 1,363; LD 111; TUSC 86 (2.8%)

Gorse Hill & Pinehurst	Helen Harris	Lab 1,652; Con 530; Green 290; LD 133; TUSC 48 (1.8%) <i>Votes cast 2,635</i>
Lydiard & Freshbrook	Rob Pettefar	Lab 1,312; Con 898; LD 208; TUSC 102 (4.0%) <i>Votes cast 2,520</i>
Old Town	Daisy Bowie	Lab 1,873; Con 586; Green 181; LD 157; TUSC 44 (1.5%) <i>Votes cast 2,841</i>

West Midlands

Cannock Chase

Hednesford Pye Green (<i>three seats</i>)	Dave Ireland	Green 583; Green 572; Lab 564; Lab 548; Green 520; Lab 518; Con 287; Con 252; TUSC 81 (4.6%) <i>Votes cast 1,767</i>
Norton Canes (<i>three seats</i>)	Paul Wainwright	Lab 979; Lab 935; Lab 799; Con 359; Con 356; Con 343; Green 98; Green 95; TUSC 99 (5.8%) <i>Votes cast 1,524</i>

Coventry

Bablake	Anthony Downes	Lab 1,612; Con 1,528; BF 379; Green 292; Ind 194; LD 157; TUSC 43 (1.0%) <i>Votes cast 4,250</i>
Binley & Willenhall	Rob Slater	Lab 1,478; Ind 1,421; Con 562; TUSC 77 (2.2%) <i>Votes cast 3,538</i>
Cheylesmore	Judy Griffiths	Con 1,726; Lab 1,601; Green 282; Ind 178; LD 150; TUSC 73 (1.8%) <i>Votes cast 4,010</i>
Earlsdon	Adam Harmsworth	Lab 2,688; Con 1,663; LD 612; TUSC 139 (2.7%) <i>Votes cast 5,102</i>
Henley	Aidan O'Toole	Lab 2,234; Con 863; Green 247; Ind 229; LD 190; TUSC 55 (1.4%) <i>Votes cast 3,818</i>
Holbrook	Jim Hensman	Lab 2,180; Green 1,234; Con 427; TUSC 111 (2.8%) <i>Votes cast 3,952</i>
Longford	Alan Checklin	Lab 2,289; Con 507; Green 284; Ind 178; LD 122; TUSC 93 (2.7%) <i>Votes cast 3,473</i>
Lower Stoke	John O'Sullivan	Lab 2,677; Con 812; Green 357; Ind 205; LD 176; TUSC 124 (2.8%) <i>Votes cast 4,351</i>
Sherbourne	Jane Nellist	Lab 1,636; Con 1,490; Green 261; Ind 161; TUSC 136 (3.6%) ; LD 136 <i>Votes cast 3,820</i>
St Michaels	Dave Nellist	Lab 2,222; Con 350; TUSC 346 (9.7%) ; Green 329; Ind 186; LD 147 <i>Votes cast 3,580</i>
Upper Stoke	Terri Rosser	Lab 2,049; Con 508; Green 287; Ind 218; LD 186; Ind 143; TUSC 88 (2.5%) ; Ind 57 <i>Votes cast 3,479</i>
Westwood	Jim Donnelly	Lab 1,936; Con 1,415; Green 342; LD 199; Ind 139; TUSC 65 (1.6%) <i>Votes cast 4,096</i>
Whoberley	Zoe Parker	Lab 2,070; Con 821; Green 429; LD 199; Ind 132; TUSC 74 (2.0%) <i>Votes cast 3,725</i>

Woodlands	Sarah Davis	Con 1,994; Lab 1,563; Green 216; LD 213; Ind 121; TUSC 90 (2.1%) <i>Votes cast 4,197</i>
Wyken	Mila Matharu	Lab 1,682; Con 1,284; Green 232; Ind 180; LD 116; TUSC 60 (1.7%) <i>Votes cast 3,554</i>
Dudley		
Brierley Hill (<i>three seats</i>)	Kevin Carnall	Con 1,160; Con 1,075; Lab 1,048; Con 1,020; Lab 1,018; Lab 905; Green 330; LD 167; TUSC 155 (5.4%) ; LD 137 <i>Votes cast 2,860</i>
Cradley North & Wollescote (<i>three seats</i>)	Siobhan Halloran	LD 1,627; LD 1,120; LD 1,049; Lab 1,020; Lab 861; Lab 673; Con 581; Con 575; Con 530; TUSC 89 (2.7%) <i>Votes cast 3,317</i>
St Thomas's (<i>three seats</i>)	Dave Reynolds	Lab 1,764; Lab 1,441; Lab 1,410; Ind 742; Ind 679; Ind 679; Con 640; Con 636; Green 221; TUSC 168 (4.4%) <i>Votes cast 3,791</i>
Nuneaton & Bedworth		
Arbury (<i>two seats</i>)	Eve Miller	Lab 695; Lab 656; Con 651; Con 602; TUSC 146 (7.0%) <i>Votes cast 2,094</i>
Camp Hill (<i>two seats</i>)	Paul Reilly	Lab 575; Lab 523; Con 346; Con 293; TUSC 117 (11.3%) <i>Votes cast 1,038</i>
Chilvers Coton (<i>two seats</i>)	Bernadette Quinn	Lab 680; Lab 564; Green 299; Con 222; Con 220; TUSC 205 (14.6%) <i>Votes cast 1,406</i>
Exhall (<i>two seats</i>)	Eileen Hunter	Con 717; Lab 628; Lab 598; Con 526; Green 152; TUSC 66 (4.1%) ; Ind 59; Ind 51 <i>Votes cast 1,622</i>
Slough (<i>two seats</i>)	Mark Burdett	Con 750; Con 690; Lab 674; Lab 596; Ind 413; TUSC 91 (4.7%) <i>Votes cast 1,928</i>
St Nicholas (<i>two seats</i>)	Daniel Webb	Con 1,002; Con 842; Lab 475; Lab 434; Green 429; TUSC 71 (3.6%) <i>Votes cast 1,977</i>
Stockingford West (<i>two seats</i>)	Catherine Mosey	Lab 747; Lab 742; Con 445; Con 426; TUSC 120 (9.1%) <i>Votes cast 1,312</i>
Sandwell		
Abbey	Justin Macintosh	Lab 2,078; Green 413; Con 395; TUSC 126 (4.2%) <i>Votes cast 3,012</i>
Cradley Heath & Old Hill	Cammilla Mngaza	Lab 1,340; Con 506; Reform 267; Green 211; LD 123; TUSC 19 (0.8%) <i>Votes cast 2,466</i>
Oldbury	Richard Gingell	Lab 1,714; Con 521; LD 399; Green 200; TUSC 95 (3.2%) <i>Votes cast 2,928</i>
Smethwick	Ravaldeep Singh Bath	Lab 2,024; Con 443; Green 340; TUSC 159 (5.4%) <i>Votes cast 2,965</i>
Wednesbury North	Gareth Knox	Lab 1,042; Con 517; Ind 513; Reform 259; Green 106; LD 49; TUSC 16 (0.6%) <i>Votes cast 2,502</i>
Wednesbury South	U'semu Makaya	Lab 1,603; Con 636; Reform 258; LD 143; TUSC 39 (1.5%) <i>Votes cast 2,679</i>

Solihull

Lyndon

Lynsey Fraser-Smith

Con 1,323; LD 1,201; Lab 565; Green 189; **TUSC 46 (1.4%)**
Votes cast 3,324

Walsall

Pleck

Jon Stanley

Ind 1,459; Lab 1,323; Con 557; Green 260; **TUSC 101 (2.7%)**
Votes cast 3,700

Worcester

Arboretum (two seats)

Calvin Fowler

Green 1,183; Green 1,090; Lab 314; Lab 261; Con 151; Con 120; LD 106; LD 94; **TUSC 33 (1.8%)**
Votes cast 1,787

Cathedral (two seats)

Archie Harrison

Lab 644; Lab 541; Green 525; Green 464; Con 225; Con 216; Ind 111; LD 43; LD 37; **TUSC 33 (2.1%)**
Votes cast 1,581

Dines Green & Grove Farm (two seats)

Mark Davies

Lab 609; Lab 478; Con 211; Con 208; Green 153; Green 121; LD 71; UKIP 68; **TUSC 38 (3.3%)**
Votes cast 1,150

Lower Wick & Pitmaston (two seats)

Eloise Davies

Con 817; Lab 752; Lab 653; Con 569; Green 194; Green 170; LD 129; **TUSC 23 (1.2%)**
Votes cast 1,915

Warndon & Elbury Park (three seats)

Jason Ford

Lab 868; Lab 708; Lab 783; Con 389; Con 388; Con 325; Green 288; Green 235; Green 165; Ind 140; LD 111; **TUSC 80 (4.3%)**
Votes cast 1,876

Yorkshire

Barnsley

Hoyland Milton

Angie Waller

Lab 1,092; Reform 697; Con 191; LD 175; Green 162; **TUSC 49 (2.1%)**
Votes cast 2,366

Kingstone

Tracey-Ann Holland

LD 791; Lab 591; Reform 169; Green 114; Con 69; **TUSC 28 (1.6%)**
Votes cast 1,762

Old Town

Stephen Dangerfield

Lab 1,130; Con 292; Green 258; LD 196; **TUSC 106 (5.3%)**
Votes cast 1,982

Roystone

Jennifer Barker

Lab 1,082; Ind 440; Con 187; LD 87; **TUSC 51 (2.8%)**
Votes cast 1,847

Bradford

Bolton & Undercliffe

Tom Gibson

Ind 1,432; Lab 1,169; Con 284; Green 227; Yorks 182; **TUSC 37 (1.1%)**
Votes cast 3,331

Eccleshill

Laura Fretwell

LD 1,211; Lab 1,163; Con 414; Green 293; Yorks 288; **TUSC 66 (1.9%)**
Votes cast 3,435

Hull

Avenue

Mike Whale

Lab 1,330; LD 1,225; Green 296; **TUSC 108 (3.5%)**; Con 62; Yorks 42
Votes cast 3,063

Beverley & Newland

Mike Hirst

LD 1,900; Lab 851; Con 117; **TUSC 108 (3.6%)**
Votes cast 2,976

Longhill & Bilton Grange	Tony Smith	LD 815; Lab 608; Con 75; TUSC 56 (3.6%) <i>Votes cast 1,554</i>
Holderness	Paul Spooner	LD 1,331; Lab 671; TUSC 102 (4.6%) ; Con 95 <i>Votes cast 2,199</i>
West Carr	Joyce Marshall	LD 992; Lab 548; Con 116; TUSC 95 (5.4%) <i>Votes cast 1,751</i>
Kirklees		
Ashbrow	Daniel Green	Lab 1,970; Con 803; Green 644; LD 538; TUSC 164 (4.0%) <i>Votes cast 4,119</i>
Leeds		
Beeston & Holbeck	Louie Fulton	Lab 2,533; Green 1,092; Con 572; SDP 247; LD 226; TUSC 113 (2.4%) <i>Votes cast 4,783</i>
Burmantofts & Richmond Hill	Richard Chaves-Sanderson	Lab 2,752; Green 363; Yorks 329; Con 328; LD 317; TUSC 81 (1.9%) ; SDP 30 <i>Votes cast 4,200</i>
Gipton & Harehills	Iain Dalton	Green 3,070; Lab 2,323; Con 285; LD 156; TUSC 120 (2.0%) <i>Votes cast 5,954</i>
Headingley & Hyde Park	Florian Hynam	Green 2,668; Lab 2,138; LD 158; Con 120; TUSC 71 (1.4%) ; Ind 55 <i>Votes cast 5,210</i>
Hunslet & Riverside	Oisin Duncan	Lab 2,274; Green 2,067; Con 247; LD 185; SDP 107; TUSC 43 (0.9%) <i>Votes cast 4,923</i>
Kirkstall	John Tival	Lab 3,451; Green 1,137; Con 321; LD 288; Ind 204; TUSC 138 (2.5%) <i>Votes cast 5,539</i>
Little London & Woodhouse	Anthony Bracuti	Lab 2,194; Green 808; Con 297; LD 260; TUSC 109 (3.0%) <i>Votes cast 3,668</i>
North East Lincolnshire		
Freshney	Paul Wood	Ind 997; Lab 433; Con 328; LD 38; TUSC 28 (1.5%) <i>Votes cast 1,824</i>
Haverstoe	Val O'Flynn	Con 1,331; Lab 691; LD 172; TUSC 58 (2.6%) <i>Votes cast 2,252</i>
Heneage	John Stiff	734; Con 366; LD 345; TUSC 81 (5.3%) <i>Votes cast 1,526</i>
Humberston & New Waltham	Joe Carter	Con 1,290; Lab 698; LD 245; TUSC 72 (3.1%) <i>Votes cast 2,305</i>
Immingham	Nathan Newton	Con 754; Lab 744; LD 219; TUSC 77 (4.3%) <i>Votes cast 1,794</i>
Park	Dave Mitchell	Lab 1,079; Con 947; LD 381; TUSC 77 (3.1%) <i>Votes cast 2,484</i>
Scartho	Val Pow	Lab 1,117; Con 1,071; LD 157; TUSC 57 (2.4%) <i>Votes cast 2,348</i>
Sidney Sussex	Mark Gee	Lab 672; Con 411; LD 126; TUSC 117 (8.8%) <i>Votes cast 1,326</i>
South	Bill Ward	Lab 726; Con 345; Ind 144; LD 74; TUSC 30 (2.3%) <i>Votes cast 1,319</i>

Yarborough	Phil Tuplin	Ind 880; Lab 471; Con 340; LD 47; TUSC 23 (1.3%) <i>Votes cast 1,761</i>
Rotherham		
Aughton & Swallownest (<i>two seats</i>)	Paul Marshall	Lab 879; Lab 730; Con 587; Ind 435; Reform 285; Green 185; LD 106; TUSC 56 (2.2%) <i>Votes cast 2,533</i>
Boston Castle (<i>three seats</i>)	Chris Bingham	Ind 1,389; Ind 1,166; Lab 1,126; Lab 986; Lab 729; Green 693; Green 656; Con 477; Ind 449; LD 365; Con 341; Con 341; Ind 328; Ind 328; TUSC 221 (5.2%) <i>Votes cast 4,271</i>
Keppel (<i>three seats</i>)	Tom Lynn	Lab 1,179; Lab 1,092; Ind 1,068; Lab 892; Ind 634; Yorks 406; Con 378; Con 352; Green 352; Con 331; TUSC 67 (1.9%) <i>Votes cast 3,601</i>
Rawmarsh West (<i>two seats</i>)	William Price	Lab 945; Lab 742; Con 323; Con 293; TUSC 184 (11.7%) ; LD 120 <i>Votes cast 1,572</i>
Rother Vale (<i>two seats</i>)	Neil Adshead	Lab 841; Lab 840; LD 617; LD 553; Con 208; Con 153; TUSC 146 (8.1%) <i>Votes cast 1,812</i>
Sheffield		
Beauchief & Greenhill	Daniell Smith	LD 1,937; Lab 1,446; Green 574; Con 396; Ind 104; TUSC 81 (1.8%) <i>Votes cast 4,538</i>
Beighton	Mark Dixey	LD 1,355; Lab 1,353; Con 579; Green 255; TUSC 83 (2.3%) <i>Votes cast 3,625</i>
Birley	Andrea Ugolini	Lab 1,520; Ind 647; Con 378; Green 308; LD 288; TUSC 49 (1.5%) <i>Votes cast 3,190</i>
Broomhill & Sharrow Vale	Ted Boyle	Green 2,963; Lab 1,951; LD 355; Con 278; TUSC 137 (2.4%) ; Ind 126 <i>Votes cast 5,810</i>
Burngreave	Hollie Buisson	Lab 1,780; Green 894; Ind 612; LD 302; Con 241; TUSC 119 (3.0%) <i>Votes cast 3,948</i>
City	Madeline Rooney	Green 1,362; Lab 901; Con 162; LD 141; TUSC 86 (3.2%) <i>Votes cast 2,652</i>
Crookes & Crosspool	Joe Hibbert	Lab 3,310; LD 2,188; Green 972; Con 305; TUSC 77 (1.1%) <i>Votes cast 6,852</i>
Dore & Totley	Jemima Johnson	LD 3,283; Lab 1,390; Con 879; Green 796; TUSC 56 (0.9%) <i>Votes cast 6,404</i>
East Ecclesfield	Rebecca Fryer	LD 1,906; Lab 1,705; Con 475; Green 271; TUSC 99 (2.2%) <i>Votes cast 4,456</i>
Ecclesall	Noah Eden	Green 3,147; LD 2,751; Lab 1,915; Con 453; TUSC 74 (0.9%) <i>Votes cast 8,340</i>
Firth Park (<i>two seats</i>)	Joanna Hall	Lab 1,605; Lab 1,585; Green 438; Green 381; Con 310; LD 222; LD 212; Ind 201; TUSC 185 (6.2%) ; Con 184 <i>Votes cast 2,961</i>
Fulwood	Philip Bunn	LD 2,952; Lab 1,610; Green 975; Con 506; TUSC 78 (1.3%) <i>Votes cast 6,121</i>
Gleadless Valley	Simon Jenkins	Green 2,462; Lab 1,607; Con 281; LD

Graves Park	Liam Ball	238; TUSC 132 (2.8%) Votes cast 4,720 Lab 1,954; LD 1,856; Green 1,043; Con 396; TUSC 126 (2.3%) Votes cast 5,375
Hillsborough	Leah Byatt	Green 2,726; Lab 1,763; Con 363; LD 213; Ind 126; TUSC 118 (2.2%) Votes cast 5,309
Manor Castle	Alistair Tice	Lab 1,881; Green 603; Con 308; Ind 175; LD 170; TUSC 128 (3.9%) Votes cast 3,265
Mosborough	Rory Smith	Lab 2,035; LD 1,523; Con 450; Green 150; TUSC 28 (0.7%) Votes cast 4,186
Nether Edge & Sharrow	Bridget Gilbert	Green 2,662; Lab 2,549; LD 501; Ind 381; Con 253; TUSC 172 (2.6%) Votes cast 6,518
Park & Arboutherne	Jack Jeffrey	Lab 1,607; Green 486; Con 467; LD 273; SDP 175; TUSC 153 (4.8%) Votes cast 3,161
Richmond	Susan Statter	Lab 1,728; Reform 502; Con 401; LD 124; TUSC 124 (3.9%) Votes cast 3,218
Shiregreen & Brightside	Patricia Prystupa	Lab 1,547; Con 434; Green 387; Ind 202; LD 180; TUSC 77 (2.7%) Votes cast 2,827
Southey	Harry Lomas	Lab 1,438; Green 376; Con 319; Ind 318; LD 196; TUSC 99 (3.6%) Votes cast 2,746
Stannington	Robert Simpson	LD 1,959; Lab 1,589; Green 692; Con 521; TUSC 110 (2.3%) Votes cast 4,871
Stocksbridge & Upper Don	Claire Wraith	Lab 2,399; Con 1,341; Green 607; LD 398; TUSC 95 (2.0%) Votes cast 4,840
Walkley	Isobel France	Lab 2,789; Green 1,782; LD 322; Con 296; TUSC 166 (3.0%) ; Ind 132; CP 69 Votes cast 5,556
West Ecclesfield	Christie Littlewood	LD 1,662; Lab 1,584; Con 465; Green 288; TUSC 112 (2.7%) Votes cast 4,111
Woodhouse	Josh Crapper	Lab 1,810; Con 453; Green 311; Ind 272; LD 195; TUSC 89 (2.8%) Votes cast 3,130
Wakefield		
Hemsworth	Michael Johnson	Lab 1,292; Green 382; Con 261; TUSC 168 (8.0%) Votes cast 2,103
Stanley & Outwood East	Darren Lumber	Lab 2,432; Con 688; Ind 409; Green 279; TUSC 52 (1.3%) Votes cast 3,860
Wakefield East	Mick Griffiths	Lab 1,754; Green 857; Con 477; TUSC 149 (4.6%) Votes cast 3,237
Wakefield North	Tom Griffiths	Lab 1,613; Green 733; Con 460; Yorks 347; TUSC 71 (2.2%) Votes cast 3,224



Elections 2024: Other anti-cuts and anti-war candidates

Listed below are the results of other stop the cuts and stop the war on Gaza local election candidates that TUSC was aware of – and promoted on our website – who stood on May 2nd.

These include the candidates of the Workers Party of Britain, led by George Galloway, which was established in 2020 and which has had observer status on the TUSC all-Britain Steering Committee since March 2022. The Workers Party has participated in all the discussions on that body but at this stage does not wish to take up full constituent status within TUSC; which would mean having the right – but also the responsibility – to exercise a veto power over decisions to be made in the name of the coalition (the TUSC core policies, candidate approvals etc) under the TUSC ‘consensus rule’. Hence the inclusion of their results in this section of the report.

The next category are candidates who appeared on the ballot paper as Independents who had left or been forced out of the Labour Party in opposition to its general march to the right; or others, from a Labour background or not, who stood in protest at the war on the Palestinians. Over 500 or so candidates appeared with the ‘Independent’ description in May’s polls, which is used by individuals with an array of different political views. ‘Independents’, in fact, form the fourth largest group in UK local government – before the elections there were over 1,600 councillors in this category sitting on local authorities in England and they recorded a net gain of 93 on May 2nd – and where they control councils they have acted no differently from establishment party politicians.

But the individuals listed here are those who were recommended for support by local constituent organisations of TUSC and others – and in Coventry, Sheffield and Worcester with local TUSC candidates standing down in their favour – who could properly be described as anti-cuts and anti-war candidates even though, on this occasion, they decided to stand as Independents.

The final set of other candidates include those from smaller left-wing parties which TUSC and its constituent organisations, locally and nationally, have attempted to liaise with to avoid electoral clashes: including the Communist Party of Britain, politically linked to the *Morning Star* newspaper, and Arthur Scargill’s Socialist Labour Party.

Some other parties in this category – specifically, the Breakthrough Party, Left Unity and the Northern Independence Party (which constituted the People’s Alliance of the Left PAL group formed in 2021), and the more recently-established Transform Party launched in November 2023 – did not stand any candidates in May that TUSC is aware of. ■

Workers' Party of Britain

The Workers' Party has generally stood candidates in local elections since its formation in 2020 – fielding 25 candidates across twenty councils in 2021 polling a total of 2,131 votes, and eleven candidates across four councils in 2022 (polling 3,908 votes) – although none in 2023.

Following George Galloway's victory in the February 29th Rochdale by-election however, they were able to stand 32 council candidates in eleven of the 107 local authorities with scheduled elections in May. This included persuading candidates who submitted papers with other descriptions when nominations opened on March 25th to withdraw those and re-submit as Workers Party candidates before nominations closed on April 5th: Shakir Saghir, who had originally declared as an independent candidate in Calderdale council's Park ward; and Farooq Ahmed, who withdrew his nomination as a Liberal Democrat to stand in the Central Rochdale ward. Both of them subsequently won their seats. The Rochdale council Liberal Democrat candidate Rabina Asghar, however, who secured a video endorsement by George Galloway after nominations had closed and was subsequently suspended by the Liberal Democrats, did not win her Spotland & Falinge ward contest.

The Workers Party were also able to stand a candidate in the Bedfordshire Police & Crime Commissioner election, who polled a very respectable 8,396 votes (8.3%). The total vote for the Workers Party candidates this year was 27,431, clearly significantly up on previous contests although not uniformly so, with the detailed results listed below broken down into regions.

Eastern

Bedfordshire Police & Crime Commissioner

Lab 40,738; Con 35,688; LD 15,857; Waheed Akbar (WPB) 8,396 (8.3%)
Votes cast 100,679

Council results

Local authority	Ward	Candidate's name	Vote
Peterborough	Dogsthorpe	Rob Petch	89 (4.6%)

Northern

Local authority	Ward	Candidate's name	Vote
North Tyneside	North Shields	William Jarrett	167 (5.1%)
North Tyneside	Whitley Bay North	Gordon Bell	174 (3.9%)

North West

Local authority	Ward	Candidate's name	Vote
Bolton	Hulton	Sajid Pathan	546 (13.4%)
Bolton	Queens Park & Central	Omar Shafiq	842 (29.3%)
Bury	Bury East	Syed Hashmi	423 (15.9%)
Bury	Redvales	Shabaz Shamim	962 (31.1%)
Bury	Unsworth	Iftikhar Ahmed	177 (5.3%)
Hyndburn	Central	Mohammed Irfan	146 (7.5%)
Manchester	Burnage	Syed Ataur Rahman	707 (16.9%)
Manchester	Fallowfield	Murtahin Billah	331 (15.7%)
Manchester	Levenshulme	Muhammad Iqbal	1,200 (23.4%)
Manchester	Longsight	Shahbaz Sarwar	2,444 (46.3%) Elected
Manchester	Rusholme	Naznin Hussain	823 (22.1%)

Manchester	Whalley Range	Tanvir Marth	669 (16.4%)
Rochdale	Balderstone & Kirkholt	William Howarth	395 (19.2%)
Rochdale	Bamford	Jamil Akhtar	794 (23.9%)
Rochdale	Castleton	Thomas Byrne	391 (15.1%)
Rochdale	Central Rochdale	Farooq Ahmed	2,016 (52.9%) Elected
Rochdale	Healey	Amr Nazir	591 (20.5%)
Rochdale	Kingsway	Haroon Khan	811 (28.2%)
Rochdale	Littleborough Lakeside	Uzair Mehmood	17 (0.6%)
Rochdale	Milkstone & Deeplish	Minaam Ellahi	1,812 (62.3%) Elected
Rochdale	Milnrow & Newhey	Rashida Jordan	64 (2.1%)
Rochdale	Norden	Sana Aziz	147 (4.9%)
Rochdale	North Heywood	Saliah Begum	66 (3.5%)
Rochdale	Wardle & W Littleborough	Ali Shahid	49 (1.9%)
Rochdale	West Heywood	Umar Malik	81 (4.0%)
Tameside	Hyde Newton	Audel Shirin	272 (12.5%)
Wigan	Shevington & Moor	Peter Seddon	137 (4.4%)

Southern

Local authority	Ward	Candidate's name	Vote
Oxford	Temple Cowley	Boris Fedorov	31 (1.7%)

Yorkshire

Local authority	Ward	Candidate's name	Vote
Calderdale	Park	Shakir Saghir	1,643 (44.3%) Elected

Anti-war and anti-cuts Independents

There were over 500 candidates in May who appeared on the ballot paper as an Independent. It was not obviously clear however whether someone could properly be described as an anti-cuts and anti-war candidate from the Independent description alone. Consequently TUSC contacted over thirty socialist parties and campaign groups, including the 'No Ceasefire, No Vote' network of ex-Labour councillors, asking them for details of any Independent candidates that they were supporting on May 2nd.

There is likely to be more than just the candidates listed below that come into this category, but these are the ones we knew of before polling day and were included in the pre-election list of anti-war, anti-cuts candidates published on the TUSC website.

North East Combined Authority Mayor

Labour 185,051; **Independent – Jamie Driscoll 126,652 (28.2%)**; Conservative 52,446; Reform 41,147; Liberal Democrat 25,485; Green 17,631
Votes cast 448,412

West Midlands Combined Authority Mayor

Labour 225,590; Conservative 224,082; **Independent – Akhmed Yakoob 69,621 (11.7%)**; Reform 34,471; Green 31,036; Liberal Democrat 12,176
Votes cast 597,071

Council candidates

Local authority	Ward	Candidate's name	Vote
Blackburn	Blackburn Central	Cllr Tahir Mahmood	799 (51.2%) Elected
Bolton	Rumworth	Ayyub Patel	1,990 (48.2%) Elected
Bradford	ShIPLEY	Nagbea	206 (4.0%)
Coventry	Foleshill	Ferhan Azad Kiani	1,391 (32.1%)
Hastings	Hollington	Cllr Maya Evans	351 (32.5%)
Kirklees	Dewsbury West	Tanisha Bramwell	3,109 (53.2%) Elected
North Tyneside	Howdon	Maureen Madden	533 (19.0%)
Oxford	Barton	Cllr Jabu Nala-Hartley	173 (13.7%)
Pendle	Bradley	Cllr Mohammed Iqbal	1,665 (55.2%) Elected
Pendle	Brierfield West & Reedley	Cllr Mohammed Hanif	1,365 (62.0%) Elected
Pendle	Marsden & Southfield	Cllr Mohammad Adnan	962 (45.8%) Elected
Pendle	Whitefield & Walverden	Cllr Asjad Mahmood	1,307 (64.8%) Elected
Sheffield	Darnall	Qais Al-Ahdal	2,402 (53.1%) Elected
Stroud	Cainscross	Charlie Mitchell	209 (9.1%)
Stroud	Rodborough	Cllr Robin Layfield & Helen Elliott-Boult	450 (25.2%) & 303
Stroud	Stroud Slade	Thea Pilikian	65 (9.0%)
Walsall	Blakenall	Pete Smith	971 (42.6%) Elected
Worcester	Fort Royal	Naheem Zafar & Resnu Meah	252 (18.1%) & 236

Other candidates not in the TUSC umbrella

TUSC is a coalition of constituent organisations alongside individual trade unionists, socialists and working class community campaigners, who may be members of various socialist organisations or none.

Regarding other organisations with origins in the labour and trade union movement, the all-Britain TUSC steering committee has had a standing policy of encouraging them to participate in our coalition – with the full rights that would entail to promote their own organisation's identity while standing as a TUSC candidate – or at least to co-ordinate an electoral intervention.

On May 2nd four organisations in this category – the Communist Party of Britain, the Socialist Labour Party, the Alliance for Green Socialism, and the Communist Future group – contested elections outside the TUSC umbrella. Listed below in alphabetical order are the results achieved by candidates from those parties.

Alliance for Green Socialism

One council candidate in one local authority.

Local authority	Ward	Candidate's name	Vote
Leeds	Chapel Allerton	Mike Davies	222 (3.4%)

Communist Future

This Manchester-based group stood three candidates in the city council elections.

Local authority	Ward	Candidate's name	Vote
Manchester	Ancoats & Beswick	Chris Strafford	33 (0.9%)
Manchester	Cheetham	Edmund Potts	31 (0.8%)
Manchester	Piccadilly	Sinead Rylance	29 (1.1%)

Communist Party of Britain

The Communist Party stood a list of candidates for the Greater London Assembly London-wide members seats, and six council candidates in six different authorities.

GLA London-wide Assembly Members election

This was a city-wide poll to elect eleven members of the Assembly in a modified proportional representation system. There were twelve party lists on the ballot paper, including the CPB, and three independents (including the right-wing actor Laurence Fox). The results were:

Labour 951,056; Conservative 648,269; Green 286,746; Liberal Democrats 215,682; Reform 145,409; Rejoin EU 62,528; Animal Welfare 41,303; Britain First 32,085; Christian Peoples Alliance 26,798; Social Democratic Party 23,021; Laurence Fox 13,795; Independent 13,048; **CPB 10,915 (0.4%)**; Heritage Party 4,431; Independent 1,601
Votes cast 2,476,687

Council candidates

Local Authority	Ward	Candidate's name	Vote
Cambridge	Abbey	Simon Brignell	42 (1.8%)
Newcastle	Heaton	Steve Handford	71 (2.0%)
Norwich	Nelson	Ben Clarke	122 (3.1%)
Salford	Weaste & Seedley	Chris Neville	77 (2.9%)
Sheffield	Walkley*	Ben Ughetti	69 (1.2%)
St Albans	Sopwell	Mark Ewington	17 (0.9%)

* Walkley ward was also contested by the TUSC candidate, Izzy France, who additionally is the TUSC prospective parliamentary candidate in the constituency that covers it. This was discussed with the local Communist Party branch but unfortunately, although other wards in Sheffield were offered to the CPB to stand in uncontested, they felt that they wanted to stand in Walkley even if it meant a clash with TUSC.

Socialist Labour Party

Across the country the Socialist Labour Party stood a total of six council candidates in four wards – all in Gloucester. Unfortunately, by not responding to approaches locally or nationally to say what their plans were, in the three-seat ward of Barton & Tredworth there were two SLP and two TUSC candidates on the ballot paper.

Local authority	Ward	Candidate's name	Vote
Gloucester	Barton & Tredworth	Akhlaque Ahmed & Saiham Sikder	296 (8.8%) & 164
Gloucester	Coney Hill	Toriqul Islam	13 (2.2%)
Gloucester	Matson, Robinswood & White City	Kazol Ahmed & Aswadul Fardeen	46 (2.4%) & 24
Gloucester	Quedgeley Fieldcourt	Foujia Metaly	29 (2.1%)