

2012 Local Elections The TUSC results

Overview - page 3

Summary points - page 3

A note on statistical methods - page 4

Table One: England & Wales ward results 'league table' - page 5

Table Two: 2012 results in wards also contested in 2011 - page 8

Table Three: Regional breakdown of full results in England – page 10

Table Four: The full results in Wales – page 18

May 8th 2012

Overview

A TOTAL of 134 candidates, standing in 133 wards in 40 councils, contested the English and Welsh local elections on May 3rd under the Trade Unionist and Socialist Coalition (TUSC) umbrella. In addition, TUSC stood a candidate in the Liverpool mayoral contest and a list of candidates for the all-London Assembly Member part of the Greater London Authority (GLA) elections (it did not stand candidates for the London mayor or the 14 GLA cross-borough constituencies).

Elections took place in 128 councils in England, to fill 2,407 seats. TUSC stood 120 candidates, five percent of the seats, in 36 councils (28%). In Wales there were 14 TUSC candidates (out of the 1,224 seats vacant) in four of the 22 councils with elections.

Most candidates stood in the name of TUSC, typically in one of its registered variants, Trade Unionists and Socialists Against Cuts. In Coventry 17 candidates appeared on the ballot paper as Socialist Alternative and there were three Democratic Labour Party candidates in Walsall, one Cambridge Socialists candidate, and one Wigan Borough Green Socialists candidate, who endorsed the TUSC local elections policy platform but who appeared on the ballot paper under their established electoral names.

Summary points

The full results for each ward contested by TUSC candidates are given in Tables Three (England) and Four (Wales). Table One presents the TUSC results as ward totals, listed in percentage order. Table Two compares the results achieved this year in wards also contested by TUSC in last year's elections.

Significant features of the results include:

- The total vote for all TUSC candidates was 43,671.
- In the council elections in England and Wales TUSC candidates averaged 6.2% of the poll. This was up from last year's average 5.2% vote.
- There were 74 council wards contested by TUSC candidates in 2012 where there was also a TUSC candidate in 2011. In these direct 'comparator wards' our average share of the vote rose, from 5.4% in 2011 to 6.8% this year.
- The TUSC candidate for the mayor of Liverpool polled 4,792 votes (4.73%), coming in fifth ahead of the Tories and with double the UKIP vote.
- The results for the GLA list were the most disappointing feature of this year's TUSC election campaign, polling just 17,686 votes (0.8%).
- In the local council wards that TUSC contested, the ratio of Labour voters to TUSC voters this year was nine. In other words, for every person who voted for TUSC (21,124 in the local council elections), there were nine people who voted Labour (187,015). Last year the ratio was ten Labour voters to every one TUSC supporter.
- There were 98 wards which TUSC candidates contested with Liberal Democrats. But in the others one quarter of the wards we stood in one of the 'parties of government' were unable to field a candidate.
- Where there was a clash, TUSC candidates outpolled a Liberal Democrat candidate in one in five wards (22). Last year the Lib Dems outpolled TUSC in every seven out of eight contests.

A note on statistical methods

The results for TUSC and allied candidates for each council where a seat was contested are given in the regional breakdown of English council results and the report on Wales. A figure for the percentage of the vote won by TUSC is also given.

How this later figure is worked out is straightforward in a contest for one seat – the percentage figure for the TUSC candidate being the percentage of all the votes cast.

But some of the wards contested by TUSC were 'all-seat elections' where every seat in the ward was up for election. How to present such results, particularly where a party fields just one candidate in a two or three-seat contest, is a controversial question of psephology.

In an example from last year's elections, in Leicester's Rushey Mead ward the single TUSC candidate polled 272 votes, outpolling one of the Liberal Democrat candidates. It is a fact that 4.9% of the 5,524 people who voted in Rushey Mead used one of their three votes for TUSC. But they actually cast 13,917 votes. So if all the ward's candidates' votes were recorded as a percentage of the 5,524 actual voters, the total number of votes would be 300%.

So the method we have used is to record the TUSC vote (or the highest TUSC vote in a multicandidate ward) as a percentage of the aggregate of the highest votes of all the parties contesting the ward, the highest vote being taken as a maximum expression of a particular party's support.

In the Rushey Mead example, this aggregated the highest Labour vote (2,789), the highest Independent (1,039), the Tories' highest vote (861), the top Lib Dem vote (556), and TUSC's 272 votes, a total of 5,517. On this calculation, TUSC polled 4.9% in the ward.

This method is neither a 'correct' nor 'incorrect' way of presenting the support there for TUSC. It is just another method, with its limitations openly acknowledged.



2012 Local Elections TUSC council ward results 'league table'

Below is a league table of the results achieved by TUSC candidates in May's local council elections in England and Wales. Listed by results in percentage order are the votes won by TUSC candidates in the ward indicated, with the percentage vote in parentheses (see Note on Statistical Methods on how this was calculated in multi-seat wards).

	Local authority	Ward	Vote
1	Preston	Town Centre	967 (48.5%)
2	Walsall	Blakenall	1,025 (45.8%)
3	Coventry	St Michaels	1,469 (43.4%)
4	Salford	Ordsall	335 (18.7%)
5	Cambridge	Romsey	457 (18.5%)
6	Knowsley	Halewood South	282 (18.3%)
7	Barnsley	Central	347 (17.1%)
8	Sheffield	Burngreave	708 (14.1%)
9	Gateshead	High Fell	248 (13.3%)
10	Rugby	Wolston & the Lawfords	289 (12.3%)
11	Rugby	Bilton	299 (12.1%)
12	Rugby	Eastlands	223 (11.9%)
13	Rugby	Benn	177 (10.5%)
14	Rugby	Newbold & Brownsover	145 (10.1%)
15	Wakefield	Wakefield East	337 (9.9%)
16	Calderdale	Rastrick	258 (9.8%)
17	Knowsley	Prescot West	172 (9.8%)
18	Coventry	Lower Stoke	310 (9.7%)
19	Nuneaton & Bed	Camp Hill	115 (9.7%)
20	Rugby	New Bilton	141 (9.4%)
21	Walsall	Bloxwich West	250 (9.3%)
22	Sefton	Netherton & Orrell	227 (9.3%0
23	Newcastle-U-L	Kidsgrove	109 (9.0%)
24	Rhondda-Cynon	Porth	155 (8.9%)
25	Lincoln	Abbey	139 (8.9%)
26	Manchester	Chorlton	368 (8.7%)
27	Nuneaton & Bed	Exhall	149 (8.4%)
28	Stroud District	Cainscross	152 (8.1%)
29	Kirklees	Crosland Moor & Netherton	375 (7.9%)
30	Portsmouth	Fratton	173 (7.9%)
31	Rhondda-Cynon	Penrhiwceiber	135 (7.9%)
32	Carlisle	Currock	97 (7.9%)
33	Southampton	Redbridge	220 (7.6%)
34	Gloucester	Barton & Tredworth	170 (7.6%)
35	Stevenage	Bandley Hill	101 (6.7%)
36	Rugby	Hillmorton	119 (6.6%)
37	Stevenage	Longmeadow	109 (6.6%)
38	Stevenage	Chells	100 (6.4%)

00			
39	Worcester	St John	102 (6.3%)
40	Coventry	Whoberley	206 (6.1%)
41	Liverpool	Princes Park	161 (6.0%)
42 43	Rugby	Rokeby & Overslade	125 (6.0%)
	Gateshead	Deckham	118 (5.9%)
44	Caerphilly	Penyrheol	174 (5.8%)
45	Wigan	Atherton	190 (5.8%)
46	Lincoln	Carholme	106 (5.7%)
47 40	Peterborough	Orton Longueville	103 (5.5%)
48	Portsmouth	Central Southsea	151 (5.4%)
49 50	Leeds	Armley	229 (5.2%)
50	Rotherham	Boston Castle	189 (5.1%)
51 52	Portsmouth	Copnor	131 (5.1%)
52 52	Lincoln	Park	59 (5.1%)
53 54	Worcester	Bedwardine	94 (5.0%)
54 55	Coventry	Radford	142 (4.9%)
55 50	Manchester	Baguley	115 (4.9%)
56 57	Liverpool	Central	80 (4.9%)
	Lincoln	Moorland	78 (4.8%)
58 50	Southampton	Woolston	138 (4.7%)
59 60	Wirral	Rock Ferry	130 (4.7%)
61	Coventry	Sherbourne	145 (4.6%)
62	Portsmouth	Cosham	141 (4.6%)
63	Portsmouth	St Jude	128 (4.6%)
64	Barnsley Swansea	Milton	125 (4.6%)
65		Castle Kirkdale	148 (4.5%)
66	Liverpool Portsmouth	St Thomas	143 (4.5%) 137 (4.5%)
67	Portsmouth	Eastney and Craneswater	136 (4.4%)
68	Newcastle	South Heaton	74 (4.3%)
69	Liverpool	Yew Tree	129 (4.0%)
70	Manchester	Moss Side	121 (4.0%)
71	Liverpool	Kensington & Fairfield	109 (4.0%)
72	Southampton	Bitterne Park	136 (3.9%)
73	Portsmouth	Charles Dickens	96 (3.9%)
74	Swansea	Gowerton	58 (3.9%)
75	Cardiff	Adamsdown	68 (3.8%)
76	Sheffield	Gleadless Valley	176 (3.7%)
77	Liverpool	Belle Vale	128 (3.7%)
78	Stevenage	Shephall	48 (3.7%)
79	Swansea	Sketty	195 (3.6%)
80	Coventry	Westwood	122 (3.6%)
81	Southampton	Bargate	82 (3.6%)
82	Welwyn Hatfield	Hatfield East	53 (3.6%)
83	Coventry	Upper Stoke	120 (3.5%)
84	Coventry	Cheylesmore	141 (3.4%)
85	Southampton	Peartree	139 (3.4%)
86	Liverpool	Old Swan	123 (3.4%)
87	Coventry	Longford	111 (3.4%)
88	Liverpool	Riverside	109 (3.3%)
89	Coventry	Holbrook	99 (3.3%)
90	Manchester	Ancoats & Clayton	82 (3.3%)
91	Manchester	Sharston	78 (3.2%)
92	Plymouth	Sutton & Mount Gould	85 (3.1%)
93	Southampton	Swaythling	76 (3.1%)
94	Manchester	Fallowfield	70 (3.1%)
95	Newcastle-U-L	Wolstanton	43 (3.1%)

96	Cardiff	Splott	105 (3.0%)
97	Leeds	Headingley	82 (3.0%)
98	Walsall	Bloxwich East	70 (3.0%)
99	Manchester	Ardwick	64 (3.0%)
100	Sheffield	Hillsborough	130 (2.9%)
101	Liverpool	Clubmoor	97 (2.9%)
102	Liverpool	Knotty Ash	96 (2.8%)
103	Cardiff	Gabalfa	51 (2.8%)
104	Stevenage	Roebuck	41 (2.8%)
105	Sheffield	Walkley	136 (2.7%)
106	Kirklees	Newsome	119 (2.7%)
107	Coventry	Earlsdon	116 (2.7%)
108	Cardiff	Riverside	99 (2.7%)
109	Coventry	Wyken	89 (2.5%)
110	Southampton	Bitterne	77 (2.5%)
111	Stevenage	Bedwell	39 (2.5%)
112	Leeds	Otley & Yeadon	176 (2.4%)
113	Coventry	Henley	80 (2.4%)
114	Sheffield	Graves Park	116 (2.3%)
115	Wakefield	Pontefract North	76 (2.2%)
116	Lincoln	Minster	41 (2.2%)
117	Coventry	Woodlands	82 (2.1%)
118	Coventry	Binley & Willenhall	67 (2.1%)
119	Southampton	Bevois	63 (2.1%)
120	Southampton	Harefield	75 (2.0%)
121	Cardiff	Rumney	43 (2.0%)
122	Wincester	St Johns & All Saints	31 (2.0%)
123	Cardiff	Canton	90 (1.9%)
124	Southampton	Bassett	62 (1.9%)
125	Southampton	Coxford	57 (1.8%)
126	Southampton	Portswood	50 (1.5%)
127	Coventry	Wainbody	54 (1.5%)
128	Southampton	Shirley	52 (1.4%)
129	Rhondda-Cynon	Graig	8 (1.4%)
130	Leeds	Horsforth	77 (1.2%)
131	Coventry	Bablake	48 (1.2%)
132	Birmingham	Acocks Green	58 (1.1%)
133	Kirklees	Dewsbury South	63 (1.0%)
	TOTALE	422 warda	24.046./6.20/\
	TOTALS	133 wards	21,046 (6.2%)



2012 Local ElectionsTUSC 2012 results in wards contested in 2011

Out of the 133 local council wards TUSC candidates contested in 2012 there were 74 where there was also a TUSC candidate in 2011. This obviously allows for a direct comparison to be made between our performance then and now, one year on, which is what the table below does.

In 2011 our candidates in these wards polled a total of 13,643 votes – this year, in the same wards, we polled 13,418 votes, marginally down. But with turnout falling in this year's local elections – a feature everywhere – our average share of the votes in these 'comparator wards' actually increased, from 5.4% to 6.8%.

Cambridge Romsey 457 (18.5%) 356 (12.0%) Stevenage Longmeadow 109 (6.6%) 62 (3.1%) Stevenage Shephall 48 (3.7%) 75 (4.6%) Stevenage Roebuck 41 (2.8%) 61 (3.3%) Stevenage Bandley Hill 101 (6.7%) 61 (3.1%) Stevenage Bedwell 39 (2.5%) 53 (2.8%) Lincoln Park 59 (5.1%) 55 (3.5%) Lincoln Abbey 139 (8.9%) 120 (5.5%) Lincoln Carholme 106 (5.7%) 62 (2.4%) Lincoln Minster 41 (2.2%) 57 (2.4%) Lincoln Moorland 78 (4.8%) 37 (1.8%) Carlisle Currock 97 (7.9%) 104 (7.1%) Gateshead Deckham 118 (5.9%) 189 (7.9%) Gateshead High Fell 248 (13.3%) 165 (7.5%) Liverpool Old Swan 123 (3.4%) 74 (1.8%)	LOCAL AUTHORITY	WARD NAME	2012 VOTES	2011 results
Stevenage Shephall 48 (3.7%) 75 (4.6%) Stevenage Roebuck 41 (2.8%) 61 (3.3%) Stevenage Bandley Hill 101 (6.7%) 61 (3.1%) Stevenage Bedwell 39 (2.5%) 53 (2.8%) Lincoln Park 59 (5.1%) 55 (3.5%) Lincoln Abbey 139 (8.9%) 120 (5.5%) Lincoln Carholme 106 (5.7%) 62 (2.4%) Lincoln Minster 41 (2.2%) 57 (2.4%) Lincoln Moorland 78 (4.8%) 37 (1.8%) Carlisle Currock 97 (7.9%) 104 (7.1%) Gateshead Deckham 118 (5.9%) 189 (7.9%) Gateshead High Fell 248 (13.3%) 165 (7.5%) Liverpool Old Swan 123 (3.4%) 74 (1.8%)	Cambridge	Romsey	457 (18.5%)	356 (12.0%)
Stevenage Roebuck 41 (2.8%) 61 (3.3%) Stevenage Bandley Hill 101 (6.7%) 61 (3.1%) Stevenage Bedwell 39 (2.5%) 53 (2.8%) Lincoln Park 59 (5.1%) 55 (3.5%) Lincoln Abbey 139 (8.9%) 120 (5.5%) Lincoln Carholme 106 (5.7%) 62 (2.4%) Lincoln Minster 41 (2.2%) 57 (2.4%) Lincoln Moorland 78 (4.8%) 37 (1.8%) Carlisle Currock 97 (7.9%) 104 (7.1%) Gateshead Deckham 118 (5.9%) 189 (7.9%) Gateshead High Fell 248 (13.3%) 165 (7.5%) Liverpool Old Swan 123 (3.4%) 74 (1.8%)	Stevenage	Longmeadow	109 (6.6%)	62 (3.1%)
Stevenage Bandley Hill 101 (6.7%) 61 (3.1%) Stevenage Bedwell 39 (2.5%) 53 (2.8%) Lincoln Park 59 (5.1%) 55 (3.5%) Lincoln Abbey 139 (8.9%) 120 (5.5%) Lincoln Carholme 106 (5.7%) 62 (2.4%) Lincoln Minster 41 (2.2%) 57 (2.4%) Lincoln Moorland 78 (4.8%) 37 (1.8%) Carlisle Currock 97 (7.9%) 104 (7.1%) Gateshead Deckham 118 (5.9%) 189 (7.9%) Gateshead High Fell 248 (13.3%) 165 (7.5%) Liverpool Old Swan 123 (3.4%) 74 (1.8%)	Stevenage	Shephall	48 (3.7%)	75 (4.6%)
Stevenage Bedwell 39 (2.5%) 53 (2.8%) Lincoln Park 59 (5.1%) 55 (3.5%) Lincoln Abbey 139 (8.9%) 120 (5.5%) Lincoln Carholme 106 (5.7%) 62 (2.4%) Lincoln Minster 41 (2.2%) 57 (2.4%) Lincoln Moorland 78 (4.8%) 37 (1.8%) Carlisle Currock 97 (7.9%) 104 (7.1%) Gateshead Deckham 118 (5.9%) 189 (7.9%) Gateshead High Fell 248 (13.3%) 165 (7.5%) Liverpool Old Swan 123 (3.4%) 74 (1.8%)	Stevenage	Roebuck	41 (2.8%)	61 (3.3%)
Lincoln Park 59 (5.1%) 55 (3.5%) Lincoln Abbey 139 (8.9%) 120 (5.5%) Lincoln Carholme 106 (5.7%) 62 (2.4%) Lincoln Minster 41 (2.2%) 57 (2.4%) Lincoln Moorland 78 (4.8%) 37 (1.8%) Carlisle Currock 97 (7.9%) 104 (7.1%) Gateshead Deckham 118 (5.9%) 189 (7.9%) Gateshead High Fell 248 (13.3%) 165 (7.5%) Liverpool Old Swan 123 (3.4%) 74 (1.8%)	Stevenage	Bandley Hill	101 (6.7%)	61 (3.1%)
Lincoln Abbey 139 (8.9%) 120 (5.5%) Lincoln Carholme 106 (5.7%) 62 (2.4%) Lincoln Minster 41 (2.2%) 57 (2.4%) Lincoln Moorland 78 (4.8%) 37 (1.8%) Carlisle Currock 97 (7.9%) 104 (7.1%) Gateshead Deckham 118 (5.9%) 189 (7.9%) Gateshead High Fell 248 (13.3%) 165 (7.5%) Liverpool Old Swan 123 (3.4%) 74 (1.8%)	Stevenage	Bedwell	39 (2.5%)	53 (2.8%)
Lincoln Carholme 106 (5.7%) 62 (2.4%) Lincoln Minster 41 (2.2%) 57 (2.4%) Lincoln Moorland 78 (4.8%) 37 (1.8%) Carlisle Currock 97 (7.9%) 104 (7.1%) Gateshead Deckham 118 (5.9%) 189 (7.9%) Gateshead High Fell 248 (13.3%) 165 (7.5%) Liverpool Old Swan 123 (3.4%) 74 (1.8%)	Lincoln	Park	59 (5.1%)	55 (3.5%)
Lincoln Minster 41 (2.2%) 57 (2.4%) Lincoln Moorland 78 (4.8%) 37 (1.8%) Carlisle Currock 97 (7.9%) 104 (7.1%) Gateshead Deckham 118 (5.9%) 189 (7.9%) Gateshead High Fell 248 (13.3%) 165 (7.5%) Liverpool Old Swan 123 (3.4%) 74 (1.8%)	Lincoln	Abbey	139 (8.9%)	120 (5.5%)
Lincoln Moorland 78 (4.8%) 37 (1.8%) Carlisle Currock 97 (7.9%) 104 (7.1%) Gateshead Deckham 118 (5.9%) 189 (7.9%) Gateshead High Fell 248 (13.3%) 165 (7.5%) Liverpool Old Swan 123 (3.4%) 74 (1.8%)	Lincoln	Carholme	106 (5.7%)	62 (2.4%)
Carlisle Currock 97 (7.9%) 104 (7.1%) Gateshead Deckham 118 (5.9%) 189 (7.9%) Gateshead High Fell 248 (13.3%) 165 (7.5%) Liverpool Old Swan 123 (3.4%) 74 (1.8%)	Lincoln	Minster	41 (2.2%)	57 (2.4%)
Gateshead Deckham 118 (5.9%) 189 (7.9%) Gateshead High Fell 248 (13.3%) 165 (7.5%) Liverpool Old Swan 123 (3.4%) 74 (1.8%)	Lincoln	Moorland	78 (4.8%)	37 (1.8%)
Gateshead High Fell 248 (13.3%) 165 (7.5%) Liverpool Old Swan 123 (3.4%) 74 (1.8%)	Carlisle	Currock	97 (7.9%)	104 (7.1%)
Liverpool Old Swan 123 (3.4%) 74 (1.8%)	Gateshead	Deckham	118 (5.9%)	189 (7.9%)
· Pro-	Gateshead	High Fell	248 (13.3%)	165 (7.5%)
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Liverpool	Old Swan	123 (3.4%)	74 (1.8%)
Liverpool Yew Free 129 (4.0%) 60 (7.8%)	Liverpool	Yew Tree	129 (4.0%)	66 (1.8%)
Liverpool Kirkdale 143 (4.5%) 162 (4.7%)	Liverpool	Kirkdale	143 (4.5%)	162 (4.7%)
Liverpool Riverside 109 (3.3%) 88 (2.5%)	Liverpool	Riverside	109 (3.3%)	88 (2.5%)
Liverpool Princes Park 161 (6.0%) 104 (3.3%)	Liverpool	Princes Park	161 (6.0%)	104 (3.3%)
Manchester Ardwick 64 (3.0%) 100 (3.6%)	Manchester	Ardwick	64 (3.0%)	100 (3.6%)
Manchester Baguley 115 (4.9%) 74 (2.8%)	Manchester	Baguley	115 (4.9%)	74 (2.8%)
Manchester Fallowfield 70 (3.1%) 83 (2.6%)	Manchester	Fallowfield	70 (3.1%)	83 (2.6%)
Manchester Sharston 78 (3.2%) 70 (2.4%)	Manchester	Sharston	78 (3.2%)	70 (2.4%)
Preston Town Centre 967 (48.5%) 840 (39.8%)	Preston	Town Centre	967 (48.5%)	840 (39.8%)
Salford Ordsall 335 (18.7%) 381 (16.1%)	Salford	Ordsall	335 (18.7%)	381 (16.1%)
Sefton Netherton & Orrell 227 (9.3%) 181 (6.1%)	Sefton	Netherton & Orrell	227 (9.3%)	181 (6.1%)
Portsmouth Central Southsea 151 (5.4%) 234 (6.2%)	Portsmouth	Central Southsea	151 (5.4%)	234 (6.2%)
Portsmouth Fratton 173 (7.9%) 177 (6.0%)	Portsmouth	Fratton	173 (7.9%)	177 (6.0%)
Southampton Bitterne Park 136 (3.9%) 264 (6.1%)	Southampton	Bitterne Park	136 (3.9%)	264 (6.1%)
Southampton Redbridge 220 (7.6%) 212 (6.1%)	Southampton	Redbridge	220 (7.6%)	212 (6.1%)
Southampton Bargate 82 (3.6%) 158 (5.1%)	Southampton	Bargate	82 (3.6%)	158 (5.1%)
Southampton Woolston 138 (4.7%) 163 (4.8%)	Southampton	Woolston	138 (4.7%)	163 (4.8%)

Southampton	Bitterne	77 (2.5%)	163 (4.8%)
Southampton	Swaythling	76 (3.1%)	104 (3.4%)
Southampton	Shirley	54 (1.4%)	124 (2.9%)
Southampton	Harefield	75 (2.0%)	117 (2.7%)
Southampton	Bassett	62 (1.9%)	110 (2.4%)
Southampton	Portswood	50 (1.5%)	88 (2.2%)
Southampton	Bevois	63 (2.1%)	74 (2.1%)
Gloucester	Barton & Tredworth	170 (7.6%)	190 (6.8%)
Plymouth	Sutton & Mount Gould	85 (3.1%)	75 (2.0%)
Coventry	St Michaels	1,469 (43.4%)	1,263 (30.3%)
Coventry	Lower Stoke	310 (9.7%)	291 (6.4%)
Coventry	Cheylesmore	141 (3.4%)	161 (3.1%)
Coventry	Henley	80 (2.4%)	113 (2.6%)
Coventry	Wyken	89 (2.5%)	121 (2.5%)
Coventry	Sherbourne	145 (4.6%)	117 (2.5%)
Coventry	Longford	111 (3.4%)	101 (2.4%)
Coventry	Radford	142 (4.9%)	94 (2.3%)
Coventry	Earlsdon	116 (2.7%)	136 (2.2%)
Coventry	Upper Stoke	120 (3.5%)	95 (2.2%)
Coventry	Whoberley	206 (6.1%)	109 (2.1%)
Coventry	Westwood	122 (3.6%)	95 (2.0%)
Coventry	Binley & Willenhall	67 (2.1%)	79 (1.9%)
Coventry	Holbrook	99 (3.3%)	57 (1.3%)
Coventry	Wainbody	54 (1.5%)	83 (1.5%)
Coventry	Bablake	48 (1.2%)	<i>45 (0.8%)</i>
Coventry	Woodlands	82 (2.1%)	44 (0.8%)
Walsall	Blakenall	1,025 (45.8%)	928 (34.0%)
Walsall	Bloxwich West	250 (9.3%)	234 (7.4%)
Walsall	Bloxwich East	70 (3.0%)	107 (4.1%)
Worcester	Bedwardine	94 (5.0%)	99 (3.4%)
Worcester	St Johns	102 (6.3%)	88 (4.0%)
Barnsley	Central	347 (17.1%)	150 (5.9%)
Kirklees	Crossland Moor & Netherton	375 (7.9%)	866 (14.8%)
Kirklees	Newsome	119 (2.7%)	150 (3.1%)
Leeds	Headingley	82 (3.0%)	88 (2.3%)
Leeds	Horsforth	77 (1.2%)	164 (2.1%)
Rotherham	Boston Castle	189 (5.1%)	211 (5.1%)
Sheffield	Burngreave	708 (14.1%)	831 (14.0%)
Sheffield	Gleadless Valley	176 (3.7%)	186 (3.0%)
Sheffield	Graves Park	116 (2.3%)	147 (2.3%)
Sheffield	Walkley	136 (2.7%)	102 (1.6%)
Wakefield	Wakefield East	337 (9.9%)	355 (9.0%)
TOTALS	74 wards	13,418 (6.8%)	13,643 (5.4%)



2012 Local Elections

Regional breakdown of TUSC results in England

Below is a regional breakdown of the results achieved by TUSC candidates in the local elections in England. Listed are the votes received by every candidate in the ward contested, with a percentage of the vote figure also given for the TUSC candidate (see Note on Statistical Methods on how this was calculated in multi-seat wards). The political composition of each council before May 3rd is indicated in parentheses.

Eastern

Four councils contested with nine candidates in nine wards, all with one seat up for election.

Cambridge (Lib Dem)

NB The TUSC candidate is a member of Cambridge Socialists and appeared on the ballot paper under that established electoral name.

LD 1,020; Lab 813; CS 457 Tom Woodcock Romsey (18.5%); Con 175 2011 result: TUSC 356 (12%)

Peterborough (Conservative)

Lab 886: Con 599: UKIP Mary Cooke Orton Longueville

283; TUSC 103 (5.5%)

Stevenage (Labour)

Steve Glennon Lab 897; Con 258; UKIP Bedwell

218: Green 88: LD 78: 2011 result: TUSC 53 (2.8%) TUSC 39 (2.5%)

Lab 758; Con 424; Green Bryan Clare Roebuck

130; LD 83; TUSC 41 2011 result: TUSC 61 (3.3%) (2.8%)

Lab 778; Con 596; LD 172; Helen Kerr Longmeadow

TUSC 109 (6.6%) 2011 result: TUSC 62 (3.1%)

Lab 814; Con 492; LD 105; **Amanda Dilley Bandley Hill**

2011 result: TUSC 61 (3.18%) TUSC 101 (6.7%)

Barbara Clare Lab 760; Con 215; UKIP Shephall

181; LD 91; TUSC 48 2011 result: TUSC 75 (4.6%) (3.7%)

Chells Lab 875; Con 382; LD 201; Mark Pickersgill

TUSC 100 (6.4%)

Welwyn Hatfield (Conservative)

Hatfield East Craig Huteson-Stewart Con 692; Lab 453; LD 138;

Green 129 TUSC 53 (3.6%)

East Midlands

One council contested with five candidates in five wards, all with one seat up for election.

Lincoln (Labour)

Lab 892; Con 386; LD 141; Abbey Gavyn Graham

2011 result: TUSC 120 (5.5%) TUSC 139 (8.9%)

Carholme Nick Parker Lab 1,042; Con 413; LD

2011 result: TUSC 62 (2.4%) 173; UKIP 138; **TUSC 106**

(5.7%)

Moorland Liz Williams Lab 836; Con 634; **TUSC**

2011 result: TUSC 37 (1.8%) **78 (4.8%);** LD 64

Lucy Bland Lab 674; Con 197; UKIP 124; LD 94; **TUSC 59**

(5.1%)

Minster Emma Woodhall Lab 909; Con 684; UKIP

2011 result: TUSC 57 (2.4%) 173; LD 72; **TUSC 41 (2.2%)**

London

Park

TUSC stood a list of candidates for the all-London Assembly Member part of the Greater London Authority (GLA) elections. See the TUSC website for the list of candidates selected. It did not stand candidates for the mayor or the 14 geographical constituencies.

GLA London-wide Assembly Member election

2011 result: TUSC 55 (3.5%)

City-wide poll Lab 911,204; Con 708,528; Green 189,215; LD 150,447;

UKIP 100,040; BNP 47,024; CPA 38,758; ED 22,025; **TUSC** 17,686 (0.8%); Ind 9,114; Ind 8,126; NF 8,006; Ind 4,835

Northern

Three councils contested with four candidates in four wards, all with one seat up for election.

Carlisle (No overall control)

Currock Brent Kennedy Lab 850; Con 185; **TUSC**

2011 result: TUSC 104 (7.1%) **97 (7.9%);** BNP 88

Gateshead (Labour)

Deckham Norman Hall Lab 1,407; Con 214; LD

2011 result: TUSC 189 (7.9%) 146; NF 124; **TUSC 118**

(5.9%)

High Fell Elaine Brunskill Lab 1,393; **TUSC 248**

2011 result: TUSC 165 (7.5%) (13.3%); Con 109; LD 106

Newcastle-Upon-Tyne (Labour)

South Heaton Paul Phillips Lab 1,188; Green 210; LD

158; Con 81; **TUSC 74**

(4.3%)

North West

Eight councils contested with 24 candidates in 24 wards, all with one seat up for election. Plus the Liverpool Mayoral election.

Liverpool Mayoral election

City-wide poll Tony Mulhearn Lab 58,448 (57.7%); Ind

8,292 (8.2%); LD 6,238 (6.2%); Green 5,175 (5.1%); **TUSC 4,792 (4.73%)**; Lib 4,442 (4.4%); Con 4,425 (4.4%); UKIP 2,352 (2.3%); ED 1,400 (1.4%); Ind 1,362 (1.3%);

BNP 1,015 (1%); NF 566

(0.6%)

Liverpool council (Labour) Clubmoor Alison Nelson Lab 2.587: UKIP 215: Lib 124; LD 106; TUSC 97 (2.9%)Cecilia Ralph Lab 2,666; Green 191; Con Riverside 139; TUSC 109 (3.3%); ED 2011 result: TUSC 88 (2.5%) 103; Lib 66 Paul Humphreys Lab 1,920; Green 437; **TUSC** Princes Park **161 (6%);** Con 104; Lib 75 2011 result: TUSC 104 (3.3%) Central Daren Ireland Lab 1.164: Green 195: Con 145; TUSC 80 (4.9%); Lib 45 Knotty Ash John Marston Lab 2,443; LD 448; Green 193; Con 145; Lib 97; **TUSC** 96 (2.8%) Lab 2,577; Lib 267; LD 221; Martin Ralph Old Swan Green 185; TUSC 123 (3.4%); 2011 result: TUSC 74 (1.8%) ED 111; Con 98 Lab 2,771; TUSC 143 (4.5%); Roger Bannister Kirkdale 2011 result: TUSC 162 (4.7%) Green 89; Ind 76; Con 59; LD 38: Lib 13 **Charley Cosgrove** Lab 2,489; LD 271; Con 159; Yew Tree TUSC 129 (4%); Green 97; 2011 result: TUSC 66 (1.8%) Lib 95 Belle Vale Lab 2,709; Lib 200; Con 159; Lynne Wilde BNP 134; TUSC 128 (3.7%); Green 127 Kensington & Fairfield **Dave Jones** Lab 2,099; LD 155; Green 139; Lib 130; TUSC 109 (4%); Con 64 **Knowsley (Labour)** Halewood South Brendan Tyrrell Lab 987; **TUSC 282** (18.3%); LD 220; Con 53 Lab 819; LD 667; **TUSC Prescot West** Steve Whatham **172 (9.8%)**; Con 101

Manchester (Labour)

Sharon Holden Lab 1,729; Green 146; Con Ardwick 111; LD 101; TUSC 64 (3%) 2011 result: TUSC 100 (3.6%) Katen Verma Lab 1,733; Green 198; Con Fallowfield 144; LD 80; TUSC 70 (3.1%) 2011 result: TUSC 83 (2.6%) Lynn Worthington Lab 1,485; UKIP 281; Con **Baguley** 259; TUSC 115 (4.9%); LD 2011 result: TUSC 74 (2.8%) 102; Green 101 Trevor Prior Lab 1,666; UKIP 244; Con Sharston 218; LD 100; Green 91; TUSC 2011 result: TUSC 70 (2.4%) 78 (3.2%) Mark Krantz Chorlton Lab 2.433: LD 797: Green 503; TUSC 368 (8.7%); Con

503; **TUSC 368 (8.7%);** Con 180 Moss Side Colette Williams Lab 2,545; Green 153; **TUSC**

Ancoats & Clayton Alex Davidson Lab 1,862; Green 215: Con

173; LD 100; **TUSC 82 (3.3%);** Pirate UK 75

Preston (Labour)

Town Centre Michael Lavalette TUSC 967 (48.5%); Lab 872; Con 154

 Salford (Labour)

 Ordsall
 George Tapp
 Lab 1,079; TUSC 335

 2011 result: TUSC 381 (16.1%)
 (18.7%); Con 225; LD 151

Sefton (No overall control)

Pete Glover Lab 1.804: UKIP 241: Netherton & Orrell

2011 result: TUSC 181 (6.1%) TUSC 227 (9.3%); Con

108; LD 59

Wigan (Labour)

NB TUSC is supported in Wigan by the Wigan Borough Green Socialists and the candidate contested the election under

that name.

Atherton Stephen Hall Ind 1,695; Lab 1,235; TUSC

190 (5.8%); Con 179

Wirral (No overall control)

Rock Ferry Lab 1,941; Con 202; UKIP Morag Reid

195; TUSC 130 (4.7%); Green

115; LD 85; Ind 76

Southern

Three councils contested with 22 candidates in 22 wards, in two of which there were two-seats being elected.

Portsmouth (Lib Dem)

Frances Pilling LD 1,192; Lab 722; Con 479; Central Southsea

Green 270; **TUSC 151** 2011 result: TUSC 234 (6.2%)

(5.4%)

John Pickett LD 1,091; Lab 558; Con 376; Fratton

TUSC 173 (7.9%) 2011 result: TUSC 177 (6%)

Mick Tosh Copnor Con 828; Lab 576; LD 539; Ind 487; TUSC 131 (5.1%)

St Jude Andy Waterman LD 1,247; Con 966; Lab 439;

TUSC 128 (4.6%)

Eastney & Craneswater Ben Norman LD 1,400; Con 1,080; Lab

474; TUSC 136 (4.4%)

Charles Dickens Aron Fielder LD 1,135; Lab 782; Con 282;

Green 168; TUSC 96 (3.9%)

Cosham Simon Wade LD 1,019; Lab 976; Con 924;

TUSC 141 (4.6%)

St Thomas Billy Perry LD 1,433; Con 1,002; Lab

442; TUSC 137 (4.5%)

Southampton (Conservative)

2011 result: TUSC 74 (2.1%)

Shirley

Bevois

Coxford Tim Cutter Lab 1,647; Con 667; LD

334; UKIP 295; Green 75;

TUSC 57 (1.8%)

Pete Wyatt Lab 1,771; Con 703; TUSC Redbridge **220 (7.6%)**; LD 182 2011 result: TUSC 212 (6.1%)

Mike King Lab 1,825; Con 1,475;

Green 256; LD 184; TUSC 2011 result: TUSC 124 (2.9%)

52 (1.4%)

Neil Kelly Con 1,641; Lab 895; LD **Bassett** 2011 result: TUSC 110 (2.4%) 367; Green 260; Ind 93;

TUSC 62 (1.9 %) Swaythling

Kevin Hayes Lab 825; Con 746; LD 477; 2011 result: TUSC 104 (3.4%)

Green 200; Ind 86; **TUSC**

76 (3.1%)

Andrew Howe

David Rawlinson Con 1,061; LD 1,015; Lab Portswood 2011 result: TUSC 88 (2.2%)

810; Green 278; TUSC 50

(1.5%); Ind 38

Lab 1,973; Con 642; Green

201; LD 149; TUSC 63

(2.1%);

Sharon Cutler Lab 1,110; Con 745; Green Bargate 2011 result: TUSC 158 (5.1%)

140; LD 127; TUSC 82

(3.6%); Ind 67

Bitterne Park (two cllrs elected) Nick Chaffey Con 1,216; Con 1,203; Lab

2011 result: TUSC 264 (6.1%) 1,135; Lab

1,135; Lab 979; LD 334; Ind 333; LD 331; Green 292; Ind 242; **TUSC 136 (3.9%)**

Harefield Graham O'Reilly Con 1,631; Lab 1,489; 2011 result: TUSC 117 (2.7%) UKIP 305; LD 190; **TUSC**

75 (2.0%)

Bitterne Perry McMillan Lab 1,416; Con 1,217;

2011 result: TUSC 163 (4.8%) UKIP 210; LD 133; **TUSC**

77 (2.5%)

Peartree (two cllrs elected)

Josh Asker

Lab 1,693; Lab 1,594; Con

1,262; Con 1,250; LD 497; LD 384; Green 287; Ind 168; **TUSC 139 (3.4%)** Lab 1,607; Con 931; LD 243; **TUSC 138 (4.7%)**;

Woolston Gavin Marsh

2011 result: TUSC 163 (4.8%)

Winchester (No overall control)

St Johns & All Saints Adele Guntrip Lab 682; LD 324; Con 301;

Ind 158; **TUSC 31 (2%)**

South West

Three councils contested with three candidates in three wards.

Gloucester (Conservative)

Barton & Tredworth Katy Bailey Lab 1,323; Con 616; **TUSC**

2011 result: TUSC 190 (6.8%) **170 (7.6%)**; LD 121

Plymouth (Conservative)

Sutton & Mount Gould Louise Parker Lab 1,403; Con 560; UKIP

2011 result: TUSC 75 (2%) 401; Green 158; LD 124;

TUSC 85 (3.1%)

Stroud (No overall control)

Cainscross Chris Moore Lab 893; Con 495; Green 212;

TUSC 152 (8.1%); LD 105

West Midlands

Seven councils contested with 35 candidates standing in 35 wards, eight of which (in Rugby) were 'all-seat' elections on new boundaries.

Birmingham (No overall control)

Acocks Green Ben Rubery Lab 2,170; LD 1,993; UKIP

269; Con 247; Green 168; BNP 166; **TUSC 58 (1.1%);**

SDP 15

Coventry (Labour)

NB TUSC candidates in Coventry appeared on the ballot paper as Socialist Alternative, having used that electoral name

in the city over a number of years.

2011 result: SA 45 (0.8%)

2011 result: SA 79 (1.9%)

2011 result: SA 161 (3.1%)

Bablake Jethro Waldron Lab 1,502; Con 1,302; Ind

669; BNP 179; Green 141; LD 122; **SA 48 (1.2%);** Ind

35

Binley & Willenhall Ross Armstrong Lab 1,898; Con 570; UKIP

503; BNP 100; Green 95;

SA 67 (2.1%)

Cheylesmore Judy Griffiths Con 1,992; Lab 1,688;

Green 266; **SA 141 (3.4%)**

Fiona Pashazadeh Con 2.137: Lab 1.442: Earlsdon UKIP 272; LD 261; SA 116 2011 result: SA 136 (2.2%) (2.7%)Siobhan Friel Lab 2,036; LD 488; Con Henley 393; BNP 243; Green 121; 2011 result: SA 113 (2.6%) SA 80 (2.4%) Lab 2,087; Con 404; Green Jim Hensman Holbrook 215; BNP 211; SA 99 2011 result: SA 57 (1.3%) (3.3%)Lab 2,409; Ind 362; BNP Craig Davenport Longford 203; Green 163; SA 111 2011 result: SA 101 (2.4%) (3.4%)Rob McArdle Lab 1,748; Con 484; **SA** Lower Stoke 310 (9.7%); UKIP 233; LD 2011 result: SA 291 (6.4%) 168; Green 161; BNP 101 Glen Watson Lab 1,896; Con 498; Green Radford 2011 result: SA 94 (2.3%) 210; **SA 142 (4.9%)**; BNP Jason Toynbee Lab 1.833: Con 705: Green Sherbourne 225; BNP 173; SA 145 2011 result: SA 117 (2.5%) (4.6%); LD 96 **Dave Nellist** Lab 1,673; **SA 1,469** St Michaels (43.4%); Con 243 2011 result: SA 1,263 (30.3%) Paul Smith Lab 2,024; LD 682; Con Upper Stoke 275; Green 213; BNP 156; 2011 result: SA 95 (2.2%) SA 120 (3.5%) Dan Crowther Con 1,540; Lab 1,214; Wainbody UKIP 449; Green 210; LD 2011 result: SA 83 (1.5%) 172; SA 54 (1.5%) Jim Donnelly Lab 1,418; Con 1,346; Westwood Green 183; BNP 165; LD 2011 result: SA 95 (2%) 145; **SA 122 (3.6%)** Richard Groves Lab 1,693; Con 1,014; Whoberley 2011 result: SA 109 (2.1%) Green 320; SA 206 (6.1%); LD 160 Sunara Begum Lab 1,853; Con 1,534; Woodlands Green 222; LD 192; SA 82 2011 result: SA 44 (0.8%) (2.1%)Jodie Hannis Lab 1.888: Con 1.146: Ind Wyken 2011 result: SA 121 (2.5%) 203: Green 160: SA 89 (2.5%)**Newcastle-Under-Lyme (No overall control)** Kidsgrove Claire Vodrey Lab 802; Con 153; LD 146; **TUSC 109 (9%)** Lab 566; Con 359; UKIP Wolstanton Richard Steele 284; LD 131; TUSC 43 (3.1%)Nuneaton & Bedworth (Conservative) Lab 756; Con 215; **TUSC** Camp Hill Paul Reilly 115 (9.7%); BNP 100 Exhall Eileen Hunter Lab 1,091; Con 333; Green 204; TUSC 149 (8.4%) Rugby (Conservative) Newbold & Brownsover Bill Smith Lab 649; Lab 628; Lab 576; (three cllrs elected) Con 467; Con 465; Con 415; Green 173; Green 155; TUSC 145 (10.1%) New Bilton Lab 709; Lab 683; Lab 630;

Con 406; Con 390; Con 334; Green 241; Green

Alistair MacGregor

(three cllrs elected)

195; **TUSC 141 (9.4%)**

Bert Harris Lab 824; Lab 820; Lab 819; Benn (three cllrs elected)

Con 258; Con 256; Con 255; Green 252; LD 180;

TUSC 177 (10.5%); LD 175

David Goodwin Con 804; Con 706; Con Hillmorton 662; Lab 534; Lab 451; Lab (three cllrs elected)

427; LD 334; LD 255; LD 207; TUSC 119 (6.6%)

Rokeby & Oversalde Julie Weekes Lab 649; Con 613; Con

(three cllrs elected) 612; Lab 564; Con 557; LD 504; Lab 496; LD 491; LD 372; Green 205; TUSC 125

(6%)

Pete McLaren Con 894; Con 839; Con Wolston & the Lawfords 833; Lab 481; Green 361;

(three clirs elected)

Ind 324; TUSC 289 (12.3%) Rob Johnson LD 810; LD 722; LD 567;

Eastlands Lab 497; Con 340; Con (three cllrs elected) 326; Con 300; TUSC 223

(11.9%)

Steve Roberts Con 1,134; Con 1,004; Con Bilton (three cllrs elected)

968; Lab 643; LD 397; LD 373; TUSC 299 (12.1%)

Walsall (No overall control)

NB TUSC is supported in Walsall by the Democratic Labour Party who contested the elections under that name.

Peter Smith **DLP 1,025 (45.8%)**; Lab Blakenall

1,012; Con 201 2011 result: DLP 928 (34%)

Stephen Baggott Lab 1,282; Ind 595; Con Bloxwich East

364; DLP 70 (3%) 2011 result: DLP 107 (4.1%)

Lab 1,463; Con 888; **DLP** Mick Ross **Bloxwich West**

250 (9.3%); LD 85 2011 result: DLP 234 (7.4%)

Worcester (Conservative)

Mark Davies Lab 933; Con 433; Green St Johns

2011 result: TUSC 88 (4%) 151; **TUSC 102 (6.3%)**

Con 969; Lab 597; Green Pete McNally Bedwardine

203; TUSC 94 (5%) 2011 result: TUSC 99 (3.4%)

Yorkshire

Seven councils contested with 18 candidates in 18 wards.

Barnsley (Labour)

Milton **Brian Caton** Lab 1,524; Ind 635; ED 243;

Con 183; TUSC 125 (4.6%) Lab 1,262; TUSC 347 Dave Gibson Central

(17.1%); ED 286; Con 129 2011 result: TUSC 150 (5.9%)

Calderdale (Labour)

Dewsbury South

Rastrick **Rob Bailey** Con 1,311; Lab 1,046;

TUSC 258 (9.8%)

Kirklees (No overall control)

Jackie Grunsell Lab 2,943; Con 731; **TUSC** Crossland Moor & Netherton

2011 result: TUSC 866 (14.8%) 375 (7.9%); Green 333; Ind

190; LD 169

Newsome

Paul Wheelhouse

Ian Slattery Green 2,354; Lab 1,552; 2011 result: TUSC 150 (3.1%)

Con 344; **TUSC 119**

(2.7%); LD 76

Lab 3,341; Con 1,784; ED 566; Green 238; LD 118;

TUSC 63 (1%)

Leeds (Labour)

Lab 1,053; LD 1,021; Green Ian Dalton Headingley

457; Con 147; **TUSC 82** 2011 result: TUSC 88 (2.3%)

(3%)

Andrew Smith LD 2,636; Con 1,725; Lab Horsforth 2011 result: TUSC 164 (2.1%)

1,381; UKIP 453; TUSC 77

(1.2%)

Otley & Yeadon Ryan Preston LD 3,259; Lab 2,615; Con

947; Green 474; TUSC 176

(2.4%)

Armley Steve Hobson Lab 2,686; Green 596; Con

554; LD 326; TUSC 229

(5.2%)

Rotherham (Labour)

Chris Bingham Lab 2,141; UKIP 654; Con **Boston Castle** 2011 result: TUSC 211 (5.1%)

499; **TUSC 189 (5.1%)**; LD

134; Ind 64

Sheffield (Labour)

Walkley Chaz Lockett Lab 2,494; LD 1,163; Green 2011 result: TUSC 102 (1.6%)

630; UKIP 392; Con 179;

TUSC 136 (2.7%)

LD 2,093; Lab 1,994; UKIP Sam Morecroft **Graves Park** 2011 result: TUSC 147 (2.3%)

398; Green 393; Con 342;

TUSC 116 (2.3%)

Alan Munro Lab 2,693; Green 748; LD Gleadless Valley 2011 result: TUSC 186 (3%)

479; UKIP 421; Con 247;

TUSC 176 (3.7%) Maxine Bowler Lab 3,373; TUSC 708 Burngreave

Wyllie Hume

(14.1%); UKIP 271; Green 2011 result: TUSC 831 (14%)

247; LD 241; Con 181 Lab 2,424; LD 682; UKIP 570; Green 481; Con 261;

TUSC 130 (2.9%)

Wakefield (Labour)

Hillsborough

Mick Griffiths Lab 1,741; Ind 659; Con Wakefield East

596; TUSC 337 (9.9%); Ind 2011 result: TUSC 355 (9%)

Pontefract North Dan Dearden Lab 1,649; Ind 769; Con 481; UKIP 368; Green 103;

TUSC 76 (2.2%)



2012 Local Elections

Breakdown of TUSC results in Wales

Below is a council breakdown of the results achieved by TUSC candidates in the local elections in Wales. Listed are the votes received by every candidate in the ward contested, with a percentage of the vote figure also given for the TUSC candidate (see Note on Statistical Methods on how this has been calculated in multi-seat wards). The political composition of each council before May 3rd is indicated in parentheses.

Councils in Wales are elected on a four-yearly cycle, with every council seat up for election. TUSC candidates stood in four councils, with fourteen candidates contesting thirteen wards. The number of councillors up for election in each ward is indicated in italics.

Caerphilly (No overall control)

Penyrheol Lloyd James PC 1,361; PC 1,196; PC (four cllrs elected) PC 1,361; PC 1,196; PC 1,110; Lab 1,074; PC 992;

Lab 917; Lab 871; Lab 851; Ind 398; **TUSC 174 (5.8%)**

Cardiff (No overall control)

Splott James Connolly Lab 1,686; Lab 1,624; Lab

 (three cllrs elected)
 1,432; LD 1,055; LD 805;

 LD 775; PC 250; PC 197;

 PC 167; Green 157; Con

 151; Con 137; Con 130;

Canton Ross Saunders Com 117; **TUSC 105 (3%)**Lab 2,230; Lab 2,130; Lab

 (three clirs elected)
 2,128; PC 910; PC 837; PC

 824; Green 730; Green
 564; Con 505; Green 503;

 Con 468; Con 426; LD 118;

SLP 106; LD 98; **TUSC 90** (1.9%); LD 84

Gabalfa David Hamblin LD 936; LD 891; Lab 500; (two cllrs elected) Lab 497; PC 125; Con 115;

Green 106; Con 91; PC 86;

TUSC 51 (2.8%)
Riverside Garmon Gruffydd Lab 1,731; Lab 1,555; Lab

(three clirs elected)

1,431; PC 1,153; PC 944;
PC 940; Green 294; Con
286; Con 276; Green 272;
Con 263; Green 189; LD

Con 263; Green 189; LD 142; LD 129; LD 122; **TUSC 99 (2.7%)** Iamsdown Dave Bartlett LD 731: Lab 719: LD 683:

Adamsdown Dave Bartlett LD 731; Lab 719; LD 683; (two cllrs elected) Lab 681; Green 117; PC 97; **TUSC 68 (3.8%);** PC 67; Con 53; Com 50; Con

37

Rumney (two cllrs elected) Andrew Wilkes

Lab 1,095; Lab 1,087; Con 628; Con 473; Ind 262; PC 78; PC 63; Ind 57; Green 53; LD 48; TUSC 43 (2%); LD 37

Rhondda-Cynon-Taf (Labour)

Porth (two cllrs elected)

Graig

(one cllr elected)

Penrhiwceiber (two cllrs elected) Cliff Jones

Glyn Matthews

Mia Hollsing

LD 21; TUSC 8 (1.4%) Lab 1,104; Lab 947; PC 473; TUSC 135 (7.9%)

Lab 941; Lab 809; PC 662;

PC 582; **TUSC 155 (8.9%)**

Lab 339; Ind 140; PC 65;

Swansea (No overall control)

Gowerton

(one cllr elected) Castle

Sketty

(four cllrs elected)

(five cllrs elected)

Les Woodward

Martin White

Robert P Williams, Ronnie Job

Ind 660; Lab 608; Con 163;

TUSC 58 (3.9%)

Lab 1,629; Lab 1,623; Lab 1,610; Lab 1,582; LD 533; LD 531; LD 511; LD 440; PC 274; PC 261; PC 254; Green 248; PC 248; Con 241; Con 230; Con 228; Con 207; Ind 207; Green 193; **TUSC 148 (4.5%)** LD 1,860; LD 1,624; LD 1,573; LD 1,433; LD 1,293; Lab 1,286; Lab 1,272; Lab

1,253; Lab 1,172; Lab 1,082; Con 1,014; Con 866; Con 848; Con 771; Con 665; Ind 600; PC 529; PC 450; PC 412; PC 411; TUSC 195 (3.6%); TUSC

147