



# Local elections 2023

# The TUSC results report

Introduction & overview – page 3

A note on statistical methods – page 5

Directly-elected Mayoral results – page 7

Council ward results 'league table' – page 9

TUSC results in the English councils by region:

Eastern – page 11

East Midlands – page 12

Northern – page 14

North West – page 15

Southern – page 16

South West – page 19

West Midlands – page 21

Yorkshire & Humberside – page 23

Results of other left candidates on May 4th – page 27

Clive Heemskerk  
TUSC National Election Agent  
May 10th 2023

Blank page

# Introduction

This is a statistical report of the performance of the Trade Unionist and Socialist Coalition (TUSC) candidates in the local council elections on May 4th. It continues the series begun by TUSC in 2011, in the interests of transparency and an honest accounting of strengths and weaknesses, of publishing the detailed results of every candidate that appeared on the ballot paper under the coalition's name.

Political analysis of the campaign in its wider context will no doubt be produced by the component supporters of TUSC in the coming days; as is in the nature of TUSC as a coalition of different political forces united at bottom by their agreement to provide an umbrella for trade unionists, community campaigners and socialists of different organisations or none, to fight together at the ballot box against the establishment politicians. This report, however, is primarily a statistical digest of the campaign, on which a political analysis can be based.

The TUSC core policies for the May 2023 elections, upon which every candidate was agreed as a condition of using the coalition's name and logo on the ballot paper, can be seen at <https://www.tusc.org.uk/18435/07-03-2023/tuscs-core-policy-platform-for-the-may-2023-local-elections/>). It was a positive platform of using councils' powers and resources to open up a new front in the battle against the cost-of-living crisis, including the call for emergency measures to ensure that no one be cold, hungry or homeless – a caricature of which has been put forward by Starmer in his demand for 'action plans' to 'lower living costs within a 100 days' from the 22 councils newly-won by Labour on May 4th, not by promising free school meals for all pupils, for example, but by offering 'financial MOTs'!

## Summary facts

In these elections TUSC put forward 254 candidates in 247 wards across 65 local authorities, plus two mayoral candidates. The election was abandoned for one three-seat ward, Coxford in Southampton, due to the death of one of the Conservative candidates there, and will now be held in June. The candidates total is also slightly down on the figures published before the election as a number either withdrew for various reasons before nominations closed or were unable to successfully complete the official nomination process.

The last time the majority of these particular English council seats were contested was in May 2019, which has been used as a benchmark in the media to assess the mainstream parties' electoral fortunes. At that point, however, with Jeremy Corbyn the leader of the Labour Party, TUSC had suspended its electoral activity so that comparator is not available for us.

TUSC agreed to relaunch its electoral activity in September 2020 after the ascent of Starmer to the Labour leadership in April that year, and the May elections were the third set of polls to be contested since then.

Summary points from the results include:

- The total vote for all TUSC candidates on May 4th was 21,696, comprised of 19,103 votes for the council candidates, and 2,593 votes for the two mayoral candidates.

- In 39 wards TUSC candidates polled over five percent, spread more evenly this time than in 2021 or 2022, across 30 local authorities.
- In Sheffield the TUSC candidates polled a combined total of 2,852 votes. The other councils where the TUSC candidates polled over a thousand votes were Leicester (1,540 plus 2,173 for mayor), Southampton (1,497), and Coventry (1,432); and over 500 in Brighton & Hove (900), Plymouth (882), Gateshead (664), North East Lincolnshire (654), North Tyneside (594) and Leeds (515).
- In 60 wards, nearly a quarter of those contested by TUSC, there was either not a candidate representing the Liberal Democrats – billed post-May 4th as one of the election ‘big winners’ – or the TUSC candidate came in ahead of them.
- A similar situation pertained to the Tories in seven seats, including all three seats that TUSC contested in Liverpool, and Labour also in a further seven.
- In 23 wards out of the 247 there was a clash between TUSC and either UKIP or the Reform Party, which four years ago as the Brexit Party won the 2019 European Parliament elections and earlier this year was hailed by sections of the media as a serious challenger to the Tories (see <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-politics-64164535>). In most of the 23 wards the votes were broadly similar, with TUSC coming in ahead in one third of the contests.

As in previous years TUSC played its role in providing a banner for trade unionists in the front line to take on the austerity politicians at the ballot box. Those standing as TUSC candidates included national executive members from the National Education Union and NAPO; section executive members from UNISON; over 30 branch officers from the RMT, Unite, NEU, PCS, UNISON, USDAW and CWU; two local Trades Council officers; and 14 workplace reps for Unite, UNISON, the RMT, PCS, NEU, UCU, GMB, the Royal College of Nursing, and Community.

TUSC also again provided an inclusive space for the widest spectrum of socialists, from different socialist organisations or none, to stand under a common banner. The majority of TUSC candidates in May were members of the Socialist Party; but there were also members of the Socialist Workers Party, the Anti-Capitalist Resistance group, and the Northern Independence Party who decided to contest the elections – with the full freedom to promote their own organisation as they saw fit – within the TUSC umbrella.

The biggest other component were former Labour Party members from the time of Jeremy Corbyn’s Labour leadership who decided to carry on the fight for anti-austerity, socialist policies by standing, this time, with the TUSC identity. These included a 2019 Labour parliamentary candidate and, in Leicester, three former Labour councillors.

### **Symptoms of a new situation**

Overall, the TUSC election challenge, while still modest, was one amongst a number of signs that there is a greater preparedness not just to accept Starmer’s remorseless drive to revive Tony Blair-style New Labour but to challenge this at the ballot box.

To the fore were the four former Liverpool Labour councillors, suspended and subsequently expelled from Labour for voting against the council’s cuts budget in 2022, who were joined by five other candidates standing as the Liverpool Community Independents. Two of the councillors, Alan Gibbons and Sam Gorst, were re-elected, along with another supporter, Lucy Williams, alongside

Sam in his Garston ward. This is potentially the most significant electoral breakthrough in the city for thirty years, since five 'Real Labour' candidates – part of a group of 16 councillors who had been suspended for voting against the implementation of the poll tax – held their seats against Labour in May 1991.

Elsewhere, the former leader of the Portsmouth council Labour group, excluded as a Labour candidate in January for his support for the left-wing Momentum group, was also victorious. And the former secretary of the Windsor Labour Party, expelled as she was about to attend as a delegate to the 2022 Labour Party conference, was elected as a 'Borough Independent' as part of the electoral massacre of Tory councillors in Windsor and Maidenhead.

The results of the Liverpool Community Independent candidates, and other ex-Labour members standing as Independents whom TUSC or its local constituent organisations had been in contact with before May 4th – and whose candidacies we advertised on the TUSC website – are listed in the final section of this report on page 27.

The increased support for the Green Party in these elections was also another symptom of the disaffection with the 'mainstream' parties. Standing a record number of candidates this year – in 40% of all the seats up for election – their presence on the ballot paper in far greater numbers than previously also had its impact on the TUSC vote.

But it all shows what would be possible if a serious move was made by the trade unions, with Jeremy Corbyn and other purged left-wingers, to organise a socialist, working class alternative to Starmer's new New Labour party.

All of these issues – the limitations of the 'Independent' banner, the character of the Greens, the role of the unions in creating a political alternative, etc – will need to be discussed in the months ahead by TUSC, at the steering committee and amongst its constituent parts, and more widely in the working class movement.

But by organising by far the biggest, clearly identified working class socialist electoral challenge to Starmer – as this report demonstrates was the case on May 4th – we are earning our place at the discussion table. ■

## **A note on statistical methods**

The results tables include a figure for the percentage of the vote won by TUSC in each council ward contested.

How this figure is worked out is straightforward in a contest for one seat – the percentage figure for the TUSC candidate being the percentage of all the valid votes that were cast.

But what about multi-seat contests, where two, three or more councillors are elected from the same ward? Particularly, for example, where the council only publishes the votes cast for each candidate but not the turnout, or the size of the electorate? How to present such results, particularly where a party fields just one candidate in a two or three-seat contest, is a controversial question of psephology. TUSC has been using the same method to calculate votes in multi-seat wards since the elections in 2011, which has the advantage of allowing a comparison across different years' election results.

In an example from the elections of 2011, in Leicester's three-seat Rushey Mead ward the single TUSC candidate polled 272 votes, ahead of one Liberal Democrat candidate but behind the other two. It was a fact that of the 5,524 people who voted in Rushey Mead (the turnout figures were published on this occasion)

4.9% of them used one of their three votes for TUSC. But they actually cast a total of 13,917 votes (which meant incidentally that 2,655 potential votes were not used, with some people only voting for one or two candidates). So how should TUSC's share of support in the ward be calculated?

It could have been presented as a percentage of the total votes cast, 1.9%, and some councils do publish the figures in this way. But that doesn't give a rounded representation of a party's support. The three Labour candidates, for example, between them received 7,990 votes, but that is more than the number of people (5,524 remember) who voted. On the other hand, if all the candidates' votes were presented as a percentage of the 5,524 actual voters, the total number of potential votes (if all were used) would be 300%.

So the method used is to record the TUSC vote (or the highest TUSC vote in a multi-seat ward with more than one TUSC candidate) as a percentage of the aggregate of the highest votes of all the parties contesting the ward, the highest vote being taken as a maximum expression of a particular party's support.

In the Rushey Mead example there wasn't much deviation from the percentage of ballots cast method. The aggregate of the highest Labour vote (2,789), the highest Independent (1,039), the Tories' highest vote (861), the top Lib Dem vote (556), and TUSC's 272 votes, came to a total of 5,517. On this calculation, TUSC again polled 4.9% in the ward.

This method is neither a 'correct' nor 'incorrect' way of presenting the support there for TUSC. It is just another method, with its limitations openly acknowledged.



## **Elections 2023:**

# **The council Mayoral elections results**

There were four directly-elected council Mayoral contests this year, in Bedford, Leicester, Mansfield and Middlesbrough. TUSC stood a candidate in two of these elections – in Leicester and Mansfield – and the results are listed below.

Prior to this year, Mayoral elections had been conducted on a Supplementary Vote (SV) electoral system, a form of proportional representation in which voters can make a first and second preference choice. Under SV, if no candidate wins over 50% of first preference votes, the top two go into a second round and any second preference choice made by voters for one of them would be added to their final vote.

In the 2021 elections for the 'Metro-Mayors' of the combined authorities of Cambridgeshire and Peterborough and the West of England, the Labour candidates won over the anticipated Conservative victors on second preference votes; in the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough case after trailing by eight points in first preference votes. Subsequently the Tories introduced amendments to the notorious Elections Bill – the legislation bringing in voter photo ID rules – reverting to the 'first-past-the-post' system for all elections.

Sir Keir Starmer's New Labour party voted against the Elections Bill in parliament, including the provision to abolish the SV system. But Starmer has not committed either to the repeal of what is now the Election Act nor to any other moves to proportional representation, despite the support for it expressed at the 2022 Labour Party conference.

### **An obstacle for smaller parties**

The 'new old' system of first-past-the-post for Mayoral elections is certainly more restrictive for emergent or smaller electoral forces like TUSC. It gives added weight, for example, to the 'back Labour to keep out the Tories' argument – made for genuine reasons as well as bad – for why there should not be an independent working class election stand where the Tories are the main local challengers.

It also limits the possibilities for a 'collaborative challenge' to the establishment politicians, as happened in the East London borough of Tower Hamlets last year. The TUSC mayoral candidate, the UNISON NEC member Hugo Pierre, was the only candidate on the ballot paper who supported a second preference vote for the Aspire candidate Lutfur Rahman, against a right-wing Labour administration which had carried out a fire-and-rehire policy against its staff, in 2020, long before P&O brought the practice into the spotlight. Lutfur Rahman, who had been unjustly removed from his previous tenure as mayor in 2015 by an election court hearing as the establishment parties ganged up against him, won the election in the second round, in a crushing defeat for the local representatives of Starmer's new New Labour party.

The situation was not the same in Leicester although the move by the national Labour Party to remove 19 locally-selected candidates literally just days before the close of nominations did create possibilities for a broader challenge. The TUSC all-Britain steering committee offered a hand of solidarity to the debarred councillors (see <https://www.tusc.org.uk/18582/31-03-2023/as-starmers->

[purge-deepens-tusc-offers-solidarity-to-debarred-leicester-councillors/](#)) and one of the 19, Ruma Ali, contested the elections on May 4th under the TUSC umbrella.

But the return to the first-past-the-post system, and the lack of time to reach a principled anti-austerity agreement before the close of nominations after the national Labour Party's shock decision, meant that a collaborative challenge similar to that in Tower Hamlets was not possible in the Mayoral election in Leicester, which another of the 19, Rita Patel, decided to contest as an Independent candidate.

There was however mutual support given in the various campaign hustings, particularly to Rita's central pledge to abolish the City Mayor system (see the election booklet distributed to every household during the campaign, at <http://www.tusc.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/Leicester-Mayoral-Election-Booklet-2023.pdf>) and links have now been made for the next election challenge in the city – the general election.

Labour won the mayoralties of Leicester, Mansfield and Middlesbrough (the Tories won in Bedford), and they will now be in power when as is likely Starmer moves into Number Ten within the next 18 months. What will be their excuse not to fight the austerity agenda then? That's when the warnings made in the TUSC Mayoral election campaigns this year will have their biggest impact.

## Leicester

Sir Peter Soulsby, Labour – 35,002 (39.3%)

Sanjay Modhwadia, Conservative – 26,422 (29.7%)

Parmjit Singh Gill, Liberal Democrat – 9,674 (10.9%)

Rita Patel, Independent – 7,966 (8.9%)

Mags Lewis, Green – 7,808 (8.8%)

**Steve Score, TUSC – 2,173 (2.4%)**

*Total valid votes: 89,045*

## Mansfield

Andy Andrews, Labour – 9,987 (45.0%)

Andre Camilleri, Conservative – 5,832 (26.3%)

Mick Barton, Mansfield Independents – 4,992 (22.5%)

Julie Tasker-Love-Birks, Independent – 936 (4.2%)

**Karen Seymour, TUSC – 420 (1.9%)**

*Total valid votes: 22,167*



## Elections 2023: Council ward results league table

Below is a 'league table' of all the results of five percent or more achieved by TUSC candidates in the local council elections on May 4th.

The five percent vote share figure is not, in fact, relevant for the election of local ward councillors, in that there are no election deposits required in order to stand and which, in parliamentary elections (and Mayoral contests), are returned to candidates that make the threshold – hence the phrase, 'saving their deposit'.

Nevertheless, given that the five percent figure is part of the established media discourse about electoral politics, it is worth recording that, on May 4th, in a total of 39 wards – nearly one in six of those contested by TUSC – the TUSC candidates reached this benchmark. The overall average vote for TUSC candidates this year was 3.2%.

The league table results are listed in percentage order, giving the votes won by the TUSC candidate (the highest placed one where that is applicable) and with the percentage vote in parentheses (see the Note on Statistical Methods for how this was calculated in multi-seat wards).

	<b>Local authority</b>	<b>Ward</b>	<b>Vote</b>
1	Newton Abbot TC	Buckland & Milber	231 (10.5%)
2	Knowsley	Cherryfield	151 (9.8%)
3	Basildon	St Martins	119 (9.8%)
4	Dartmouth TC	Townstal	49 (9.6%)
5	South Hams	Ivybridge West	149 (9.4%)
6	Liverpool	Norris Green	257 (9.3%)
7	North East Lincolnshire	Sidney Sussex	130 (8.8%)
8	Sefton	St Oswald	145 (8.7%)
9	Ashford	Beaver	95 (8.2%)
10	Brighton & Hove	Moulescoomb & Bevendean	277 (8.0%)
11	Bolsover	Bolsover South	88 (8.0%)
12	Coventry	St Michaels	221 (7.8%)
13	Ivybridge TC	Ivybridge East	341 (7.4%)
14	Gateshead	High Fell	152 (7.3%)
15	Bolsover	Bolsover North & Shuttlewood	49 (7.1%)
16	Bromsgrove	Hill Top	42 (7.0%)
17	Coventry	Foleshill	213 (6.9%)
18	North Tyneside	Riverside	131 (6.6%)
19	Hull	Central	79 (6.6%)
20	East Riding of Yorkshire	Snaith, Airmyn, Rawcliffe Marshlands	159 (6.5%)
21	Bolsover	Shirebrook North	62 (6.5%)
22	Leicester	Braunstone Park & Rowley Fields	237 (6.3%)
23	West Lindsay	Gainsborough East	68 (6.3%)
24	Liverpool	Kirkdale East	34 (6.3%)
25	North Tyneside	Chirton	112 (6.2%)
26	Gateshead	Blaydon	117 (5.8%)
27	North East Lincolnshire	Croft Baker	107 (5.8%)
28	Nottingham	Bulwell	187 (5.6%)

<b>29</b>	<b>Dudley</b>	St Thomas	<b>186 (5.6%)</b>
<b>30</b>	<b>Leicester</b>	Aylestone	<b>157 (5.6%)</b>
<b>31</b>	<b>Bolsover</b>	Bolsover East	<b>62 (5.5%)</b>
<b>32</b>	<b>Cirencester TC</b>	Stratton	<b>75 (5.4%)</b>
<b>33</b>	<b>Basildon</b>	Vange	<b>65 (5.4%)</b>
<b>34</b>	<b>Chorley</b>	Chorley SE & Heath Charnock	<b>104 (5.3%)</b>
<b>35</b>	<b>Torbay</b>	Tormohun	<b>151 (5.2%)</b>
<b>36</b>	<b>Sheffield</b>	Manor Castle	<b>171 (5.0%)</b>
<b>37</b>	<b>Teignbridge</b>	Buckland & Milber	<b>116 (5.0%)</b>
<b>38</b>	<b>Sefton</b>	Derby	<b>103 (5.0%)</b>
<b>39</b>	<b>Mansfield</b>	Central	<b>18 (5.0%)</b>



## Elections 2023: TUSC results in English councils by region

Listed are the votes received by every candidate in the wards contested by TUSC on May 4th, with a percentage of the vote figure given for the TUSC candidate (see Note on Statistical Methods for how this was calculated in multi-seat wards).

### Eastern

#### Basildon

St Martins	Andrew Buxton	Lab 628; Con 322; LD 149; <b>TUSC 119 (9.8%)</b> Votes cast 1,218
Vange	Dave Murray	Lab 630; Con 436; LD 68; <b>TUSC 65 (5.4%)</b> Votes cast 1,199

#### Bedford

Greyfriars	Paul Mannion	Green 274; Lab 223; Ind 144; Con 67; LD 43; <b>TUSC 6 (0.8%)</b> Votes cast 757
------------	--------------	--

#### Broxbourne

Flamstead End	Aaron Smith	Con 856; Lab 361; LD 100; Green 91; <b>TUSC 11 (0.8%)</b> Votes cast 1,419
Waltham Cross	Christine Thomas	Lab 1,000; Con 530; LD 114; Green 90; <b>TUSC 49 (2.7%)</b> Votes cast 1,783

#### Chelmsford

Marconi ( <i>two seat ward</i> )	Anthony O'Donnell	LD 771; LD 631; Con 283; Lab 273; Green 192; Lab 177; Ind 124; <b>TUSC 85 (4.9%)</b> Votes cast 1,728
----------------------------------	-------------------	--

#### Epping Forest

Waltham Abbey Honey Lane	Ian Pattison	Con 487; Lab 367; <b>TUSC 42 (4.7%)</b> Votes cast 896
Waltham Abbey Paternoster	Bea Gardner	Con 293; Lab 250; British Dems 187; <b>TUSC 13 (1.7%)</b> Votes cast 743
Waltham Abbey South West	Josh Asker	Con 312; Lab 133; Green 108; LD 32; <b>TUSC 12 (2.0%)</b> Votes cast 597

#### Harlow

Old Harlow	Paul Lenihan	Con 1,073; Lab 747; Green 325; <b>TUSC 42 (1.9%)</b> Votes cast 2,187
------------	--------------	--

## Peterborough

Central	Steve Cawley	Lab 1,579; Con 509; Green 203; LD 179; <b>TUSC 73 (2.9%)</b> Votes cast 2,543
---------	--------------	---

## Stevenage

Bandle Hill	Mark Pickersgill	Lab 647; Con 543; LD 173; Green 86; <b>TUSC 21 (1.4%)</b> Votes cast 1,470
Bedwell	Steve Glennon	Lab 771; Con 305; LD 160; Green 104; Ind 70; <b>TUSC 21 (1.5%)</b> Votes cast 1,431
Chells	Roger Charles	LD 691; Lab 477; Con 298; <b>TUSC 45 (3.0%)</b> Votes cast 1,511
Longmeadow	Helen Kerr	Con 637; Lab 623; LD 155; <b>TUSC 45 (3.1%)</b> Votes cast 1,460
Martins Wood	Mark Gentleman	Lab 665; Con 339; LD 202; Green 133; <b>TUSC 32 (2.3%)</b> Votes cast 1,371
Old Town	Mark Kerr	Lab 992; Con 792; Green 236; LD 151; <b>TUSC 32 (1.5%)</b> Votes cast 2,203
Roebuck	Bryan Clare	Lab 682; Con 502; LD 170; Green 75; <b>TUSC 23 (1.6%)</b> Votes cast 1,452
Shephall	Barbara Clare	Lab 736; Con 306; LD 151; <b>TUSC 48 (3.9%)</b> Votes cast 1,241
St Nicholas	Amber Gentleman	Lab 943; Con 415; LD 190; <b>TUSC 69 (4.3%)</b> Votes cast 1,617
Symonds Green	Trevor Palmer	Lab 720; Con 435; LD 155; Green 130; <b>TUSC 31 (2.1%)</b> Votes cast 1,471

## Watford

Holywell	Mark O'Connor	Lab 960; LD 335; Con 323; <b>TUSC 58 (3.5%)</b> Votes cast 1,676
Leggats	Derek Foster	Lab 882; LD 665; Con 297; Ind 66; <b>TUSC 24 (1.4%)</b> Votes cast 1,934

# East Midlands

## Ashfield

Annesley & Kirkby Woodhouse (two seat ward)	Tom Hunt	Ind 1,117; Ind 1,027; Lab 615; Lab 588; Con 278; Con 249; <b>TUSC 28 (1.4%)</b> Votes cast 2,038
Leamington	Ruth Stevens	Ind 351; Lab 277; Con 89; <b>TUSC 15 (2.0%)</b> Votes cast 732
Sutton Central & New Cross (two seat ward)	Lea Sharpe	Ind 677; Ind 551; Lab 381; Con 380; Con 325; Lab 316; <b>TUSC 39 (2.6%)</b> Votes cast 1,477

## Bolsover

Bolsover East (two seat ward)	Dan Jackson	Lab 507; Lab 436; Con 303; Ind 246; Con 226; <b>TUSC 62 (5.5%)</b>
-------------------------------	-------------	--

Bolsover North & Shuttlewood ( <i>two seat ward</i> )	Elaine Evans	Votes cast 1,118 Lab 494; Lab 429; Con 150; Con 136; <b>TUSC 49 (7.1%)</b>
Bolsover South ( <i>two seat ward</i> )	Jon Dale	Votes cast 691 Lab 386; Con 317; ind 314; Lab 301; Con 271; Ind 245; <b>TUSC 88 (8.0%)</b>
Clowne East ( <i>three seat ward</i> )	David Watson	Votes cast 1,105 Lab 547; Lab 517; Ind 504; Ind 469; Lab 401; Ind 357; Con 343; Con 320; Con 230; <b>TUSC 47 (3.3%)</b>
Shirebrook North ( <i>two seat ward</i> )	Ronnie Rogers	Votes cast 1,441 Lab 411; Lab 330; Con 210; Ind 202; Con 187; <b>TUSC 62 (6.5%)</b> ; Green 62
Shirebrook South ( <i>two seat ward</i> )	Dean Eggleston	Votes cast 947 Lab 422; Lab 412; Con 185; Ind 159; Con 111; LD 54; <b>TUSC 39 (4.5%)</b>
South Normanton West ( <i>three seat ward</i> )	Brian Loader	Votes cast 859 Lab 438; Lab 422; Con 410; Lab 405; Con 381; Con 377; Ind 238; Ind 213; Ind 143; Green 113; Ind 106; Ind 82; Ind 79; <b>TUSC 33 (2.7%)</b>
<b>Leicester</b>		
Aylestone ( <i>two seat ward</i> )	Steve Score	Votes cast 1,232 LD 1,096; LD 927; Lab 839; Lab 605; Con 360; Con 301; Green 300; <b>TUSC 157 (5.6%)</b> ; Ind 68
Belgrave ( <i>three seat ward</i> )	David Rollins	Votes cast 2,820 Con 4,424; Con 4,409; Con 4,335; Lab 1,818; Lab 1,759; Lab 1,636; Ind 1,356; Ind 1,296; Ind 1,103; Green 341; LD 239; <b>TUSC 183 (2.2%)</b>
Braunstone Park & Rowley Fields ( <i>three seat ward</i> )	Wayne Naylor	Votes cast 8,361 Lab 1,489; Lab 1,419; Lab 1,395; Con 805; Con 760; Con 705; Green 489; LD 370; Ind 369; LD 259; LD 245; <b>TUSC 237 (6.3%)</b>
Evington ( <i>three seat ward</i> )	Ruma Ali	Votes cast 3,759 LD 1,841; Con 1,794; Con 1,657; Lab 1,603; Con 1,590; LD 1,490; Lab 1,367; Lab 1,292; LD 1,200; Ind 377; Green 349; <b>TUSC 234 (3.8%)</b>
Fosse ( <i>two seat ward</i> )	Brian Scott	Votes cast 6,198 Lab 999; Lab 792; Con 535; Con 531; Green 377; LD 355; <b>TUSC 129 (4.7%)</b> ; Ind 90
Humberstone & Hamilton ( <i>three seat ward</i> )	Barbara Potter	Votes cast 2,710 Lab 1,673; Lab 1,642; Lab 1,531; Con 1,342; Con 1,286; Con 1,275; Green 492; Ind 478; LD 416; Ind 345; LD 337; LD 299; <b>TUSC 196 (4.3%)</b> ; Ind 196
Knighton ( <i>three seat ward</i> )	Alexandra Gillham	Votes cast 4,597 Lab 2,203; Lab 2,071; Lab 2,067; LD 1,198; Green 1,053; LD 1,000; Con 981; Con 886; Con 866; LD 843; Green 692; <b>TUSC 208 (3.7%)</b>
Saffron ( <i>two seat ward</i> )	Peter Bisson	Votes cast 5,643 Lab 783; Lab 774; Green 438; Green 428; Con 286; Con 284; LD 95; <b>TUSC 80 (4.5%)</b> ; LD 78; CPB 42; British Dems 34
Wycliffe ( <i>two seat ward</i> )	Tessa Warrington	Votes cast 1,758 Lab 3,690; Lab 3,681; Con 1,218; Con 1,168; LD 275; Green 219; <b>TUSC 116</b>

**(2.1%)**  
Votes cast 5,518

## Lincoln

Carholme

Nick Parker

Lab 1,066; Con 216; Ind 204; Green 152;  
LD 84; **TUSC 64 (3.5%)**; Lib 18  
Votes cast 1,804

## Mansfield

Bancroft

Denise Tooley-  
Okonkwo

Lab 286; Ind 95; Con 84; **TUSC 12 (2.5%)**  
Votes cast 477

Brick Kiln

Deborah-Jane Hodson

Lab 306; Con 92; Ind 75; **TUSC 13 (2.7%)**  
Votes cast 486

Central

Paul Tooley-Okonkwo

Lab 235; Ind 98; Con 70; **TUSC 18 (5.0%)**  
Votes cast 361

Grange Farm

Il-Sung Sato

Ind 467; Lab 210; Con 157; **TUSC 15  
(1.8%)**  
Votes cast 849

Holly Forest Town

Shelly Burnett

Ind 439; Lab 165; Con 90; **TUSC 11  
(1.6%)**  
Votes cast 705

Ling Forest

Andrea Dickens

Con 223; Ind 215; Lab 181; **TUSC 7  
(1.1%)**  
Votes cast 626

Market Warsop

Ruth Truswell

Lab 222; Ind 172; Con 55; Ind 50; **TUSC 6  
(1.2%)**  
Votes cast 505

Maun Valley

Karen Seymour

Ind 425; Lab 207; Con 137; **TUSC 12  
(1.5%)**  
Votes cast 781

Oak Tree

Milo Tooley-Okonkwo

Lab 186; Con 149; Ind 105; **TUSC 3  
(0.7%)**  
Votes cast 443

Penniment

Adam Brailsford

Lab 313; Ind 253; Con 87; **TUSC 17  
(2.5%)**  
Votes cast 670

## Newark & Sherwood

Rainworth North & Rufford (*two seat  
ward*)

Kirsty Denman

Lab 632; Lab 549; Con 482; Con 460; ind  
258; Green 163; **TUSC 40 (2.5%)**  
Votes cast 1,575

## Nottingham

Bulwell Forest

Charlie Taylor

Lab 1,573; Lab 1,477; Lab 1,338; Con  
849; Con 840; Con 815; Green 378; Ind  
359; Ind 306; **TUSC 187 (5.6%)**  
Votes cast 3,346

## West Lindsay

Gainsborough East (*three seat ward*)

Michael Hancock

LD 534; LD 397; LD 382; Ind 186; Lab  
161; Ind 154; Con 130; Con 110; **TUSC  
68 (6.3%)**  
Votes cast

# Northern

## Gateshead

Blaydon

Tom Allen

Lab 1,312; LD 353; Con 219; **TUSC 117  
(5.8%)**  
Votes cast 2001

Chopwell & Rowlands Gill	Tony Dodds	Lab 1,681; Con 402; LD 316; <b>TUSC 118 (4.7%)</b> <i>Votes cast 2,517</i>
Chowdene	Mark Robertson	Lab 1,245; Con 408; LD 295; Green 118; <b>TUSC 95 (4.4%)</b> <i>Votes cast 2,161</i>
Deckham	Norman Hall	Lab 1,075; Con 220; LD 220; Green 194; Reform 135; <b>TUSC 52 (2.7%)</b> <i>Votes cast 1,896</i>
High Fell	Elaine Brunskill	Lab 1,519; LD 210; Con 204; <b>TUSC 152 (7.3%)</b> <i>Votes cast 2,085</i>
Ryton, Crookhill & Stella	Ros Cooper	Lab 1,545; Green 315; Con 286; Ind 281; LD 215; <b>TUSC 48 (1.8%)</b> <i>Votes cast 2,690</i>
Whickham South & Sunnyside	Joel Byers	LD 1,829; Lab 662; Con 273; <b>TUSC 82 (2.9%)</b> <i>Votes cast 2,846</i>

## Newcastle-upon-Tyne

Kenton	Nick Fray	Lab 1,337; LD 562; Con 270; Green 185; <b>TUSC 71 (2.9%)</b> <i>Votes cast 2,425</i>
--------	-----------	---

## North Tyneside

Battle Hill	Craig Toft	Lab 1,605; Con 513; Green 190; <b>TUSC 94 (3.9%)</b> <i>Votes cast 2,402</i>
Chirton	William Jarrett	Lab 1,064; Con 377; Green 145; <b>TUSC 112 (6.2%)</b> ; UKIP 95 <i>Votes cast 1,793</i>
Longbenton	Dan George	Lab 1,597; Con 356; Green 193; LD 119; <b>TUSC 95 (4.0%)</b> <i>Votes cast 2,360</i>
Riverside	Stephanie Anderson	Lab 1,146; Con 444; Green 274; <b>TUSC 131 (6.6%)</b> <i>Votes cast 1,995</i>
Tynemouth	Siobhan Coogan	Con 1,757; Lab 1,737; Green 292; Ind 96; <b>TUSC 63 (1.6%)</b> ; Reform 46; UKIP 15 <i>Votes cast 4,006</i>
Wallsend	Wendy Jackson	Lab 1,413; Con 286; Green 218; Reform 133; <b>TUSC 58 (2.8%)</b> <i>Votes cast 2,108</i>
Whitley Bay	John Hoare	Lab 1,788; Green 1,013; Con 325; Reform 96; LD 50; <b>TUSC 41 (1.2%)</b> <i>Votes cast 3,313</i>

## North West

### Cheshire West & Chester

Great Boughton ( <i>two seat ward</i> )	Mark Pearson	Lab 1,866; Lab 1,736; Con 1,214; Con 1,200; LD 732; LD 458; Green 218; Green 168; <b>TUSC 45 (1.1 %)</b> <i>Votes cast 4,075</i>
Newton & Hoole ( <i>three seat ward</i> )	Kenny Cunningham	Lab 2,956; Lab 2,718; Lab 2,672; Con 859; Green 780; Con 664; Con 647; LD 339; LD 316; LD 300; <b>TUSC 135 (2.6%)</b> ; Ind 134 <i>Votes cast 5,203</i>

### Chorley

Chorley South East & Heath Charnock	Jenny Hurley	Lab 1,203; Con 514; Green 150; <b>TUSC 104 (5.3%)</b> Votes cast 1,971
<b>Knowsley</b>		
Cherryfield	Neill Dunne	Lab 1,138; Green 246; <b>TUSC 151 (9.8%)</b> Votes cast 1,535
<b>Liverpool</b>		
Kirkdale East	Roger Bannister	Lab 440; LD 37; <b>TUSC 34 (6.3 %)</b> ; Ind 26 Votes cast 537
Norris Green ( <i>three seats</i> )	Ann Walsh	Lab 1,611; Lab 1,472; Lab 1,342; Ind 340; Green 296; LD 259; <b>TUSC 257 (9.3%)</b> Votes cast 2,763
Springwood	Alex Smith	Lab 904; LD 463; Green 114; <b>TUSC 56 (3.5%)</b> ; Con 44 Votes cast 1,581
<b>Salford</b>		
Eccles	Sally Griffiths	Lab 2,022; Con 482; Green 373; <b>TUSC 93 (3.0%)</b> ; LD 92 Votes cast 3,085
<b>Sefton</b>		
Derby	Dean Young	Lab 1,652; Green 149; Con 139; <b>TUSC 103 (5.0%)</b> Votes cast 2,043
St Oswald	Conor O'Neill	Lab 1,362; Con 151; <b>TUSC 145 (8.7%)</b> Votes cast 1,658
<b>Wigan</b>		
Standish with Langtree ( <i>three seat ward</i> )	Sharon Holden	Lab 1,705; Con 1,411; Lab 1,303; Con 1,301; Lab 1,203; Con 1,082; Ind 795; Reform 260; <b>TUSC 150 (3.5%)</b> Votes cast 4,321

## Southern

### Arun

Barnham ( <i>three seat ward</i> )	Sarah Welch	Green 1,316; Green 1,252; Green 1,030; Con 712; Con 677; Con 620; Lab 425; <b>TUSC 56 (2.2%)</b> Votes cast 2,509
------------------------------------	-------------	--

### Ashford

Beaver ( <i>two seat ward</i> )	Garry Harrison	Lab 470; Lab 410; Con 285; Con 270; Ind 215; LD 99; <b>TUSC 95 (8.2%)</b> Votes cast 1,164
Godinton	Cameron Osmundsen	Con 330; Lab 146; Green 94; LD 53; <b>TUSC 12 (1.9%)</b> Votes cast 635

### Brighton & Hove

Brunswick & Adelaide ( <i>two seat ward</i> )	David Maples	Lab 1,141; Lab 1,047; Green 1,041; Green 901; Ind 550; Ind 266; Con 203; Con 162; LD 154; <b>TUSC 79 (2.5%)</b> Votes cast 3,168
Central Hove ( <i>two seat ward</i> )	Glenn Kelly	Lab 1,832; Lab 1,710; Green 988; Green 730; Con 419; Con 392; LD 193; Ind 160; <b>TUSC 93 (2.5%)</b> Votes cast 3,685
Coldean & Stanmer ( <i>two seat ward</i> )	Penny Iveson	Lab 688; lab 510; Ind 373; Green 368; Green 352; Con 186; LD 120; <b>TUSC 76 (4.2%)</b> ; LD 59

Hollingdean & Fiveways ( <i>three seat ward</i> )	Megan Churchland	Votes cast 1,811 Lab 2,662; Lab 2,553; Lab 2,468; Green 1,967; Green 1,954; Green 1,634; Con 346; Con 313; Con 308; LD 277; Ind 263; <b>TUSC 145 (2.5%)</b> ; UKIP 89 Votes cast 5,749
Moulescoomb & Bevendean ( <i>three seat ward</i> )	Julie Donovan	Lab 2,033; Lab 1,882; Lab 1,785; Green 703; Green 572; Con 434; Green 417; <b>TUSC 277 (8.0%)</b> Votes cast 3,447
Rottingdean & West Saltdean ( <i>two seat ward</i> )	Ron Reader	Ind 2,266; Ind 1,593; Lab 1,299; Lab 1,268; Con 552; Con 501; Ind 327; Green 203; Green 142; LD 135; <b>TUSC 30 (0.7%)</b> Votes cast 4,485
Westbourne & Poets Corner ( <i>two seat ward</i> )	Dave Hill	Lab 1,911; Lab 1,741; Green 743; Green 691; Con 448; Con 421; Ind 211; LD 208; <b>TUSC 111 (3.0%)</b> ; UKIP 65 Votes cast 3,697
Whitehawk & Marina ( <i>two seat ward</i> )	Bill North	Lab 1,366; Lab 1,330; Con 642; Con 600; Green 385; Green 219; LD 206; <b>TUSC 89 (3.3%)</b> Votes cast 2,688
<b>Crawley</b> Broadfield	Yiannis Koyratos	Lab 928; Con 507; LD 124; Ind 107; <b>TUSC 33 (1.9%)</b> Votes cast 1,699
<b>Eastleigh</b> West End North	Neil Henry	LD 847; Con 398; Green 136; Lab 97; <b>TUSC 11 (0.7%)</b> Votes cast 1,489
<b>Guildford</b> Onslow ( <i>three seat ward</i> )	Sam Church; James Lewis	LD 1,272; LD 933; LD 908; Con 479; Con 425; Ind 395; Con 391; Ind 389; Ind 317; Lab 289; Lab 273; Lab 193; <b>TUSC 36 (1.5%)</b> ; <b>TUSC 35</b> Votes cast 2,471
<b>Portsmouth</b> Fratton	Chris Pickett	LD 1,051; Lab 840; Ind 457; Con 248; Green 139; <b>TUSC 24 (0.9%)</b> Votes cast 2,759
Nelson	Nick Doyle	LD 1,033; Lab 498; Con 323; Ind 169; Green 121; <b>TUSC 19 (0.9%)</b> Votes cast 2,163
<b>Reading</b> Katesgrove	Lily Challice	Green 1,048; Lab 832; Con 213; LD 80; <b>TUSC 58 (2.6%)</b> ; Ind 16 Votes cast 2,247
Norcot	Jen Bottom	Lab 1,202; Con 371; Green 206; LD 126; Ind 71; Lib 57; <b>TUSC 39 (1.9%)</b> Votes cast 2,072
Redlands	Melanie Dent	Lab 1,194; Green 1,034; Con 193; LD 114; <b>TUSC 36 (1.4%)</b> Votes cast 2,571
Southcote	Neil Adams	Lab 1,454; Con 547; Green 223; LD 136; <b>TUSC 31 (1.3%)</b> Votes cast 2,391
<b>Rushmoor</b> Wellington	Ryan Lydall	Lab 652; Con 414; LD 66; <b>TUSC 22 (1.9%)</b> Votes cast 1,154

## Southampton

Banister & Polygon ( <i>three seats</i> )	James Rucci	Lab 1,307; Lab 1,276; Lab 1,145; Con 519; Con 496; Con 486; Green 453; LD 320; LD 288; LD 219; <b>TUSC 125 (4.5%)</b> <i>Votes cast 2,744</i>
Bargate ( <i>three seats</i> )	Donna Dee; Graham Henry	Lab 1,173; Lab 999; Lab 915; Con 521; Con 510; Con 500; Green 257; Green 226; LD 217; Green 128; LD 123; LD 118; <b>TUSC 73 (3.2%); TUSC 66</b> <i>Votes cast 2,243</i>
Bevois ( <i>three seats</i> )	Orlagh Scorey-Auckland	Lab 1,770; Lab 1,455; Lab 1,442; Green 430; Con 224; Con 219; LD 214; Con 208; LD 172; LD 146; <b>TUSC 108 (3.9%)</b> <i>Votes cast 2,746</i>
Bitterne Park ( <i>three seats</i> )	Dee Strutt	Lab 1,790; Lab 1,677; Lab 1,670; Con 1,598; Con 1,289; Con 1,259; Green 593; LD 361; LD 283; LD 267; Ind 177; <b>TUSC 102 (2.2%)</b> <i>Votes cast 4,621</i>
Coxford ( <i>three seats</i> )	Maggie Fricker	Election suspended as one of the Conservative candidates died on polling day. To be re-scheduled.
Freemantle ( <i>three seats</i> )	Catherine Clarke	Lab 1,535; Lab 1,521; Lab 1,484; Con 636; Con 629; Con 582; Green 495; LD 240; LD 158; LD 157; <b>TUSC 149 (4.7%)</b> <i>Votes cast 3,167</i>
Harefield ( <i>three seats</i> )	Declan Clune	Con 1,361; Con 1,270; Con 1,269; Lab 1,093; Lab 1,043; Lab 957; Green 327; LD 286; LD 271; LD 212; <b>TUSC 85 (2.7%)</b> <i>Votes cast 3,152</i>
Millbrook ( <i>three seats</i> )	Andrew Howe	Con 1,199; Con 1,165; Lab 1,140; Con 1,117; Lab 1,062; Lab 982; Green 317; LD 280; LD 181; LD 153; <b>TUSC 98 (3.2%)</b> <i>Votes cast 3,034</i>
Peartree ( <i>three seats</i> )	Ali Haydor	Lab 1,654; Con 1,594; Lab 1,259; Con 1,225; Con 1,195; Lab 1,180; Green 374; LD 325; LD 286; Green 249; LD 243; <b>TUSC 86 (2.1%)</b> <i>Votes cast 4,033</i>
Portswood ( <i>three seats</i> )	Tony Twine	Green 1,391; Lab 1,274; Lab 1,246; Lab 1,237; Green 866; Green 846; Con 717; Con 655; Con 623; LD 218; LD 203; LD 193; Reform 84; <b>TUSC 76 (2.0%)</b> <i>Votes cast 3,760</i>
Redbridge ( <i>three seats</i> )	Pete Wyatt	Lab 1,166; Lab 1,125; Lab 1,160; Con 828; Con 808; Con 770; Green 207; Reform 155; LD 144; LD 142; LD 128; <b>TUSC 76 (2.0%)</b> <i>Votes cast 2,576</i>
Shirley ( <i>three seats</i> )	Mike Marx	Lab 1,956; Lab 1,688; Lab 1,599; Con 1,286; Con 1,173; Con 1,119; LD 612; Green 551; LD 477; LD 406; <b>TUSC 92 (2.0%)</b> <i>Votes cast 4,497</i>
Sholing ( <i>three seats</i> )	Jon Stubbs	Con 1,438; Con 1,349; Con 1,297; Lab 1,111; Lab 1,101; Lab 1,040; LD 346; Green 332; LD 330; LD 169; <b>TUSC 105 (3.1%)</b> <i>Votes cast 3,332</i>
Swaythling ( <i>three seats</i> )	Nick Chaffey	Lab 1,293; Lab 1,221; Lab 1,173; Con 545; Con 516; LD 515; Con 468; Green 460; LD 445; LD 442; <b>TUSC 118 (4.0%)</b>

Thornhill ( <i>three seats</i> )	Clara Asher	Votes cast 2,931 Lab 1,169; Lab 1,162; Lab 1,141; Con 998; Con 960; Con 933; Green 191; LD 172; Green 137; LD 111; LD 77; <b>TUSC 63 (2.4%)</b>
Woolston ( <i>three seats</i> )	Sue Atkins	Votes cast 2,593 Lab 1,410; Lab 1,332; Lab 1,145; Con 990; Con 890; Con 715; Green 410; LD 224; <b>TUSC 141 (4.3%)</b> ; Reform 127; LD 111; LD 99 Votes cast 3,302

## Spelthorne

Laleham & Shepperton Green ( <i>three seat ward</i> )	Andrew Pattison; Helen Couchman; Paul Couchman	Con 945; Con 878; Con 710; Green 673; Green 614; LD 470; Lab 378; Ind 192; <b>TUSC 65 (2.4%)</b> ; <b>TUSC 55</b> ; <b>TUSC 37</b> Votes cast 2,723
---	--	--

## Waverley

Cranleigh West ( <i>two seat ward</i> )	Laurence Dunn; Ellie Waple	LD 1,110; LD 836; Con 682; Con 622; Lab 113; Reform 81; <b>TUSC 22 (1.1%)</b> <b>TUSC 6</b> Votes cast 2,008
---	----------------------------	---

# South West

## Cirencester TC

Stratton ( <i>two seat ward</i> )	Gunther Strait	LD 622; Green 350; Con 337; <b>TUSC 75 (5.4%)</b> Votes cast 1,384
-----------------------------------	----------------	---

## Cotswold

Stratton	Gunther Strait	LD 516; Con 278; Green 85; <b>TUSC 31 (3.4%)</b> Votes cast 910
----------	----------------	--

## Dartmouth TC

Townstal ( <i>six seats</i> )	Lynn Gunnigle	Ind 239; Ind 224; Ind 200; Ind 172; Ind 138; Ind 135; Ind 126; Ind 81; <b>TUSC 49 (9.6%)</b> Votes cast 512
-------------------------------	---------------	--

## Ivybridge TC

Ivybridge East ( <i>eight seats</i> )	Cllr Tony Rea	Ind 872; Ind 719; Ind 477; Ind 369; Ind 350; <b>TUSC 341 (7.4%)</b> ; Ind 332; Ind 304; Ind 263; Ind 238; Ind 211; Ind 147 Votes cast 1,213
---------------------------------------	---------------	--

## Newton Abbot TC

Buckland & Milber ( <i>five seats</i> )	Jane Haden; James Osben	Ind 914; LD 814; Ind 798; LD 716; LD 694; Ind 236; <b>TUSC 231 (10.5%)</b> ; <b>TUSC 170</b> Votes cast 2,195
---	-------------------------	--

## Plymouth

Budshead	Nik Brooks	Lab 1,328; Con 1,177; LD 234; Green 183; <b>TUSC 54 (1.8%)</b> Votes cast 2,976
Compton	Sam Hey	Lab 1,627; Con 1,207; Ind 445; Green 269; LD 185; <b>TUSC 44 (1.2%)</b> Votes cast 3,777
Devonport	Lesley Duncan	Lab 1,693; Con 544; Green 224; LD 187 <b>TUSC 80 (2.9%)</b> Votes cast 2,728
Drake	Chris Bligh	Ind 1,024; Lab 794; Green 159; Con 45; <b>TUSC 33 (3.2%)</b> ; LD 31; Ind 14

Efford & Lipson	Matthew Whitear	Votes cast 2,100 Lab 1,701; Con 623; Green 338; LD 155; <b>TUSC 69 (2.4%)</b>
Egguckland	Neil Prentice	Votes cast 2,886 Lab 1,520; Con 1,125; LD 383; Green 208; Ind 120; <b>TUSC 29 (0.9%)</b>
Ham	Kate Holden	Votes cast 3,385 Lab 1,390; Con 569; Ind 509; Green 167; LD 164; Ind 59; Ind 58; <b>TUSC 20 (0.7%)</b>
Honicknowle	Louise Alldridge	Votes cast 2,936 Lab 1,426; Con 554; Green 222; LD 179; <b>TUSC 54 (2.2%)</b>
Moor View	Andrew White	Votes cast 2,435 Lab 1,545; Con 1,138; Ind 254; Green 151; LD 149; Ind 109; <b>TUSC 22 (0.6%)</b>
Peverell	Duncan Moore	Votes cast 3,368 Lab 2,203; Con 1,029; Ind 374; Green 371; LD 169; <b>TUSC 48 (1.1%)</b>
Plympton Erle	Helen Yeo	Votes cast 4,194 Ind 1,236; Lab 498; Con 442; Green 147; LD 71; <b>TUSC 25 (1.0%)</b>
Plympton St Mary	Alan Frost	Votes cast 2,419 Ind 1,965; Con 827; Lab 649; Green 266; LD 139; <b>TUSC 29 (0.7%)</b>
Plymstock Dunstone	Jackie Hilton	Votes cast 3,875 Lab 2,210; Con 1,315; Ind 309; LD 211; Green 160; Reform 117; <b>TUSC 21 (0.5%)</b> ; Ind 19
Plymstock Radford	Martin Wright	Votes cast 4,362 Con 1,381; Lab 1,150; Ind 486; Green 379; Ind 247; LD 234; <b>TUSC 13 (0.3%)</b>
Southway	Tony Bligh	Votes cast 3,890 Lab 1,361; Con 884; Ind 427; Green 171; LD 142; <b>TUSC 40 (1.3%)</b>
St Budeaux	Laurie Moore	Votes cast 3,025 Lab 1,006; Green 714; Con 614; Ind 147; Ind 127; LD 72; <b>TUSC 21 (0.8%)</b>
St Peter & the Waterfront	Ryan Aldred	Votes cast 2,701 Lab 1,689; Con 641; Green 373; LD 244; Ind 160; Ind 157; Reform 152; <b>TUSC 104 (2.9%)</b>
Stoke	Alex Moore	Votes cast 3,520 Lab 2,041; Con 615; Green 330; LD 224; <b>TUSC 92 (2.8%)</b>
Sutton & Mount Gould	Alexandra Sampson	Votes cast 3,302 Lab 1,785; Con 512; Green 363; LD 176; Ind 124; <b>TUSC 84 (2.8%)</b>
<b>South Hams</b>		
Ivybridge West ( <i>two seats</i> )	Tony Rea	Votes cast 3,044 LD 882; LD 810; Con 438; Con 304; Green 184; Green 164; <b>TUSC 149 (9.4%)</b>
<b>Swindon</b>		
Covingham & Dorcan	Scott Hunter	Votes cast 1,581 Con 1,302; Lab 1,133; LD 178; Green 162; <b>TUSC 41 (1.5%)</b>
Lydiard & Freshbrook	Rob Pettefar	Votes cast 2,816 Lab 1,489; Con 1,098; LD 143; <b>TUSC 97 (3.4%)</b>
<b>Teignbridge</b>		
Buckland & Milber ( <i>three seats</i> )	Jane Haden; James Osben	Votes cast 2,827 Ind 752; LD 735; Ind 652; LD 619; Ind 540; LD 466; Con 387; Green 241; Green

197; **TUSC 116 (5.0%)**; Ind 90; Ind 86;  
**TUSC 81**; Ind 86  
*Votes cast 2,321*

## Torbay

Kings Ash (*two seats*)

Neil Cussons

Con 621; Con 555; LD 445; LD 426; Lab 219; Green 196; **TUSC 74 (4.8%)**  
*Votes cast 1,555*

Shiphay (*two seats*)

Stuart Gale

Ind 667; Con 583; Con 575; Ind 553; LD 319; Green 212; LD 190; Ind 92; Ind 64;  
**TUSC 62 (3.4%)**  
*Votes cast 1,843*

Tormohun (*three seats*)

Michelle Goodman

LD 1,087; LD 953; LD 943; Con 692; Con 678; Con 649; Lab 404; Green 330; Ind 196; **TUSC 151 (5.3%)**  
*Votes cast 2,860*

# West Midlands

## Bromsgrove

Hill Top

Chloe Leslie

Lab 401; Con 100; LD 55; **TUSC 42 (7.0%)**

*Votes cast 598*

Sidemoor

Judith Byrne

Lab 352; LD 213; Con 183; **TUSC 21 (2.7%)**

*Votes cast 769*

## Coventry

Bablake

Ted Woodley

Con 1,770; Lab 1,393; Green 283; LD 186; Ind 180; **TUSC 20 (0.5%)**

*Votes cast 3,832*

Binley & Willenhall

Michael Morgan

Lab 1,380; Ind 970; Con 540; ADF 110; **TUSC 47 (1.5%)**

*Votes cast 3,047*

Cheylesmore

Judy Griffiths

Lab 1,671; Con 1,487; Green 263; LD 174; Ind 124; **TUSC 53 (1.4%)**

*Votes cast 3,772*

Earlsdon

Adam Harmsworth

Lab 2,627; Con 1,467; LD 367; Green 350; Ind 148; **TUSC 66 (1.3%)**

*Votes cast 5,025*

Foleshill

Mila Matharu

Lab 2,409; Con 446; **TUSC 213 (6.9%)**

*Votes cast 3,068*

Henley

Aidan O'Toole

Lab 2,017; Con 659; Green 279; Ind 215; **TUSC 51 (1.6%)**

*Votes cast 3,221*

Holbrook

Jim Hensman

Green 1,566; Lab 1,487; Con 396; **TUSC 60 (1.7%)**

*Votes cast 3,509*

Longford

Alan Checklin

Lab 1,991; Con 521; Green 228; Ind 150; **TUSC 56 (1.9%)**

*Votes cast 2,946*

Lower Stoke

John O'Sullivan

Lab 2,142; Con 890; Green 335; LD 201; Ind 190; **TUSC 93 (2.4%)**

*Votes cast 3,851*

Radford

Dave Anderson

Lab 1,686; Con 535; Green 266; Ind 199; **TUSC 118 (4.2%)**

*Votes cast 2,804*

Sherbourne

Jane Nellist

Lab 1,444; Con 1,423; Green 253; LD 150; **TUSC 115 (3.3%)**; Ind 100

*Votes cast 3,485*

St Michaels

Dave Nellist

Lab 1,869; Con 313; **TUSC 221 (7.8%)**; Green 176; LD 135; Ind 109

Upper Stoke	Terri Rosser	Votes cast 2,823 Lab 1671; Con 492; Green 356; Ind 247; <b>TUSC 67 (2.4%)</b>
Westwood	Jim Donnelly	Votes cast 2,833 Con 1,689; Lab 1,397; Green 243; LD 196; <b>TUSC 64 (1.8%)</b>
Whoberley	Zoe Parker	Votes cast 3,589 Lab 1,871; Con 782; Green 378; LD 219; Ind 113; <b>TUSC 73 (2.1%)</b>
Woodlands	Sarah Davis	Votes cast 3,436 Con 2,093; Lab 1,325; LD 269; Green 228; <b>TUSC 83 (2.1%)</b>
Wyken	Samiul Islam	Votes cast 3,998 Lab 1,549; Con 924; Green 327; Ind 176; Reform 93; <b>TUSC 32 (1.0%)</b>
		Votes cast 3,101
<b>Dudley</b>		
Castle & Priory	Nicola Fisher	Lab 1,330; Con 600; Green 114; LD 110; <b>TUSC 47 (2.1%)</b>
		Votes cast 2,201
Cradley & Wollescote	Siobhan Halloran	Lab 1,061; LD 1,040; Con 517; Green 89; <b>TUSC 24 (0.9%)</b>
		Votes cast 2,731
St Thomas ( <i>two seats</i> )	Lee Robertson	Lab 1,609; Lab 1,556; Con 1,209; Con 684; LD 190; <b>TUSC 186 (5.6%)</b> ; Green 155
		Votes cast 3,349
<b>Sandwell</b>		
Abbey	Bob Severn	Lab 1,586; Green 357; Con 271; LD 90; <b>TUSC 80 (3.4%)</b>
		Votes cast 2,384
Charlemont & Grove Vale	Owen Lees	Con 1,307; Lab 1,065; LD 149; Reform 106; <b>TUSC 49 (1.8%)</b>
		Votes cast 2,676
Oldbury	Richard Gingell	Lab 1,509; Con 925; Green 105; <b>TUSC 78 (3.0%)</b>
		Votes cast 2,617
Wednesbury North	Gareth Knox	Lab 1,075; Con 581; Green 127; Reform 88; <b>TUSC 24 (1.3%)</b>
		Votes cast 1,895
Wednesbury South	Usemu Makaya	Lab 1,358; Con 766; LD 94; Reform 67; <b>TUSC 22 (0.9%)</b>
		Votes cast 2,307
<b>Solihul</b>		
Lyndon	Lynsey Smith	LD 1,684; Con 711; Lab 474; <b>TUSC 48 (1.6%)</b>
		Votes cast 2,917
<b>Wolverhampton</b>		
Oxley ( <i>three seats</i> )	Joshua Allerton	Lab 1,329; Lab 1,302; Lab 1,244; Con 996; Con 910; Con 858; LD 243; LD 175; LD 174; <b>TUSC 89 (3.3%)</b>
		Votes cast 2,657
<b>Worcester</b>		
Bedwardine	Eloise Davies	Lab 993; Con 847; Green 221; LD 183; <b>TUSC 19 (0.8%)</b>
		Votes cast 2,263
Cathedral	Archie Harrison	Lab 1,238; Con 806; Green 420; LD 199; <b>TUSC 54 (2.0%)</b>
		Votes cast 2,717
St John	Mark Davies	Lab 1,199; Con 249; Green 135; LD 68; <b>TUSC 30 (1.8%)</b>

Votes cast 1,681

# Yorkshire

## Barnsley

Hoyland Milton	Angie Waller	Lab 1,052; Reform 436; Ind 284; Con 292; LD 179; Green 148; <b>TUSC 73 (3.0%)</b> Votes cast 2,464
Kingstone	Tracey-Ann Holland	LD 688; Lab 640; Green 109; Ind 99; Con 71; <b>TUSC 33 (2.0%)</b> Votes cast 1,640
Old Town	Stephen Dangerfield	Lab 1,069; Con 313; Ind 263; Green 231; LD 125; <b>TUSC 56 (2.7%)</b> Votes cast 2,057
Rockingham	Karen Fletcher	Ind 1,028; Lab 771; Con 351; Reform 277; Green 99; LD 99; <b>TUSC 21 (0.8%)</b> Votes cast 2,646
Royston	Jennifer Barker	Lab 1,132; Ind 366; Con 272; LD 97; <b>TUSC 58 (3.0%)</b> Votes cast 1,925

## Bradford

Bolton & Undercliffe	Tom Gibson	Lab 2,064; LD 620; Con 296; Green 168; Yorks 165; Reform 97; <b>TUSC 35 (1.0%)</b> Votes cast 3,445
----------------------	------------	--

## East Riding of Yorkshire

Pocklington Provincial ( <i>three seat ward</i> )	Steve Scott	LD 1,991; LD 1,864; LD 1,653; Con 1,552; Con 1,424; Lab 1,185; Lab 1,108; Lab 965; Ind 710; Green 658; Green 347; <b>TUSC 117 (1.9%)</b> Votes cast 6,213
Snaith, Airmyn, Rawcliffe & Marshlands ( <i>two seat ward</i> )	Andy Hiles	Con 1,185; Con 1,080; Lab 527; Ind 425; <b>TUSC 159 (6.5%)</b> ; LD 158; LD 152 Votes cast 2,454

## Hull

Beverley & Newland	Phil Culshaw	LD 1,979; Lab 755; Con 116; <b>TUSC 90 (3.1%)</b> Votes cast 2,940
Central ( <i>two seats contested</i> )	Paul Spooner	Lab 714; Lab 620; LD 310; LD 258; Con 88; Con 87; <b>TUSC 79 (6.6%)</b> Votes cast 1,191
Drypool	Matt Whale	LD 1,545; Lab 470; Con 116; Green 109; <b>TUSC 49 (2.1%)</b> Votes cast 2,289
Ings	Mike Hirst	Lab 740; LD 642; Con 92; Green 83; <b>TUSC 22 (1.4%)</b> Votes cast 1,579
Longhill & Bilton Grange	Tony Smith	LD 840; Lab 672; Con 99; <b>TUSC 77 (4.6%)</b> Votes cast 1,688
St Andrews & Docklands	James Bentley	Lab 988; LD 314; Green 138; Con 127; <b>TUSC 51 (3.1%)</b> Votes cast 1,618
West Carr	Joyce Marshall	LD 940; Lab 601; Con 155; <b>TUSC 76 (4.3%)</b> Votes cast 1,772

## Leeds

Beeston & Holbeck	Katherine Gwyther	Lab 2,494; Green 662; Con 450; LD 209; SDP 107; <b>TUSC 44 (1.1%)</b> Votes cast 3,966
-------------------	-------------------	---

Burmantofts & Richmond Hill	R Chaves-Sanderson	Lab 2,547; Green 410; LD 392; Con 294; <b>TUSC 88 (2.3%)</b> ; SDP 59 <i>Votes cast 3,790</i>
Gipton & Harehills	Iain Dalton	Lab 2,655; Green 1,484; Con 310; LD 156; <b>TUSC 121 (2.5%)</b> <i>Votes cast 4,726</i>
Headingley & Hyde Park	Florian Hynam	Lab 2,029; Green 1,749; LD 145; Con 119; NIP 52; <b>TUSC 48 (1.1%)</b> ; Ind 27 <i>Votes cast 4,169</i>
Hunslet & Riverside	Oisín Duncan	Lab 2,095; Green 1,809; Con 231; LD 89; SDP 48; <b>TUSC 27 (0.6%)</b> <i>Votes cast 4,299</i>
Little London & Woodhouse	Anthony Bracuti	Lab 1,908; Green 437; Con 261; LD 163; <b>TUSC 96 (3.3%)</b> <i>Votes cast 2,865</i>
Middleton Park	Joelle Donaldson	SDP 1,985; Lab 1,587; Con 376; Green 186; <b>TUSC 91 (2.1%)</b> ; LD 86 <i>Votes cast 4,311</i>

## North East Lincolnshire

Croft Baker	Julian Best	Lab 969; Con 782; <b>TUSC 107 (5.8%)</b> <i>Votes cast 1,858</i>
East Marsh	Lee Coulbeck	LD 608; Lab 373; Con 82; <b>TUSC 28 (2.6%)</b> <i>Votes cast 1,091</i>
Haverstoe	Cameron Warren	Con 1,464; Lab 761; <b>TUSC 100 (4.3%)</b> <i>Votes cast 2,325</i>
Heneage	John Stiff	Lab 891; Con 511; LD 122; <b>TUSC 61 (3.8%)</b> <i>Votes cast 1,585</i>
Immingham	Nathan Newton	Con 886; Lab 647; Ind 237; <b>TUSC 46 (2.5%)</b> <i>Votes cast 1,816</i>
Park	Dave Mitchell	Con 869; Lab 741; LD 387; Green 126; <b>TUSC 52 (2.4%)</b> <i>Votes cast 2,175</i>
Scartho	Valerie Pow	Con 1,339; Lab 1,097; <b>TUSC 63 (2.5%)</b> <i>Votes cast 2,499</i>
Sidney Sussex	Mark Gee	Lab 793; Con 545; <b>TUSC 130 (8.8%)</b> <i>Votes cast 1,468</i>
South	Bill Ward	Lab 755; Con 319; Ind 87; Ind 74; Ind 45; <b>TUSC 22 (1.8%)</b> <i>Votes cast 1,183</i>
West Marsh	Heather Davis	Lab 412; Ind 162; LD 107; Con 102; Ind 31; <b>TUSC 21 (2.6%)</b> <i>Votes cast 802</i>
Yarborough	Phil Tuplin	Ind 805; Lab 546; Con 451; <b>TUSC 24 (1.3%)</b> <i>Votes cast 1,826</i>

## Sheffield

Beauchief & Greenhill	Leah Byatt	LD 2,207; Lab 1,505; Green 454; Con 379; Reform 180; <b>TUSC 68 (1.4%)</b> <i>Votes cast 4,793</i>
Beighton	Mark Dixey	LD 1,879; Lab 1,298; Con 367; Green 162; <b>TUSC 99 (2.6%)</b> <i>Votes cast 3,805</i>
Birley	Simon Moulton	Lab 1,594; Con 573; Green 334; LD 277; Ind 189; <b>TUSC 49 (1.6%)</b> <i>Votes cast 3,016</i>
Broomhill & Sharrow Vale	Noah Eden	Green 2,601; Lab 1,704; LD 326; Con 257; <b>TUSC 139 (2.8%)</b> <i>Votes cast 5,028</i>
Burngreave	Simon Jenkins	Lab 2,299; Green 437; LD 314; Con 234;

City	AJ Helie	<b>TUSC 79 (2.3%);</b> Reform 70 Votes cast 3,433 Green 1,119; Lab 626; LD 110; Con 102;
Crooks & Crosspool	Joe Hibbert	<b>TUSC 93 (4.4%)</b> Votes cast 2,095 LD 2,734; Lab 2,547; Green 908; Con 333; <b>TUSC 113 (1.7%)</b>
Darnall	Diane Spencer	Votes cast 6,635 Lab 2,188; LD 441; Con 315; Green 173; <b>TUSC 94 (2.9%)</b>
Dore & Totley	Bridget Gilbert	Votes cast 3,211 LD 3,744; Lab 1,159; Con 963; Green 759; <b>TUSC 53 (0.8%)</b>
East Ecclesfield	Harry Lomas	Votes cast 6,678 LD 1,741; Lab 1,633; Con 500; Green 263; <b>TUSC 102 (2.4%)</b>
Eccleshall	Jemima Johnson	Votes cast 4,239 LD 3,400; Green 2,680; Lab 1,676; Con 502; <b>TUSC 101 (1.2%)</b>
Firth Park	Jo Hall	Votes cast 8,359 Lab 1,571; Green 439; Con 388; LD 199; SDP 183; <b>TUSC 84 (2.9%)</b>
Fulwood	John Bunn	Votes cast 2,864 LD 3,427; Lab 1,810; Green 752; Con 500; <b>TUSC 78 (1.2%)</b>
Gleadless Valley	Daniel Smith	Votes cast 6,567 Green 2,094; Lab 1,729; Con 329; LD 284; <b>TUSC 172 (3.7%)</b>
Graves Park	Liam Ball	Votes cast 4,603 LD 2,228; Lab 1,981; Green 800; Con 432; <b>TUSC 113 (2.0%)</b>
Hillsborough	Rory Smith	Votes cast 5,554 Green 2,434; Lab 2,032; Con 447; LD 263; <b>TUSC 106 (2.0%)</b>
Manor Castle ( <i>two seats contested</i> )	Alistair Tice	Votes cast 5,282 Lab 1,508; Lab 1,274; Green 654; Green 584; Yorks 434; Con 309; LD 249; LD 206; <b>TUSC 171 (5.0%);</b> Ind 108; Ind 78; Ind 28
Mosborough	Joe Howard	Votes cast 3,433 LD 1,788; Lab 1,546; Con 497; Green 215; <b>TUSC 105 (2.5%)</b>
Nether Edge & Sharrow	Holly Johnston	Votes cast 4,151 Lab 3,072; Green 2,437; LD 519; Con 277; <b>TUSC 175 (2.7%)</b>
Park & Arbourthorne	Jack Jeffrey	Votes cast 6,480 Lab 1,546; Con 575; Green 526; LD 304; <b>TUSC 148 (4.8%)</b>
Richmond	Sue Statter	Votes cast 3,099 Lab 1,666; Con 569; Green 304; LD 289; Reform 248; <b>TUSC 112 (3.5%)</b>
Southey	Luke Brownbill	Votes cast 3,188 Lab 1,658; Con 449; Green 312; LD 228; <b>TUSC 114 (4.1%)</b>
Stannington	Robert Simpson	Votes cast 2,761 LD 2,783; Lab 1,247; Con 706; Green 498; <b>TUSC 80 (1.5%)</b>
Stockbridge & Upper Don	Patricia Prystupa	Votes cast 5,314 Lab 2,678; Con 1,791; Green 390; LD 295; <b>TUSC 48 (0.9%)</b>
Walkley	Isabelle France	Votes cast 5,202 Lab 2,769; Green 1,767; LD 378; Con 271; <b>TUSC 162 (2.9%);</b> Reform 158

West Ecclesfield	Rebecca Fryer	<p><i>Votes cast 5,505</i></p> <p>LD 1,856; Lab 1,236; Con 390; Reform 340; Green 227; <b>TUSC 54 (1.3%)</b></p> <p><i>Votes cast 4,103</i></p>
Woodhouse	Joshua Crapper	<p>Lab 1,706; Con 643; LD 293; Green 290; <b>TUSC 140 (4.6%)</b></p> <p><i>Votes cast 3,072</i></p>
<b>Wakefield</b>		
Stanley & Outwood East	Darren Lumber	<p>Lab 2,018; Con 733; Ind 336; Yorks 273; Green 223; <b>TUSC 36 (1.0%)</b></p> <p><i>Votes cast 3,619</i></p>
Wakefield East	Mick Griffiths	<p>Lab 1,815; Con 1,175; Ind 216; Green 183; <b>TUSC 70 (2.0%)</b></p> <p><i>Votes cast 3,459</i></p>
Wakefield North	Tom Griffiths	<p>Lab 1,818; Con 643; Green 224; Yorks 222; <b>TUSC 59 (2.0%)</b></p> <p><i>Votes cast 2,966</i></p>
<b>York</b>		
Micklegate	Ali Mansfield	<p>Lab 3,063; Lab 2,795; Lab 2,746; Green 874; Green 826; Green 624; Con 457; Con 444; LD 258; LD 154; LD 145; <b>TUSC 141 (2.9%)</b></p> <p><i>Votes cast 4,793</i></p>



## Elections 2023:

# Other left-of-Labour candidates

As explained in previous year's reports, TUSC is a coalition of constituent organisations alongside individual trade unionists, socialists and working class community campaigners, who may be members of various socialist organisations or none.

Regarding other organisations with origins in the labour and trade union movement, the TUSC all-Britain steering committee has had a standing policy of encouraging them to participate in our coalition – with the full rights that would entail to promote their own organisation's identity while standing as a TUSC candidate (see *How TUSC Functions* at (see <http://www.tusc.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/How-TUSC-Functions-September-2022.pdf>) – or at least to co-ordinate an electoral intervention.

Listed below are the votes received by 35 other left-of-Labour candidates who stood in the council elections on May 4th. These are candidates from left-wing parties which TUSC has been in discussions with since the relaunch of our coalition, and some left-wing former Labour Party members who have also discussed with local constituent organisations of TUSC but who decided, on this occasion, to appear on the ballot paper as Independents.

## Ex-Labour standing as Independents

A growing number of former Labour Party councillors or local party officers who have been purged from Starmer's new Blairite party took the step of deciding to stand anyway in May's elections as independents.

To the fore were the four former Labour councillors in **Liverpool**, suspended from the right-wing dominated Labour Group in 2022, who were joined by five other candidates standing as the Liverpool Community Independents. Three candidates were elected – councillor Alan Gibbons in Orrell Park ward with 1,428 votes (76.9%) and, in Garston ward, councillor Sam Gorst (1,470 votes, 45.7%) and Lucy Williams (1,159) – while the others also polled well: councillor Alfie Hincks, with 277 votes (32.3%) in a straight two-horse contest with Labour in Fazakerley East; councillor Maria Toolan, with 247 (21.4%), in City Centre North ward; and 297 (21%) in Croxteth Country Park, 356 (16%) in Speke, 402 (13%) in Kensington, and 122 (12%) in Old Swan West.

Other ex-Labour councillors standing as independents that the TUSC all-Britain steering committee had been made aware of also polled well, although none were elected: in the Priory & St James ward in **East Lindsey** (360 votes – 22.6%), and three candidates in **Leicester**, in Western ward, (840 votes – 18.1%), Westcotes (231 – 9.9%), and Rushey Mead (384 – 5.3%).

## Other parties

The next biggest socialist election challenge after the TUSC campaign was organised by the Communist Party of Britain (CPB), politically linked to the *Morning Star* newspaper, who fielded 14 candidates on May 4th, up from the five they stood in England in 2022. The CPB have been consistently invited to participate as a constituent organisation of TUSC since their executive voted narrowly in 2010 not to take up a place on the all-Britain steering committee. But have not agreed

to do so yet, albeit while retaining comradely lines of communication both nationally and locally – in Sheffield, for example, TUSC stood candidates in 27 wards but not in Shiregreen & Brightside ward, in order to give the CPB a clear run there.

The CPB candidates on May 4th polled as follows, in percentage share order: 68 votes (7.8%) in the Barnstaple Central ward of **Barnstaple Town Council**; 103 votes (3.9%) in Felixstowe West ward in **East Suffolk**; 74 (3.7%) in Abbeygate ward, **Bury St Edmunds**; 154 (2.9%) in Felixstowe East ward, **East Suffolk**; 15 (2.9%) in Barnstaple Central ward in **North Devon** council; 66 (2.4%) in Shiregreen & Brightside in **Sheffield**; 42 (2.4%) in Saffron ward, **Leicester**; 65 (2.3%) in Heaton, **Newcastle-upon-Tyne**; 25 (2.0%) in Fenton West & Mount Pleasant ward, **Stoke**; 30 (1.4%) in Sopwell ward, **St Albans**; 37 (1.1%) in Pilkington Park ward, **Bury**; 27 (1.0%) in Millfield, **Sunderland**; 40 (0.9%) in Highcliffe & Walkford ward, in **Bournemouth, Poole & Christchurch** council; and 5 (0.5%) in Kempston West ward in **Bedford**. The total votes recorded were 751.

The other parties that stood candidates on May 4th that TUSC has discussed with previously about participating in the coalition – with all their rights preserved as independent organisations – were the Breakthrough Party, the Northern Independence Party, and the Alliance for Green Socialism.

This year Breakthrough stood five candidates, headed by Matt Jeal in the Chatteris South ward in **Fenland** council, who in a three-seat ward held by the Tories and with just one candidate apiece from Labour and the Green Party (and two independents), polled a very respectable 154 votes (9.0%). He was followed by the candidates in the **Otley Town Council** Manor ward, with 95 votes (6.6%); in Hucknall West ward in **Ashfield** council (153 votes – 5.4%); in Laindon Park in **Basildon** (55 votes – 2.6%); and Otley & Yeadon ward on **Leeds** council (156 votes – 2.2%). The total vote for the five candidates was 613.

The two Northern Independence Party candidates polled 125 votes (9%) in **Liverpool's** City Centre South ward and 52 (1.2%) in Headingley & Hyde Park in **Leeds**. And also in **Leeds** was the sole Alliance for Green Socialism candidate that TUSC nationally or its local constituent organisations were aware of, who polled 183 votes (3.0%) in the Chapel Allerton ward.